

EXHIBIT D

JDJames Inc.

vs.

Saket Chaudhari

Trial Before:

JUDGE DAWN CALOCA-JOHNSON

November 01, 2018

PHIPPS REPORTING
Raising the Bar!

Case: 21-1337 Document: 28 Page: 2 Date Filed: 05/23/2022

Judge Dawn Caloca-Johnson
November 01, 2018IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN
AND FOR JEFFERSON COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 2017-CA-162

J.D. JAMES, INC., d/b/a
NATURE BRIDGES,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SAKET CHAUDHARI,

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

DATE TAKEN: Thursday, November 1, 2018
 TIME: 9:00 a.m. - 5:05 p.m.
 PLACE: Jefferson County Courthouse
 1 Courthouse Circle
 Monticello, FL 32344
 BEFORE: DAWN CALOCA-JOHNSON, CIRCUIT JUDGE

This cause came on to be heard at the time and
 place aforesaid, when and where the following
 proceedings were stenographically reported by:

JO LANGSTON, RPR

Job No. : 251738

www.phippsreporting.com
 (888) 811-3408

Case: 21-1337 Document: 28 Page: 3 Date Filed: 05/23/2022

Judge Dawn Caloca-Johnson
November 01, 2018

Page 2

1 APPEARANCES:

2 ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:

3 Smith, Currie & Hancock, LLP
 4 101 NE 3rd Avenue, Suite 1910
 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
 954-761-8700
 5 BY: S. ELYSHA LUKEN, ESQUIRE
 seluken@smithcurrie.com
 6

7 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:

8 Harper Law Firm
 1725 Capital Circle, N.E., Suite 304
 9 Tallahassee, FL 32308
 850-523-0930
 10 BY: Robert Augustus Harper III, ESQUIRE
 gus@gbwlegal.com
 11

12

13 ALSO PRESENT:

14 SAKET CHAUDHARI
 J.D. JAMES
 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

www.phippsreporting.com
 (888) 811-3408

Case: 21-1337 Document: 28 Page: 4 Date Filed: 05/23/2022

Judge Dawn Caloca-Johnson
November 01, 2018

Page 3

1	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS	PAGE
2	PROCEEDINGS	PAGE
3	Plaintiff's Motion for Directed Verdict by Ms. Luken	115
4	Ruling on Plaintiff's Motion for Directed Verdict	158
5	Portions of Deposition of Richard Wostbrock read by Ms. Luken	200
6	Portions of Deposition of Saket Chaudhari read by Ms. Luken	202
7	Certificate of Reporter	219
8		
9	SAKET CHAUDHARI	PAGE
10	Cross-Examination Continued by Ms. Luken	4
11	Redirect Examination by Mr. Harper	92
12	Jury Question Read by the Court	110
13	Follow-up Examination by Ms. Luken	111
14	BRIAN GREEN	
15	Direct Examination by Ms. Luken	183
16	J.D. JAMES	
17	Direct Examination by Ms. Luken	187
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Harper	193
19		
20	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
21	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS:	ID ADMITTED
22	7 - 8/17/2012 email from Guddemi to Chaudhari	5
23	52 - Email from Chaudhari to Green	33
24	53 - 5/3/2015 email from Chaudhari to James	193
25	67 - 2/11/2016 email from Chaudhari	28
	71 - 3/16/2016 email from Parker to Chaudhari	36

www.phippsreporting.com
 (888) 811-3408

1 The proceedings began at 9:00 a.m., Page 4
 2 continued from 10/31/2018.
 3 (STENOGRAFHER'S NOTE: Trial proceedings held
 4 on 10/29 through 10/31 and proceedings on 11/2
 5 were not transcribed.)
 6 THE COURT: Any issues we need to talk about
 7 before we bring in the jury?
 8 MS. LUKEN: Not from the plaintiff.
 9 MR. HARPER: No, Your Honor.
 10 THE COURT: Mr. Chaudhari, if you want to
 11 come back up, take the witness stand.
 12 (Jury enters the courtroom.)
 13 THE COURT: So we left off with -- Ms. Luken
 14 had started cross-examination of the defendant
 15 yesterday afternoon, and you may proceed.
 16 MS. LUKEN: Yes, Your Honor, I will.
 17 WHEREUPON,
 18 SAKET CHAUDHARI
 19 was called as a witness and, having been previously
 20 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
 21 CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION
 22 BY MS. LUKEN:
 23 Q Mr. Chaudhari, good morning.
 24 A Good morning.
 25 Q Just to kind of catch us up to sort of where

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 but I just was going to direct your attention to the
 2 first paragraph there. This is Mr. Guddemi writing to
 3 you, correct?
 4 A Yes, ma'am.
 5 Q And this is in August -- August 17th of
 6 2012, right?
 7 A Yes, ma'am.
 8 Q And he tells you that the geotech portion is
 9 21,000?
 10 A Yes, ma'am.
 11 Q And then the bridge, just the bridge is
 12 35,000, correct?
 13 A Yes, ma'am.
 14 Q So that's actually 56?
 15 A Yes.
 16 Q And that did not include installation of the
 17 bridge?
 18 A Yes, ma'am.
 19 Q And now, this is also the email -- let's put
 20 a pin in this, LAN discussion, 35-foot cost. And that
 21 was August 17th, right?
 22 A Yes, ma'am.
 23 Q And this is also the email where you're
 24 advised by LAN you may want to get some professional
 25 help to further develop this site?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 we were, I wanted to just touch on a couple of things Page 5
 2 that you talked about yesterday, the first of which
 3 is, I wanted to confirm with you that in 2012, this is
 4 around the time that you're having discussions with
 5 LAN Associates about how much a 35-foot bridge was
 6 going to cost. Do you remember having those
 7 discussions with Mr. Guddemi?
 8 A I remember having those discussions after
 9 speaking to Nature Bridges.
 10 Q But around that same time period, right?
 11 A Yes, ma'am, around the same time.
 12 Q And Mr. Guddemi advised you that the bridge
 13 itself -- I might have said this incorrectly
 14 yesterday, so I just wanted to confirm with you --
 15 that in order to do a 35-foot bridge, the pricing that
 16 he had gotten was about \$55,000, and that was just the
 17 bridge, some geotechnical, and it did not include
 18 installation; is that correct?
 19 A I don't recall that.
 20 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7 was marked for
 21 identification.)
 22 BY MS. LUKEN:
 23 Q Let me show you what's been marked for
 24 identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit 7. And, Mr.
 25 Chaudhari, I don't need you to read the whole thing,

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A Yes, ma'am.
 2 Q And they offer you a proposal and you do not
 3 engage them at that time?
 4 A They did not offer me a proposal.
 5 Q He does suggest that you should hire them,
 6 though, correct?
 7 A Yeah. There's a sentence in there to
 8 utilize them.
 9 Q But you did not hire LAN to do anything with
 10 respect to your site development project at that time?
 11 A They did all the site development plans up
 12 until this point, and I tried to pair up Nature
 13 Bridges and LAN to do the project, which is when
 14 Nature Bridges provided me proposals for bridge
 15 testing and discussed engineering services.
 16 Q Let me cut you off here, because I'm trying
 17 to just talk about LAN here for a minute. As of
 18 August 2012, you did not have an engagement with LAN,
 19 correct?
 20 A There was no agreement with LAN, yes.
 21 Q And in fact, let's see here. LAN suggests
 22 that if you did want to hire them to assist you in the
 23 process of continuing the development of this raw
 24 land, that you should replenish a retainer with them
 25 for \$2,000, right?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A Yes. That's what he said in the email. Page 8
 2 Q And you did not do that?
 3 A No, ma'am.
 4 Q And you generally understand that if there's
 5 no retainer on there, they're not going to be doing
 6 work for you?
 7 A That's not true, ma'am. I had conversations
 8 with him.
 9 Q Okay. But he requests a retainer and you
 10 did not give him one?
 11 A He requested a retainer. We did not go
 12 through with the project at that time. There was
 13 nothing that was ongoing.
 14 Q Very good. Let me take that back. Now, you
 15 did not go under contract with LAN again until much
 16 later, correct?
 17 A Yes. When we found out the project was --
 18 Q And that would have been in July of 2015,
 19 correct?
 20 A We found out through --
 21 Q My question is, do you recall when you
 22 reengaged LAN to perform any services pursuant to your
 23 site development plan?
 24 A The next payment made to LAN, just looking
 25 at the invoice, 2015, that would have been the next

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 payment made to LAN, but there was consultation with Page 9
 2 LAN in between.
 3 Q But you didn't hire LAN to do anything until
 4 July 2015 when you asked them to prepare an as-built?
 5 A I hired LAN -- I had to hire LAN because
 6 nothing else was being done in between.
 7 Q Again, that's not my question. My question
 8 is, you didn't hire them again until July 2015, three
 9 years after Mr. Guddeemi suggests that you might want
 10 to get some assistance from them and requested a
 11 retainer, right?
 12 A I was supposed to hire LAN in 2015.
 13 Q You did not hire them again until 2015,
 14 right? Correct? Yes or no?
 15 A The next payment made to LAN was 2015.
 16 Q That's probably close enough. Payment --
 17 I'm going to write that down. Payment to LAN. So
 18 from this entire time, August 17th, 2012, when
 19 Mr. Guddeemi suggests that you replenish their retainer
 20 so they can assist you, until 2015, July, you did not
 21 make any payments to LAN.
 22 A Yes. We had consultation without any
 23 payments.
 24 Q So you would just talk to them and they
 25 would give you free advice?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A There was nothing we could do. In 2014, we Page 10
 2 realized the problem and needed to figure out how to
 3 proceed.
 4 Q My question is simply, you would just talk
 5 to them and get advice and they wouldn't bill you for
 6 it?
 7 A Yes, ma'am. We spoke and they didn't bill
 8 me.
 9 Q That's all the answer that I need. Now,
 10 when you did make this payment to LAN in July of 2015,
 11 that was for an as-built survey, correct?
 12 A Yes, ma'am.
 13 Q And you entered into a formal written
 14 agreement with LAN for them to provide that as-built
 15 survey, correct?
 16 A Yes, ma'am. There's an agreement.
 17 Q And that agreement specifically says, We're
 18 going to prepare an as-built survey for you.
 19 A I believe that's what it states in there,
 20 yes.
 21 Q Now, you are seeking in this lawsuit, in
 22 fact, for that as-built survey, \$850, right?
 23 A Yes, ma'am.
 24 Q And let me show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 15,
 25 our friendly contract here. And, sir, there is

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 nowhere in your contract agreement with Nature Bridges Page 11
 2 that they are going to be providing you an as-built
 3 survey; isn't that correct?
 4 A I believe there is, ma'am.
 5 Q Please point me to where you believe that to
 6 be the case, sir.
 7 A Just reading one of the paragraphs in the --
 8 Q Could you just tell me which paragraph you
 9 are currently on?
 10 A Sorry, ma'am. It's paragraph number 14.
 11 Q So you believe somewhere in paragraph 14 is
 12 an obligation to furnish you with an as-built drawing.
 13 And actually, before we even get to that, you
 14 understand that an as-built drawing is a survey of
 15 conditions after things are built, right?
 16 A Yes, ma'am.
 17 Q And you believe that under this paragraph
 18 14, warranty, that there is some obligation on the
 19 part of Nature Bridges to furnish you a surveyed,
 20 as-built drawing?
 21 A Yes, ma'am.
 22 Q And there's nothing within this paragraph
 23 that says the word "as-built" anywhere, does it?
 24 A It doesn't state "as-built" in the warranty,
 25 correct.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q So why do you think then, in the absence of
2 those words, there is some obligation?
3 A In the second paragraph, it says, If any
4 part of the work is determined to be defective or
5 otherwise to constitute a breach of any of the
6 contractor warranties, contractor is to promptly
7 correct or replace the same as directed by architect
8 or owner and shall repair or replace any of the work,
9 materials or property damaged or required to be redone
10 as a result of such correction or replacement.
11 Q And so you believe that requires an as-built
12 survey?
13 A Yes, ma'am. One of the processes --
14 Q Yes or no is fine.
15 A Yes.
16 Q All right. So LAN is out of the picture.
17 You're having phone calls with them that they're not
18 billing you for from 2012 to July 2015. Just kind of
19 shifting here for a moment, during the course of
20 discovery in this case, there's been a lot of emails,
21 right? You would agree with me?
22 A Yes, ma'am, a lot of emails.
23 Q And you would agree with me that in many of
24 your emails to various entities, whether it's LAN or
25 Nature Bridges, you used the word "team" a lot. Do

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 you agree with me?
2 A There may be mention of a team.
3 Q You say things like, Hey, team, let's get
4 moving on this, that or the other thing. Would you
5 agree?
6 A I would agree.
7 Q And I don't know that anybody has asked you
8 this. Do you work for yourself or do you work for a
9 company?
10 A I work for a company, ma'am.
11 Q And so within your company structure, you
12 have teams for projects within your company, correct?
13 A We have one team.
14 Q But sometimes there's an individual project
15 and you've got a group of people that are working on
16 that and that's your project team?
17 A We just have one production team. There's
18 not a special team.
19 Q And all the people that are on your team are
20 employed by your company, right?
21 A Yes, ma'am.
22 Q So they all already are being paid, correct?
23 A Yes, ma'am.
24 Q And so when you were talking about team in
25 the context of talking to LAN or talking to Nature

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Bridges, you're essentially talking about the team of
2 people that you have collected to help you develop
3 your father's raw land into a home site, correct?
4 A No, ma'am. The only team I signed up with
5 for this project was with Nature Bridges, who declined
6 the help of LAN.
7 Q But LAN wasn't engaged by you for the
8 three-year period during which you entered into a
9 contract with Nature Bridges and they completed the
10 work, correct?
11 A There was no contract. They were engaged.
12 They participated in a lot of consultation, site
13 visits.
14 Q And for which you have no bills or any other
15 indication that that actually occurred, right?
16 A I believe I have emails, ma'am.
17 Q But there's no billing from them and there's
18 no contract in place because you did not give
19 Mr. Guddeemi the \$2,000 retainer he had requested.
20 A That's not true, ma'am. He assisted many
21 times in between to help figure out what happened.
22 Q Except when you emailed him also in 2012 the
23 fact that you were planning on going with a
24 12-by-20-foot bridge, and you said that he never
25 responded to you about that.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A He never had a comment regarding the size of
2 the bridge, ma'am.
3 Q So you took from that that it was all fine.
4 A Yes.
5 Q So would you agree with me, sir, that for
6 purposes of what you were doing in developing or
7 trying to develop your father's property, you would be
8 the captain of the team? You're the one calling the
9 shots, right?
10 A No, ma'am. Nature Bridges is calling the
11 shots. They're the captain.
12 Q Wait a minute. You're the one paying for
13 all this, correct?
14 A That's correct. I'm paying. That's all I'm
15 doing.
16 Q So you're paying for LAN to originally come
17 up with a site development plan, right?
18 A Yes, ma'am. They came up with the site
19 development plan.
20 Q And then you want to pay Nature Bridges to
21 build a bridge for you, right?
22 A Yes.
23 Q And so you've assembled these people and you
24 are directing all of them, correct? When LAN is doing
25 things for you, you are directing them, right?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A No, ma'am.
 2 Q Who is directing them then?
 3 A Nature Bridges.
 4 Q Nature Bridges is directing LAN?
 5 A We had discussed the implementation of a
 6 civil engineering team for LAN. You have a proposal
 7 with services such as bridge testing, which are highly
 8 specialized services that only civil engineers and not
 9 all engineers provide. These were the engineering
 10 consultations as received at that time, which is why
 11 the implementation of a secondary civil engineering
 12 team was not me, because Nature Bridges assured me
 13 that they were capable of handling the project by
 14 themselves.
 15 Q You said this -- and I was going to get to
 16 this point. You said this in your direct examination
 17 with your lawyer yesterday. You said that you
 18 believed Nature Bridges had some sort of obligation to
 19 engage with and confer with LAN, right? That's your
 20 belief?
 21 A Yes, ma'am, if they're going to do --
 22 Q Yes or no is fine.
 23 A Yes, ma'am.
 24 Q So you believed that. And at the time you
 25 hired Nature Bridges and entered into a contract with

Page 16

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 them in 2014, you did not have an agreement in place
 2 with LAN, right?
 3 A I had an agreement with Nature Bridges, yes.
 4 Q Right. But you didn't have an agreement
 5 with LAN.
 6 A There was no agreement or contract with LAN.
 7 Q Yet you believe -- and just for clarity,
 8 too, I mean, in terms of that engagement, you didn't
 9 do anything to facilitate that engagement.
 10 A I did everything I could to facilitate the
 11 engagement.
 12 Q But you didn't contract with LAN, did you?
 13 A As per the request of Santiago, but yes, it
 14 was not needed.
 15 Q Let's talk about Santiago then, because it
 16 seems to me that you seem to think an awful lot about
 17 these conversations. For example, you testified on
 18 direct that you believed that Santiago Garcia was an
 19 engineer, right?
 20 A Yes.
 21 Q Now, he never told you he was an engineer,
 22 did he?
 23 A He provided very specific --
 24 Q Question. Did he tell you, I am an
 25 engineer?

1 A I don't recall him stating one way or
 2 another whether he officially said that or not.
 3 Q Okay. Now, in your work, you have a title,
 4 right, a job title?
 5 A Yes, ma'am. I do have a title, yes.
 6 Q And so you're familiar with the concept of a
 7 job title?
 8 A Yes, ma'am. I'm familiar with -- well,
 9 actually --
 10 Q You're not familiar with the concept of a
 11 job title?
 12 A In my company, I'm familiar with the process
 13 of my company, yes.
 14 Q Just generally speaking, whether it's
 15 purchasing manager, sales clerk, attorney at law, a
 16 job title is what describes who you are and what you
 17 do.
 18 A Not necessarily, ma'am. It depends on what
 19 the title is.
 20 Q Would you agree with me that the purpose of
 21 a job title is to describe the person's position?
 22 A No, ma'am. I believe it is the information
 23 that they're providing, is what decides what their
 24 title is.
 25 Q So according to your logic, if I go into

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Burger King and I am making my order and I see the
 2 lady's name tag says "sales associate," I am supposed
 3 to assume she is the manager?
 4 A If it says "sales associate," it's a sales
 5 associate. But if it says "bridge consultant" or
 6 "cost estimator," I believe that's understood, that in
 7 fact I had contacted a secondary personnel.
 8 Q Let's hold on here. So you agree with me
 9 Mr. Garcia never told you he was an engineer?
 10 A I can't recall if he told me directly
 11 whether he was or wasn't, but he certainly addressed
 12 all my questions.
 13 Q And I'm sure you had a lot of questions
 14 because you didn't hire LAN to assist you as they had
 15 suggested, right?
 16 A I had a lot of questions and he had a lot of
 17 answers.
 18 Q Okay, good. Now, you received many
 19 communications from -- well, let me ask you this. You
 20 received many proposals from Nature Bridges that were
 21 sent out under Mr. Garcia's signature, correct?
 22 A I received proposals, yes.
 23 Q And you've now come to understand, if you
 24 didn't know before -- I think you probably did, but
 25 you've now come to understand that any proposal that

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 goes out the door from Nature Bridges is going to be
 2 reviewed by Brian Green?
 3 A Yes, ma'am.
 4 Q And you actually knew that at the time
 5 because there would be several points where Mr. Garcia
 6 would advise you, I need to check with my project
 7 manager, true?
 8 A I don't recall, ma'am.
 9 Q You don't recall him ever saying to you, I
 10 need to check with my project manager on that?
 11 A About the pricing?
 12 Q About any of the apparently many, many, many
 13 discussions that you had?
 14 A I don't recall him mentioning anything of
 15 that sort, ma'am.
 16 Q We're going to have to come back to that
 17 because I'm going in this other direction.
 18 Now, those proposals that were sent to you
 19 under Mr. Garcia's signature, you received several of
 20 those, correct?
 21 A I received several proposals from Nature
 22 Bridges.
 23 Q And every single one of those, sir -- this
 24 is one of them. This is Plaintiff's Exhibit 14 I'm
 25 putting up here. Can you see that from where you are?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A Yes, ma'am.
 2 Q I can hand you the small version if you'd
 3 like.
 4 A If you could, please.
 5 Q Sure. Now, none of these are signed -- none
 6 of the ones you received ever from Nature Bridges say,
 7 Thank you, Santiago Garcia, engineer, do they? They
 8 all say, Santiago Garcia, estimator, true?
 9 A It says estimator here on this one.
 10 Q And all of them said that.
 11 A If you can give me all of them, I'll verify
 12 that for you.
 13 Q I don't know if we have time to do that.
 14 And I'm sure, if they don't all say that, your counsel
 15 will ask you about it on redirect.
 16 MR. HARPER: Objection, Your Honor.
 17 MS. LUKEN: It's withdrawn, Your Honor.
 18 BY MS. LUKEN:
 19 Q Now, Mr. Garcia never sent you any -- oh,
 20 and Mr. Garcia, he would email you, too, correct, or
 21 you would email him?
 22 A There were emails, ma'am.
 23 Q And you probably, like everybody else,
 24 you've got a signature block on your email for work,
 25 right?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A I actually don't, ma'am.
 2 Q Well, then you're a little different.
 3 Mr. Garcia had a signature block on his emails.
 4 A If I could see an email, then I could verify
 5 that for you.
 6 Q Okay. And you have no emails from
 7 Mr. Garcia where it indicates that his title is
 8 anything other than estimator, correct?
 9 A I have a lot of emails that have a lot of
 10 information in them.
 11 Q But you would think that if you were trying
 12 to demonstrate that somehow Mr. Garcia was holding
 13 himself out as an engineer, if there were indeed an
 14 email where he had said he was an engineer, that would
 15 be something you would be talking about, right?
 16 A I have the emails that discuss -- a lot of
 17 content from the engineers we discussed.
 18 Q And, now, you also testified in direct with
 19 your counsel that you understood that Nature Bridges
 20 was a licensed general contractor in New Jersey,
 21 correct?
 22 A Yes, ma'am.
 23 Q Now, you don't have any letterhead, emails,
 24 anything of that nature where it says Nature Bridges,
 25 licensed general contractor, New Jersey. You do not

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 have anything like that, correct?
 2 A I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?
 3 Q Do you have any communications from Nature
 4 Bridges, any emails from Nature Bridges, anything at
 5 all that says on it, on the letterhead, on a signature
 6 block, anything of that nature, that says Nature
 7 Bridges, licensed general contractor in the state of
 8 New Jersey and license number. You do not have
 9 anything like that.
 10 A I don't recall, ma'am. I'm not sure what
 11 you're asking.
 12 Q Do you have any piece of paper from Nature
 13 Bridges that says, We are licensed general contractors
 14 in the state of New Jersey and here is our license
 15 number?
 16 A I'm not sure, ma'am.
 17 Q You don't know. Okay. And you heard
 18 Ms. James testify on the first day of trial that
 19 Nature Bridges displays all of their licenses as
 20 required. Did you hear that testimony?
 21 A I can't recall her testimony at this point.
 22 Q Let's talk about the March 3rd, 2015, letter
 23 that was sent to your father as the DEP permit holder.
 24 Do you know the letter that I'm referencing?
 25 A If you could please show me.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q Sure. Page 24
 2 A Yes, ma'am.
 3 Q You're familiar with that letter?
 4 A Yes, ma'am.
 5 Q And that was a letter addressed from the New Jersey DEP to your father, who was the permit holder for the environmental permit, correct?
 6 A Yes. My father was the permit holder.
 7 Q Could I have that back, please? Thank you.
 8 All right. Now, under the contract agreement that you had with Nature Bridges, you were responsible for obtaining environmental permits, correct?
 9 A I had to provide them with the environmental permits.
 10 Q Right. You were responsible to go and get them, maintain them, keep them up to date, all of that stuff.
 11 A We all have to abide by the permits. It's my job to give them the permits so they know what they have to do.
 12 Q Right. But it's your responsibility to go get them and have them, correct?
 13 A It is my responsibility to make sure we have environmental permits before we proceed.
 14 Q To do the work that you want to do, right?
 15

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A For the general contractor to do the work that they need to do.
 2 Q And, again, the general contractor issue
 3 that you've raised several times, you know, again, you
 4 do not have any written representation of any sort
 5 from Nature Bridges that they were acting as a general
 6 contractor in the state of New Jersey.
 7 A I don't recall having anything, ma'am.
 8 Q All right. So this letter -- this is not my
 9 best timeline, unfortunately. This letter we just
 10 indicated was dated March 2nd, 2015. I'm sorry. I
 11 took it back from you.
 12 A That's correct, yes, ma'am.
 13 Q Do you remember that date?
 14 A That's correct.
 15 Q Now, the issues set forth in this letter, I
 16 think that you talked about those on direct with your
 17 counsel, but just to recap here, I believe I'm
 18 correct, the first item was, the permit needs to be
 19 recorded with the local county where the work is being
 20 performed; is that correct?
 21 A No, ma'am.
 22 Q That's not the first issue?
 23 A There was -- I believe, if I could have it back, it's two sentences above that first, notice of
 24

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 violation.
 2 Q Sir, I'm not going to be moving this into
 3 evidence. I'd like to have a discussion with you
 4 about this, though, because you talked about this on
 5 direct with your counsel. And if I recall your
 6 testimony, you said there was something about silt
 7 fence, right?
 8 A That's one of the items, silt fence, yes.
 9 Q One of the items was silt fence. One of the
 10 items was the permit needs to be recorded in the
 11 County.
 12 A That was one that was mentioned in there,
 13 yes.
 14 Q And then the other one was the permit needs
 15 to be posted on the job site.
 16 A That was one on there, yes.
 17 Q So those are the items?
 18 A Those are minor items. The biggest item is
 19 written above each of those three items.
 20 Q Okay. Sir, the things that needed to be
 21 done, there's three of them. You've got recording the
 22 permit, correct?
 23 A That's one of the items.
 24 Q You've got posting the permit at the job
 25 site.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A That's one of the items.
 2 Q And then you've got silt fence that needs to
 3 be reestablished.
 4 A That's, again, one of the minor items.
 5 Q And all three of those had to be resolved in
 6 order to resolve this letter with the New Jersey DEP?
 7 A That's incorrect, ma'am.
 8 Q You didn't have to resolve all three of
 9 these items?
 10 A These needed to be resolved only if you
 11 followed the approved drawings, which we did not.
 12 Q I think your use of the word "we" there is
 13 definitely apt.
 14 MR. HARPER: Objection, Your Honor.
 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
 16 MS. LUKEN: Withdrawn, Your Honor.
 17 BY MS. LUKEN:
 18 Q Now, Mr. Parker attempted to help you out
 19 with this letter, correct? You sent it to Brian
 20 Green. He sent it over to Mr. Parker.
 21 A Matthew Parker was involved in the notice of
 22 violation, yes.
 23 Q And he was trying to help you out, right?
 24 A He was addressing some items, yes, in the
 25 notice of violation.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q And he was someone you consulted with about
2 this, right?
3 A I had certain interactions with Matthew
4 Parker regarding these items, but the biggest item,
5 again --
6 Q Again, my question is, you worked with him
7 on this issue, right?
8 A He was involved in the notice of violation.
9 Q Now, in 2016, you had gotten to the point
10 where all of the issues had been addressed except for
11 one, the recording of the permit with the local
12 county, correct?
13 A That is incorrect, ma'am.
14 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 67 was marked for
15 identification.)
16 BY MS. LUKEN:
17 Q Okay. Well, let me show you what I've
18 marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 67 for reference. And
19 without -- because of certain evidentiary rulings in
20 the case, you may not read aloud from this at this
21 time. However, what I'm interested in is your
22 statements to Matt Parker and Brian Green. Okay?
23 This is an email from you dated February 11th, 2016,
24 right?
25 A That is the date of the email, yes.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q Let's extend out our timeline here. So
2 2016. And I'm sorry, the date of this email again?
3 A February 11th.
4 Q All right. Now, in this email to Matt
5 Parker and Brian Green dated February 11th, 2016, you
6 advised them that the only delay right now is that the
7 original permit is not being registered with the
8 County. That's correct?
9 A No, ma'am, that's not correct.
10 Q I'm sorry. Those are not your words in this
11 email?
12 A This is a mischaracterization of the
13 situation that was existing at this time.
14 Q Sir, do you agree with me that in this email
15 you state, The only delay right now is the original
16 permit not being registered with the County?
17 MR. HARPER: Your Honor -- I withdraw.
18 THE COURT: You can answer the question.
19 A This is a misrepresented sentence that I had
20 written under a false assumption at that time, yes.
21 BY MS. LUKEN:
22 Q So you were mistaken, right?
23 A I was --
24 Q Yes or no, you were mistaken?
25 A Let me specify a date.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q Sir, I just want to focus on my question.
2 You say to Nature Bridges and their sub-consultant
3 engineer in February 2016, The only delay which
4 affected this notice of violation is the original
5 permit not being registered with the County. Those
6 are your words, correct, sir? Sir?
7 A These are my words after a direct
8 communication based off of Brian Green.
9 Q Sir, these are your words, correct?
10 A These are words that are being put in my
11 mouth.
12 Q Well, sir, these are your words and that's
13 what you're saying to them, right?
14 A This is what I'm saying, not realizing that
15 the as-built survey was never submitted to DEP.
16 Q This is what you're saying. The original
17 permit needs to be recorded. And you go on in this
18 email, don't you, to say the following: I am not sure
19 who misinformed Matt -- and you're referencing there
20 Matt Parker, correct?
21 A Ma'am, can you just repeat the whole line
22 again? You said something. I may have missed it.
23 Could you restart the entire --
24 Q February 11th, 2016, you say, the only delay
25 right now is the original permit not being registered

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 with the County. And you go on to say in your email
2 to Matt and Brian, Matt Parker, Nature Bridges'
3 sub-consultant, Brian Green, Nature Bridges' project
4 manager, I am not sure who misinformed Matt of this
5 initial registering or where the confusion could be.
6 Those are your words, correct?
7 A This is what this conversation entailed.
8 They were not aware that we had deviated from the
9 permits. And this conversation, just to reflect what
10 the substance of this email is, is not aware --
11 Q Sir, sir, I'm focusing on your statements to
12 Matt Parker and Brian Green. And my question to you
13 simply is, did you say, I am not sure who misinformed
14 Matt of this initial registering or where the
15 confusion could be? Do you say that in this email?
16 A These statements are a follow-up to a
17 conversation --
18 MS. LUKEN: Your Honor, could I ask that the
19 witness be instructed to answer my question?
20 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, I believe that the
21 rule -- it's a little difficult when you've got
22 someone trying to explain the conversation as
23 opposed to --
24 THE COURT: Well, you'll have an opportunity
25 to redirect, Mr. Harper. But you can answer her

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 question. Your attorney can ask follow-up
2 questions.
3 A Can you repeat the question one more time?
4 BY MS. LUJEN:
5 Q You state in your email to Brian Green and
6 Matt Parker on February 11th, 2016, I am not sure who
7 misinformed Matt of this initial registering or where
8 the confusion could be. You say that in your email to
9 Matt and Brian, correct?
10 A That's one of the sentences that's
11 mentioned.
12 Q And when you say the initial registering,
13 you are referring to getting the permit recorded,
14 correct?
15 A The permit needed to be recorded.
16 Q Right. And so February 11th, 2016 --
17 actually, let me -- so you had thought that -- as of
18 February 11th, 2016, you had thought that the permit
19 had actually been recorded, correct?
20 A We established that while -- in 2014,
21 Santiago at Nature Bridges would appoint someone to do
22 permitting activities.
23 Q Sir, Nature Bridges has no responsibility
24 under this agreement to record your father's
25 environmental permit, do they?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A That was a conversation we had. They had --
2 Q Sir, within your contract agreement --
3 A There's nothing in the contract that says
4 they had to record the permit.
5 Q So as of the date of this email or prior to
6 that, you had thought that the permit had been
7 recorded, right?
8 A Prior to my conversation, I thought there's
9 necessary steps that needed to be taken.
10 Q Right. And you, in fact, told Brian Green
11 that, didn't you, back in April of 2015? In April of
12 2015, you told Brian Green that the permit had already
13 been recorded.
14 A I don't recall that, ma'am.
15 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 52 was marked for
16 identification.)
17 BY MS. LUJEN:
18 Q Let me show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 52. And
19 in this email to Mr. Green, you indicate that you will
20 go to the town and get the book and page number for
21 the recorded permit, correct?
22 A It states, I will follow up with the town to
23 get a book and page number. That does not equate to
24 being recorded. I was gathering the information that
25 he's asking me for.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q You are familiar with the concept of a book
2 and page number, right?
3 A Yes, ma'am, a book and page number.
4 Q And that's when you go to the local county,
5 like here in Jefferson County, and you take an
6 official document that has to do with a piece of real
7 estate, right?
8 A Okay, ma'am.
9 Q And then you record it with the clerk, and
10 she or he will issue you a book and page number
11 telling you where it is in the official records. You
12 do understand that, right?
13 A Yes.
14 Q And in this email in April of 2015, you tell
15 Nature Bridges that all you've got to do is go to the
16 County and get the book and page number, right?
17 A I told them, I was explaining the
18 information he's asking me for. He asked me for book
19 and page number. I'm giving him the book and page
20 number.
21 Q So when you say almost a year later,
22 February 2016, in your email to Mr. Green and
23 Mr. Parker that you're not sure where the confusion
24 arises from, that the permit has already been
25 recorded, that confusion is actually a result of your

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 own statement in April 2015 where you tell Brian Green
2 that it's already been recorded, correct?
3 A No, ma'am. There is an entire different
4 line of confusion that's existing.
5 Q There's more confusion than your own
6 confusion, when you say in April 2015 that it's
7 already been done?
8 A There's only one time of confusion.
9 Q So shortly after this point, where you're
10 demanding in February 11th, 2016, to Mr. Green and
11 Matt Parker that they get this permit recorded, they
12 explain to you that not only did they not contract
13 with you to do that, but that they can't record a
14 document that they don't have the original of. You
15 were explained this, correct?
16 A We're trying to resolve or we're trying to
17 figure out how to get a permit for the bridge that was
18 built. That was the discussion.
19 Q And on February 11th, 2016, you say to
20 Nature Bridges, the only thing holding us up here is
21 that the permit is not recorded. Why isn't the permit
22 recorded? Right?
23 A Again, it's a misrepresentation.
24 Q All right. So right around this time,
25 February 11th, 2016, shortly thereafter, Mr. Parker

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 says to you, Look, I've been trying to help you out
 2 with this, but this is beyond the scope of my work
 3 with Nature Bridges. It's beyond the scope of Nature
 4 Bridges' work. Here's an invoice from me. And if you
 5 want me to help you further, I'm happy to do it, but
 6 it's going to be \$3,500. Correct?

7 A I remember him saying that this was outside
 8 of the scope of Nature Bridges, and I remember
 9 following up with him.

10 Q And you remember him sending you an invoice,
 11 correct?

12 A I remember him forwarding an invoice. I
 13 don't recall receiving that invoice, and I followed up
 14 with him in a secondary invoice, a secondary email,
 15 sorry.

16 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 71 was marked for
 17 identification.)

18 BY MS. LUKEN:

19 Q Let me show you what -- actually, could I
 20 have back the ones you were using before, please? All
 21 right. And let me show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 71.
 22 And this is -- we're out of room here. And, Mr.
 23 Chaudhari, this is an email from Parker Consulting to
 24 you on March 16, 2016, correct?

25 A This is the email, but the actual invoice

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 was not attached. I could not open it, and I notified
 2 him of that.

3 Q And the document that you have in front of
 4 you indicates an attachment; does it not?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Invoice 1942 from Parker Consulting
 7 Services?

8 A Yes. It indicates that there is an
 9 attachment, but the attachment was not there.

10 Q All right. The document you have in front
 11 of you has it. I mean, I can't move anything into
 12 evidence at this time, but let me just ask you this.
 13 You knew that Matt Parker was sending you an invoice.

14 He tells you that in his email, right?

15 A He tells me there's a \$750 invoice.

16 Q And that was for the miscellaneous services
 17 that he had been providing to you that were outside
 18 the scope of his work with Nature Bridges, correct?

19 A He mentions it's outside the scope of Nature
 20 Bridges. It's addressing the minor things in the
 21 notice of violation.

22 Q And you did not pay that invoice?

23 A I told him I did not receive this invoice.

24 Q And he actually sent it to you again, didn't
 25 he?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A He did not, ma'am.

2 Q You never paid it, though, right?

3 A I can't pay something I haven't received,
 4 ma'am. He didn't send me an invoice. I did not
 5 receive that invoice.

6 Q Well, we'll talk about that with, I guess,
 7 another witness then. But the bottom line is, you
 8 knew that he was billing you for his services,
 9 correct?

10 A He states in here that there's \$750, time
 11 spent on addressing minor things in the notice of
 12 violation and an invoice that was not attached.
 13 That's what this document says.

14 Q Right. And he tells you that he can help
 15 you further for \$3,500, correct?

16 A It states in his email he has to complete
 17 application to get the two expired permits for a
 18 different bridge for \$3,500.

19 Q And you did not take him up on that
 20 proposal.

21 A At this point I was trying to realize, how
 22 can you renew permits for a different bridge.

23 Q Well, let's go to that. You never hired LAN
 24 Associates to modify their site development plan to
 25 account for the fact that you wanted a smaller bridge,

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 right?

2 A The smaller bridge comes from the advice of
 3 Santiago.

4 Q Sir, do you remember how many times in your
 5 deposition I asked you how you came up with the
 6 12-by-20-foot bridge that you requested from Nature
 7 Bridges?

8 A I have always answered it's been because of
 9 me and Santiago.

10 Q Oh, okay. Well, we'll have to test that
 11 then. That's going to take me a minute to put
 12 together. All right. Actually, my question was, do
 13 you remember how many times I asked you that question?

14 A I don't recall.

15 Q More than three?

16 A I don't recall how many times you asked it.

17 Q More than five?

18 A I don't recall.

19 Q How about on September 10th, 2018, just a
 20 mere two months ago, two and a half months ago, do you
 21 remember a deposition in this case?

22 A Yes. There's two, and I believe September
 23 was -- sounds about right, ma'am.

24 Q And do you remember that I asked you, sir,
 25 the following question? Well, actually, let me ask

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 you the question, and then we'll go into that. In
2 this deposition, I asked you a question. I said as
3 follows: That is the point, is you said, I want a
4 12-by-20-foot bridge, sir. And I'm asking you where
5 did you come up with that figure.

6 And do you recall you answered to me that
7 this was just an idea that you had from a discussion
8 of the span of a 12-foot stream? Do you recall that
9 answer that you provided?

10 A I don't recall the specific words. But,
11 yes, it was with Santiago. The discussion would have
12 been with Santiago.

13 Q And, again, you didn't even get a copy of
14 the site development plan until 2013, correct?

15 A I had the plans. I forwarded it to
16 Santiago.

17 Q You didn't give them to anybody germane here
18 until 2013, right?

19 A Santiago asked for it after -- yes, in 2013
20 I believe I sent it to him.

21 Q Sir, you had your discussions requesting --
22 and I talked about the email with you from July 2012,
23 where you say in no uncertain terms to Mr. Garcia, I
24 want pricing for a 12-by-20-foot bridge, correct? You
25 remember that email I showed you yesterday?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A A phone call before that email, but, yes,
2 that there was an email sent.

3 Q Right. And there was a phone call before
4 that email which actually prompted a quote for a
5 12-by-12-foot bridge, right?

6 A There was an email where a 12-by-12 at some
7 point was brought out, but, yes, I had phone calls
8 prior to the first email.

9 Q And so do you recall in this deposition that
10 I also asked you, how did you come up with the 12-foot
11 stream? Do you remember that line of questioning?

12 A Yes, ma'am, I do remember that.

13 Q And I said to you, how did you do that? Did
14 you go out there into the stream and measure it
15 yourself?

16 A No, ma'am.

17 Q And, in fact, you got that information from
18 LAN, correct?

19 A That is general information. At some point
20 LAN --

21 Q LAN told you that the stream was 12 feet?

22 A Because the general -- the entire stream is
23 not 12 feet, ma'am. It's a general depiction of --

24 Q And then you told that to Nature Bridges,
25 and you said, I want a 12-by-20-foot bridge because

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 the bridge needs to go a little bit beyond the width
2 of the stream. Those are your words in your emails to
3 Nature Bridges, correct?

4 A This is a discussion I was having at that
5 time, ma'am. This is when an engineer would
6 realize --

7 Q And that's fine, sir. And that's fine, sir.
8 A couple of other smaller matters. This is going to
9 seem out in left field, but it's what's in front of my
10 notes right now.

11 One of the questions that your counsel asked
12 you on direct was essentially, you know, you never
13 even received the name of the Nature Bridges interim
14 project manager, the gentleman who was in charge if
15 Brian Green was out of town or something like that.
16 Do you recall that discussion?

17 A Yes. I never received a title "interim
18 project manager" from Nature Bridges.

19 Q Right. And you didn't know who the guy was,
20 you didn't know his name either?

21 A I didn't know who was going to be
22 responsible for Brian Green's requirements as project
23 manager.

24 Q You said on direct -- and if I'm incorrect,
25 please tell me. You said on direct that you didn't

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 even know the gentleman's name that was running the
2 crew building your bridge.

3 A My discussions with Brian Green, when I had
4 them, was that his engineer was on site to actually
5 dictate.

6 Q It's an engineer on site. Okay. And so
7 there's more engineers wandering around then. Okay.

8 MR. HARPER: Objection, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Sustained.

10 MS. LUKEN: Withdrawn.

11 BY MS. LUKEN:

12 Q And, again, if I'm wrong in my recollection
13 of your testimony -- I have it written down here as,
14 Question: Were you ever given the name of Nature
15 Bridges' interim project manager? And I believe your
16 response was, No.

17 MR. HARPER: Objection, Your Honor.

18 BY MS. LUKEN:

19 Q Is that correct?

20 MR. HARPER: She's testifying.

21 THE COURT: Overruled.

22 A I didn't know who the interim project
23 manager was.

24 BY MS. LUKEN:

25 Q Okay. And let's take a look at Plaintiff's

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Exhibit 37. Plaintiff's Exhibit 37 -- and that's
2 currently in evidence. And I'm looking, sir, at the
3 second page. This is your counterproposal to Nature
4 Bridges, correct?

5 A I wouldn't consider the first one a proposal
6 or this is not a proposal.

7 Q This was the fill dirt, right? They had
8 sent you a proposal for some fill material?

9 A There was a discussion of finishing the
10 project, but that was not a proposal.

11 Q Well, I mean, they gave you a proposal for
12 fill material, right? We have that as Plaintiff's
13 Exhibit 36. Do you remember this?

14 A It's a piece of paper with some preliminary
15 information, but that's not a proposal.

16 Q I'm sorry?

17 A It's a piece of paper with some preliminary
18 information, but that's not a proposal.

19 Q This is a budget proposal for permitting
20 purposes only, right?

21 A That's what it says.

22 Q It says proposal right up here, right?

23 A It says proposal there.

24 Q And that's Plaintiff's Exhibit 36. So this
25 is dated October 13th, 2014. And this is after you

Page 44

1 A No, ma'am. I requested a proposal and the
2 engineering plans to be submitted to get the road
3 opening permit for the new --

4 Q You were going to get the road opening
5 permit, though, right? There's an email in our
6 evidence already that you were going to go and get the
7 road opening permit, correct?

8 A I was going to give this information to
9 Nature Bridges to get the road opening permit done.

10 Q Sir, Plaintiff's Exhibit 30, this is an
11 email from you to Nature Bridges in which you say, I
12 will file for the permit application for opening the
13 county road and obtain it ASAP. Those are your words,
14 right?

15 A These are my words in the email.

16 Q Very good. That's October 3rd, correct?

17 A This is dated October 3rd.

18 Q Right. And then on October 13th, Nature
19 Bridges sends you this, right?

20 A This was one piece that I needed.

21 Q Yes. But they sent this to you?

22 A They sent that to me, yes.

23 Q And it is for fill material?

24 A It is an estimated proposal with an
25 indeterminate amount of fill. There's no quantifying

Page 46

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 said, I would like you to give me a price for the fill
2 material to go on either side of the abutment,
3 correct?

4 A Can I see the email, ma'am?

5 THE COURT: Ms. Luken, somebody dropped a
6 folder off for you.

7 MS. LUKEN: Thank you.

8 BY MS. LUKEN:

9 Q And I'm sorry. You want to see the email
10 that attaches this proposal? This is after that, and
11 I'm just trying to make the connection here. Job
12 finishes September 11th, 2014, right? That's work
13 done on site, and the crew -- the crew leaves, right?
14 You agree?

15 A I don't think the work was done, but the
16 crew has left.

17 Q And you were never on site watching the work
18 anyway, so you don't dispute that Nature Bridges left
19 the site September 11th, 2014?

20 A I will not dispute Nature Bridges left the
21 site on that date.

22 Q And then three weeks later you requested
23 that they give you a proposal for the fill material to
24 go on either side of the concrete abutments that make
25 up the bridge, correct?

Page 45

Page 47

1 numbers to actually suggest the whole amount of fill
2 that will be needed. This number is to get the road
3 opening permit done. It's not a proposal.

4 Q Which you were going to go do.

5 A No, ma'am.

6 Q Your email says you're going to apply for
7 the road opening permit ASAP.

8 A This email says I was going to file for the
9 permit application, but when the location changed --

10 Q Okay. Sir, sir, I'm talking about what you
11 did on October 3rd in your email to Nature Bridges.
12 You said, I'm going to apply for the road opening
13 permit ASAP. That's in capital letters and underlined
14 and bolded, right?

15 A Yes, ma'am. I was --

16 Q All right. That's my question. So after
17 you say to Nature Bridges, I'm going to go get the
18 road opening permit, can you guys give me a quote for
19 the fill material, they give you a quote. And then
20 you, in Plaintiff's Exhibit 37, respond to that,
21 correct? I have 37 in front of you, don't I?

22 A Yes, ma'am. I see it.

23 Q And you respond to this proposal that
24 Mr. Garcia, the estimator, sent to you, right?
25 A I responded to the document.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q Yes. And you say, Hi, Santiago. Thanks
2 again for providing the proposal. I accept the price
3 offered.

4 So you accepted the price that was offered,
5 the \$27,000.

6 A Yes. There was a discussion about the
7 price.

8 Q Okay. And then you list out several other
9 items that you want to be included as extra work, but
10 you want to keep the same price, correct?

11 A No, ma'am, that's not entirely correct.

12 Q You say, I would like to convey and discuss
13 a few points. Your first paragraph, you say you want
14 Louis, who is the adjacent property owner, right?

15 A Yes.

16 Q You want Louis to team up with Brian.
17 That's Brian Green.

18 A Yes.

19 Q And Rick. You know Rick's name. Rick was
20 the crew leader for Nature Bridges, right?

21 A He was a worker.

22 Q Okay. He was the crew leader for Nature
23 Bridges. And contrary to your testimony yesterday,
24 you did know his name. You knew his name right here
25 because you put it in this email, right?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 You say in your email that you want to add certain
2 items that are excluded. In fact, you want a
3 guardrail --

4 A Yes, ma'am.

5 Q -- and you want a gravel path, correct?

6 A The second paragraph is saying guardrail --

7 Q And you --

8 A -- and gravel path. Yes, and gravel path.

9 Q And you want a gravel path also, correct?

10 A It says gravel path.

11 Q Okay. Then you also want -- and I'm
12 skipping down here. You are aware, as of the date of
13 this email -- which let's write that on the top. Sir,
14 what's the date on Plaintiff's Exhibit 37? Could you
15 look at the first page for me, please?

16 A October 15th, ma'am.

17 Q October 15th, 2014. And so in your last
18 paragraph here, you're talking about the DEP permits.
19 Those are the environmental permits that you are
20 obligated to obtain under your contract with Nature
21 Bridges, right?

22 A Ma'am, that is in the last paragraph. If
23 you go to the paragraph above --

24 Q Sir, your counsel is going to probably ask
25 you all sorts of questions. I'm asking my questions,

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A I knew Rick's name, but that's not to say he
2 was the replacement for Brian Green. And, again,
3 Brian Green told me the engineer was on site.

4 Q Now, they send you a proposal for fill
5 material for \$27,000. You say you want Nature Bridges
6 to use Louis's 250 cubic yards of fill, right?

7 A Yes, ma'am. I was trying to piece up the
8 relationship between Louis and Nature Bridges.

9 Q We're going to get to that. Don't worry.
10 We're going to definitely talk about that. You also
11 say in your next paragraph, I would like to make a
12 request for certain other items that are excluded as
13 of now to be included in the proposed price. You see
14 that there?

15 A I see that line, yes.

16 Q And so you're saying to them, I want to take
17 the \$27,000, but I want you to add on some things that
18 are not currently included, correct?

19 A That's not entirely true, ma'am.

20 Q I'm sorry. You're telling us here that
21 that's not what that means?

22 A No, ma'am. There is discussion with
23 Santiago and Louis as to how this is going to --

24 Q Sir, sir, I'm just talking about the email.
25 Okay? I'm just talking about the email right now.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 though, right now. The DEP permits -- let me get back
2 my train of thought here.

3 You are aware, as of October 15th, 2014,
4 that the DEP permits, those are the environmental
5 permits that you're obligated to obtain under your
6 contract with Nature Bridges, are going to expire at
7 the end of February. That means February 2015, right?

8 A I know the permits that were originally
9 obtained for the original site plans expired
10 February 2015. That's the date that's on the permit.

11 Q And you're aware of that, right, as of
12 October 15th, 2014, right?

13 A Yes, ma'am. I'm aware the original permits
14 for the original design is going to expire in February
15 of 2015.

16 Q And you asked Nature Bridges to include in
17 their dirt proposal, include the work of getting those
18 permits renewed, correct?

19 A Yes, ma'am. They're prompting a discussion
20 about DEP permits.

21 Q Right. And you're asking -- you want Nature
22 Bridges to include that in their scope of work in the
23 proposal for fill material.

24 A Yes, ma'am. I want the word "DEP permits"
25 on all the proposals going forward.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q And Nature Bridges and you did not enter
2 into a contract arising out of this proposal, did you?
3 A This is not a proposal, ma'am.
4 Q Okay. You and Nature Bridges never entered
5 into an agreement whereby you say, Here, I would like
6 to accept the \$27,000, but I want you to do a
7 guardrail, I want you to do gravel, I want you to go
8 and renew my DEP permits. You never entered into an
9 agreement with Nature Bridges for that scope of work.
10 A We verbally agreed to --
11 Q Okay. Never had a written agreement for
12 that work.
13 A I never received a written agreement from
14 Nature Bridges to begin with.
15 Q Very good. And again, as of this date,
16 October 15th, 2015, one of the reasons why you
17 wanted --
18 A It's 2014. I'm sorry.
19 Q I'm sorry. You're right. Thank you. One
20 of the reasons why you want Nature Bridges to do that
21 is because you say you would just prefer to work with
22 Nature Bridges' team and benefit the team, given the
23 excellent work already performed and the highest level
24 of customer service demonstrated. Those are your
25 words to Nature Bridges October 15th, 2014, correct?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q It's bullet points 3 and 4, numbered
2 paragraphs 3 and 4, correct?
3 A I don't have the document in front of me.
4 Q You do know that silt fence was in the
5 letter, right? It needed to be reestablished.
6 A Yes, ma'am. The silt fence was in the
7 letter.
8 Q And you're aware that silt fence is
9 temporary, correct?
10 A Yes, ma'am.
11 Q And as of the time of this letter -- and,
12 actually, let's just mark this in here. Nature
13 Bridges is off site September 11th, 2014, right? We
14 agree on that?
15 A That sounds right, ma'am.
16 Q All right. So this letter from DEP about
17 the silt fence falling down, that's not until six
18 months after Nature Bridges left the site, correct?
19 A There's a six-month gap between the two.
20 Q Okay. And so you did not maintain that silt
21 fence in any way for those six months after Nature
22 Bridges left the site.
23 A I did not maintain the silt fence after they
24 left and told me they would come back to it.
25 Q And you don't contend that Nature Bridges

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A After my site visit with my --
2 Q Sir, those are your words, correct?
3 A It's a misrepresentation of my words.
4 Q I just read them out loud for the jury. Are
5 you saying I didn't read them correctly?
6 A You read the words, but I can explain the
7 meaning.
8 Q Well, the words are on the page, and we're
9 going to have a finder of fact determine meaning,
10 so --
11 MR. HARPER: Objection, Your Honor.
12 MS. LUKEN: Withdrawn.
13 THE COURT: Sustained.
14 BY MS. LUKEN:
15 Q All right. So you knew Rick's name, I
16 guess, is the bottom line to this whole thing, right?
17 A I knew Rick's name.
18 Q Okay. Very good. One more thing. I don't
19 want to drag this back out here, but let's just do it.
20 I think we established, March 2nd, 2015, we have a
21 letter from DEP setting forth various items. I think
22 you mentioned with your counsel silt fence was one of
23 those items, correct?
24 A There's mention of silt fence on those
25 items.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 had some sort of continuing obligation until the end
2 of time to maintain a silt fence, do you?
3 A They had to maintain a silt fence till the
4 time they finished the project.
5 Q Yeah, while they're doing their work. You
6 would agree with me that after their work is
7 completed, the silt fence is no longer their
8 responsibility.
9 A If the work was completed right, then the
10 silt fence could be removed.
11 Q So this letter from DEP raising silt fence
12 as an issue is six months after they left the site,
13 correct?
14 A They had left for six months, yes.
15 Q That's the answer. Okay. Thank you.
16 Mr. Chaudhari, on direct examination, you alleged that
17 the adjacent property owner, Mr. Louis Ratti, was
18 threatening to sue, and so you paid him. That was
19 your testimony on direct; is that true?
20 A He was threatening to sue. I paid him for
21 services.
22 Q You paid him to get him to stop threatening
23 to sue you.
24 A I made him a part of the team to reconcile
25 all differences.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q So he was part of the team, too, right?
 2 A Yes, ma'am, Nature Bridges, Louis Ratti --
 3 Q And LAN, right? LAN is part of your team.
 4 They're the ones that drew up the site development
 5 plan, right?
 6 A Which weren't complied to.
 7 Q But, again, sir, you changed the site
 8 development plan because you decided you didn't want a
 9 35-foot bridge.
 10 A No, ma'am. They changed the site
 11 development plans.
 12 Q Those are your -- they're your father's site
 13 development plans, right?
 14 A Site development plans are site development
 15 plans, whoever's name is on them. The plans were
 16 changed.
 17 Q And just by the by, the gentleman from LAN
 18 Associates who drew that site development plan, do you
 19 recall his name?
 20 A Yes, ma'am.
 21 Q And what is his name?
 22 A Richard Wostbrock.
 23 Q And when Mr. Wostbrock's name is shown in
 24 all documents that you've seen, you always see a comma
 25 after it and a P.E., right? You've seen that before,

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 this issue, your implication was that you were forced
 2 somehow to pay Mr. Ratti because Nature Bridges was
 3 not paying him. Was that your implication?
 4 A No, that was not my implication, ma'am.
 5 Q You never meant to suggest that?
 6 A What am I suggesting? I can't follow what
 7 you're saying.
 8 Q Your testimony yesterday was that the
 9 adjacent property owner was threatening to sue, right?
 10 A He was threatening to sue, yes.
 11 Q And you said you had to pay him, right?
 12 A I offered to assist in the payments.
 13 Q Oh, okay. So you -- again, I think the
 14 transcript will bear me out, but you're saying your
 15 testimony yesterday, you didn't say you paid him?
 16 A I gave him money for certain things that he
 17 performed.
 18 Q But that was at a different time than the
 19 threatening to sue you?
 20 A Yes, ma'am. I told him that they will come
 21 back and they will help resolve all the issues.
 22 Q So you didn't mean to imply that Nature
 23 Bridges did not pay Mr. Ratti?
 24 A It's in the text messages, ma'am. I'm not
 25 sure what else --

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Richard Wostbrock, comma, P.E.?
 2 A I don't recall that, but he's apparently a
 3 P.E.
 4 Q Right. And that's a title like, again,
 5 attorney at law, M.D., right? You recognize it as
 6 such, and so you know that Mr. Wostbrock is a
 7 registered professional engineer because he uses the
 8 term "P.E." after his name, right?
 9 A That is what that means up there, yes.
 10 Q And he's the one that drew the site
 11 development plan.
 12 A He drew the site development plans.
 13 Q Perfect. Let's get back to Mr. Ratti again.
 14 So you claim you had to pay him -- and, again, I think
 15 what you were inferring there is that you were forced
 16 to pay Mr. Ratti because Nature Bridges was not paying
 17 him?
 18 MR. HARPER: Objection.
 19 BY MS. LUKEN:
 20 Q That was your implication?
 21 THE COURT: She asked a question at the end.
 22 Overruled. Can you restate the question?
 23 MS. LUKEN: Yes, Your Honor.
 24 BY MS. LUKEN:
 25 Q In your testimony yesterday with respect to

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q Well, the jury doesn't have that in front of
 2 them, so I'm trying to get the information from you.
 3 You are not implying that Nature Bridges did not pay
 4 Mr. Ratti. As far as you are aware, Nature Bridges
 5 paid Mr. Ratti in full, correct?
 6 A I didn't see the agreement between Louis
 7 Ratti and Nature Bridges. I only can recall what he
 8 has told me.
 9 Q And as far as you know, he has been paid in
 10 full.
 11 A I've seen the recent information that
 12 suggests he was paid.
 13 Q Suggests. Sir, this is a document you
 14 received, isn't it?
 15 A Yes. I believe this is part of the
 16 discovery.
 17 Q Yes. And this confirms -- and this is
 18 Mr. Ratti's signature at the bottom, right?
 19 A I'm not sure.
 20 Q You don't recognize Mr. Ratti's signature?
 21 You've had some correspondence with him; have you not?
 22 A I don't recall seeing his signature but --
 23 Q This is his address, right, 26 Stillwater
 24 Road?
 25 A 26 Stillwater Road is where Louis resides.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q And this document, which you've seen before,
2 indicates that he has received his final payment from
3 Nature Bridges as of October 2nd, 2014, correct?
4 A I have not seen this document before. I
5 don't recall seeing this document.

6 Q Sir, you've been heavily involved in this
7 litigation; have you not?

8 A That's true, ma'am.

9 Q And, I mean, you've looked at all the
10 documents that have been produced back and forth.
11 You've sat in on every deposition, I believe. Do you
12 remember reviewing documents produced by Nature
13 Bridges in this matter?

14 A There's a lot of documents. Sure.

15 Q Let's do this another way then, and let's
16 just do a quick little history on this also. All
17 right. We talked about this yesterday, so I think we
18 can kind of breeze through this, but I want to do this
19 in the framework of Mr. Ratti for a minute, if you
20 don't mind.

21 If you recall, this is one of plaintiff's
22 exhibits. It is the \$25,000 invoice that Ms. James
23 agreed to invoice you, even though your contract says
24 a hundred percent is going to be billed; is that
25 correct?

1 A Can you say it one more time? Sorry.
2 Q This is the \$25,000 invoice from Nature
3 Bridges dated September 16, 2014.

4 A That is the \$25,000 invoice dated September
5 16th.

6 Q And you recall our discussion yesterday
7 about you asking Ms. James to not bill you the full 55
8 but only bill you the 25, correct?

9 A Say that one more time. I'm sorry.

10 Q Ms. James originally sent you an invoice for
11 \$55,000?

12 A No, she did not. I don't recall her sending
13 me an invoice for \$55,000.

14 Q Sir, I think we covered this yesterday. I
15 believe you agreed with me that your payment terms
16 were a hundred percent billed on completion of the
17 project, correct?

18 A Yes, ma'am, a hundred percent.

19 Q And you had a phone conversation with her
20 and you asked her to send you a bill for just part of
21 it, \$25,000.

22 A I agreed to do progress invoices.

23 Q Howsoever. When did you pay this?

24 A I'm sorry. What was the last thing you
25 said?

1 Q I said howsoever, and I withdraw that. It

2 wasn't a question. When did you pay this invoice?

3 A Shortly thereafter.

4 Q September 24th?

5 A Possibly, ma'am. I'm sure it's in the
6 paperwork.

7 Q And if that's what's shown in Nature
8 Bridges' books and records, you would have no reason
9 to dispute that? That would be consistent with your
10 recollection?

11 A If it's stated, I'm sure that could be
12 verified.

13 Q You saw this exhibit, right? Ms. James was
14 discussing it. You see your first payment here? It's
15 logged in at September 24th, 2014, right?

16 A Okay, ma'am. I see that.

17 Q Okay. And do you agree with that?

18 A I have no reason not to agree with it,
19 ma'am.

20 Q Okay. Fair enough. Now, you had a
21 conversation with Mr. Louis Ratti on September 24th,
22 2014, correct? And you were having some text messages
23 back and forth?

24 A There were text messages, ma'am. The date
25 would be what it is.

1 Q Sure. I understand. And you had him as
2 Louis Fredon on your phone, right?

3 A Yes, ma'am.

4 Q Is that because his first name is Louis and
5 he's from the Fredon Township where this property is
6 located?

7 A Sure, ma'am.

8 Q That's a good way to remember people.

9 A I thought so, ma'am.

10 Q Very good. Now, this is the text message,
11 and I guess I want to ask you this. Do you remember
12 September 24th, 2014, as the date you received a text
13 message from Mr. Louis Ratti demanding payment and
14 saying he's going to sue you? Do you remember that as
15 the date?

16 A I don't remember the date.

17 Q Let me show you.

18 A Yes, ma'am. I see the date, September 24th,
19 2014.

20 Q And as of that date, that's the date of your
21 first payment to Nature Bridges, right, \$25,000?

22 A Okay, ma'am, yes.

23 Q Again, I just -- I showed you that document.
24 A Yes, ma'am. The date September 24th
25 matches.

1 Q And you heard Ms. James' testimony that
2 pretty much from when they entered into the contract
3 with you, they started incurring costs and they
4 incurred costs all the way. You would agree with me
5 that as of their departure from the site, they had
6 pretty much incurred all the costs of the work.

7 A Ma'am, I don't recall.

8 Q But they hadn't been paid anything until
9 September 24th.

10 A That's my first check.

11 Q And so you are having a conversation with
12 Mr. Ratti on September 24th, and he is claiming that
13 he has not been paid; is that true, sir?

14 A Yes, ma'am.

15 Q Okay. And, now, you don't -- and I think
16 you admitted this already. You don't know the details
17 of whatever payment arrangements Nature Bridges had
18 with Mr. Ratti.

19 A I do not know the arrangements.

20 Q But you did find out that on -- if you could
21 flip to the next page. Was it October 2nd, 2014,
22 Mr. Ratti was paid?

23 A Yeah. I believe I paid Louis.

24 Q Pardon me? Because that's actually what you
25 said yesterday, too, and I want to clarify this issue.

Page 64

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A This is when I overnighed the check to
2 Nature --

3 Q Sir, this is a text message between you and
4 Louis, right? And he tells you, Rick called. That's
5 Rick, the crew leader Rick, right?

6 A No, ma'am. There was something else that
7 was going on around this time that I can't recall.

8 Q I'm sorry?

9 A There were a couple of different things that
10 were happening at this time.

11 Q Does Mr. Louis Ratti say to you, The check
12 came, thank you?

13 A He says, Check came, thank you.

14 Q And that's on October 2nd, right?

15 A It's dated October 2nd.

16 MS. LUKEN: Your Honor, could I ask for a
17 five-minute break?

18 THE COURT: I was going to say, it's 10:30,
19 mid-morning break time. So let's take a
20 ten-minute recess.

21 (Jury leaves courtroom.)

22 (Recess taken.)

23 THE COURT: Ready for the jury?

24 MR. HARPER: Yes, Your Honor.

25 MS. LUKEN: Yes, Your Honor.

Page 65

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 (Jury enters the courtroom.)

3 BY MS. LUKEN:

4 Q Mr. Chaudhari, you had a few words to say
5 about various aspects of the contract, so I'm going to
6 run through a couple of those with you. Actually, our
7 perennial play list, Exhibit 15. All right.

8 Mr. Parker asked you some questions about
9 the schedule provision, which is paragraph 9. Do you
10 have that in front of you, sir?

11 A Yes, ma'am.

12 Q And I think your focus -- and I wasn't quite
13 clear on your testimony on this. You seem to be
14 focused on this "time is of the essence" clause,
15 correct?

16 A There's a couple of things in this
17 paragraph, ma'am.

18 Q And one of them is this "time is of the
19 essence."

20 A One of them is "time is of the essence."

21 Q And you would agree with me that that's
22 applicable to everybody, right? That's applicable to
23 you, that's applicable to Nature Bridges, right?

24 A Time is applicable to everyone.

25 Q Right. Time is important.

Page 66

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A Time is important.

2 Q Right, because we're trying to get something
3 done. Okay. Now, you seem to say that there was some
4 sort of problem with -- and I guess maybe that's not
5 clear to me. But Nature Bridges contracted with you
6 in February 2014, and they departed the site on
7 September 11th, 2014, correct?

8 A That's when they departed.

9 Q And so in terms of that time frame, you have
10 some sort of concern or complaint with that?

11 A I sent them the permits prior, and the work
12 could have been arranged or expedited to be completed
13 sooner.

14 Q Okay. You wanted the work done sooner than
15 September 11th, 2014?

16 A I wanted the work done in the next available
17 time frame, to make sure all the work had been
18 completed.

19 Q And you never -- I mean, there's no
20 specifics in this schedule provision that says all the
21 work needs to be done by, you know, July 15 or
22 something like that. You agree with me that there's
23 no provision in this contract that says that.

24 A There's no date when the contract needs to
25 be done by, correct.

Page 67

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q And you never provided a schedule for the
2 project, right?
3 A I didn't give them a schedule.
4 Q And in fact, you -- I believe one of your
5 first communications the minute after this contract
6 was signed is that you were leaving the country for a
7 month, right?
8 A There was a vacation in between. I remember
9 a vacation.
10 Q You actually -- you emailed and you said,
11 I'm going to be gone for a month. I'll check back in
12 with you-all when I'm back, right?
13 A I do remember a vacation.
14 Q And you did. You checked in about six weeks
15 later, right? You said, I'm officially back from my
16 trip.
17 A I remember an email saying I'm officially
18 back.
19 Q All right. Let's look at -- let me find it
20 on here first. Let's look at this one now. This is a
21 warranty provision. Let's look at paragraph 14,
22 warranty. I believe your counsel was focused on the
23 following language: All work is subject to approval
24 by owner.
25 And your counsel focused in on that and you

1 answered some questions about that provision. Do you
2 recall that?
3 A I remember discussing this sentence, yes.
4 Q Now, you would agree with me that this
5 provision is subject to a reasonableness evaluation.
6 MR. HARPER: Objection, Your Honor. That's
7 vague.
8 MS. LUKEN: I can reframe.
9 BY MS. LUKEN:
10 Q You would agree with me that this provision
11 does not allow the owner to unreasonably withhold
12 approval.
13 A There is certainly a reasonableness to the
14 subjectivity of the work.
15 Q So, I mean, if the reason why the owner is
16 withholding approval is unreasonable, you would agree
17 with me that this provision would not apply.
18 A If the owner was unreasonable, then that can
19 be discussed, yes.
20 Q Very good. There's a provision addressing
21 sufficient workforce that I believe your counsel was
22 referencing. Let's just -- since we've got this up
23 here, let's go through that. Do you know the
24 provision I'm talking about, sir?
25 A Please tell me the paragraph.

1 Q I'm sorry. I thought -- I'm sorry. Just
2 let me find what I'm looking for. Do you recall your
3 counsel asking you about a provision within the
4 contract that says something to the effect of Nature
5 Bridges will provide a sufficient workforce to perform
6 your project?
7 A I'm sorry. Could you repeat that? What
8 workforce?
9 Q Sufficient workforce. Do you recall a
10 discussion on that? And I believe your claim was
11 something to the effect of you didn't think that they
12 had sufficiently manned the project, so to speak?
13 A I don't recall a discussion, but I do agree
14 to that assertion.
15 Q And you were not physically at the site when
16 any work was being performed.
17 A I wasn't physically at the site. But,
18 again, Brian told me the engineer was on site to do a
19 final verification of the --
20 Q Sir, you've said that a number of times now.
21 My question was simply this. Were you on the site?
22 Were you there?
23 A I was not on the site during the days of the
24 construction.
25 Q The entire construction?

1 A My parents were at the site.
2 Q And I remember that. But you personally
3 were not.
4 A Correct, ma'am.
5 Q Actually, let's take a look at that. I
6 believe it's paragraph 21, and this is contractor's
7 representative and workers. It states in the first
8 sentence, Contractor shall have a competent
9 representative at all times during performance of the
10 work who shall be authorized to act in all respects on
11 behalf of contractor. Is that the provision you were
12 referencing?
13 A Referencing when?
14 Q When you said that you felt like Nature
15 Bridges did not have appropriate representatives on
16 site.
17 A Yes. Brian told me the engineer was on
18 site.
19 Q Sir, is this the provision that you're
20 talking about?
21 A Yes, ma'am. I think an engineer is
22 qualified as a competent representative.
23 Q And, again, my question to you is, this
24 provision, it says that Nature Bridges shall have a
25 competent representative at the project at all times

1 during the performance of the work. And I'm taking it
 2 from your testimony, sir, that you're saying that Rick
 3 Miller of Nature Bridges is not a competent
 4 representative. Is that what you're saying?

5 A I am saying my testimony, I was assured this
 6 bridge -- the competent representative worker from
 7 Nature Bridges, it's my testimony today, was Matthew
 8 Parker, who did the final verification of this bridge
 9 to ensure that it met all compliance.

10 Q Sir, is there anywhere in this paragraph
 11 that says there's going to be a registered
 12 professional engineer like -- remember Mr. Wostbrock,
 13 the guy with a P.E. at the end of his name? Is there
 14 anything in this contract that says that there's going
 15 to be a registered professional engineer on the site
 16 as the representative of Nature Bridges on the project
 17 for the ten days that the construction of your bridge
 18 took? Is there anything in this contract that says
 19 that?

20 A I think the first line about the definition
 21 of a bridge. How do you know it's a bridge without
 22 knowing that it's structurally qualified to support a
 23 vehicle?

24 Q Well, maybe -- you know, you chose not to
 25 hire LAN to assist you in your site development plans,

Page 72

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 correct?

2 MR. HARPER: Objection, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: What's your objection?

4 MR. HARPER: My objection was counsel is
 5 testifying.

6 THE COURT: Overruled.

7 BY MS. LUKEN:

8 Q Sir, if there is confusion for you about
 9 what is or is not a bridge, you could have hired LAN
 10 in 2012 when Mr. Guddemi advised you that you probably
 11 could use some help. You could have given him the
 12 2,000 retainer at that time, right?

13 A Mr. Guddemi sent an email referencing me
 14 paying for a specialist and approached Santiago with
 15 the services.

16 Q Sir, my question was simply, you could have
 17 hired LAN to tell you what a bridge was. You could
 18 have hired LAN to make changes to your site
 19 development plan to accommodate your desire for a
 20 12-by-20-foot bridge. You could have done all of
 21 those things, but you chose not to do them, right?

22 A I hired Nature Bridges as a general
 23 contractor.

24 Q But Nature Bridges didn't design your site
 25 development plan. You did that through your team

Page 73

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 member LAN, right?

2 A Nature Bridges --

3 Q LAN is working for you.

4 A I didn't hire LAN. I hired Nature Bridges.

5 Q But you also hired LAN. You hired LAN to do
 6 this site development plan back in 2008 that you claim
 7 now is a big problem. But you never told them that
 8 you wanted to change it to a 12-by-20-foot bridge, and
 9 you didn't hire them to change the plan to accommodate
 10 your desire for a 12-by-20-foot bridge, did you?

11 A I gave the plan to Nature Bridges and hired
 12 them to comply with those plans.

13 Q Right. And those are your plans, and you
 14 did not go to LAN and say, I want a 12-by-20-foot
 15 bridge, please make a change. You didn't do that, did
 16 you?

17 A Their engineering plans were to match the
 18 site plans.

19 Q And they were Richard Wostbrock's
 20 engineering plans, right?

21 A They were Matthew Parker's engineering
 22 plans.

23 Q No. I'm talking about the site development
 24 plan, sir, that shows a 35-foot bridge, right?

25 A There's a site development plan.

Page 74

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

Page 75

1 Q That shows a 35-foot bridge. It's drawn by
 2 a man with a P.E. after his name, an engineer, Richard
 3 Wostbrock?

4 A Richard Wostbrock is an engineer.

5 Q Right. And so you never went to Mr. Richard
 6 Wostbrock and said, I can't afford a 35-foot bridge
 7 that you've got designed here. I want to do something
 8 cheaper. I want to do a 12-by-20-foot bridge.

9 MR. HARPER: Objection. Counsel is
 10 testifying.

11 BY MS. LUKEN:

12 Q Change your plans --

13 MS. LUKEN: I'm sorry. There was an
 14 objection, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: The objection is that you're
 16 testifying.

17 MS. LUKEN: I'll try to just ask two
 18 sentences then.

19 BY MS. LUKEN:

20 Q You never went to Mr. Wostbrock or LAN
 21 Associates and said, I can't afford a 35-foot bridge.
 22 I want to do something cheaper. Please redraw the
 23 site development plan so I can do something smaller.
 24 You never did that.

25 A Say that one more time, ma'am.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q You never went to Richard Wostbrock, the
2 P.E. guy, or anyone at LAN Associates and said, I
3 can't afford a 35-foot bridge. I want to do a 20-foot
4 bridge. Please redraw the site development plan.

5 A No, I never went to Richard Wostbrock.

6 Q All right. One more thing on this. You
7 know, if you did not like Rick Miller as the crew
8 leader and competent representative for Nature
9 Bridges, you had the option under this agreement to
10 provide a written request stating any reasonable
11 grounds for removal.

12 Now, you never went to Nature Bridges and
13 said, I want this Rick Miller guy off my job. You
14 never did that, right?

15 A Ma'am, I had no complaints with Rick Miller.

16 Q Okay. Very good. Now, prior to your foray
17 into this matter, you had not had any prior
18 development experience, developing a piece of raw land
19 into a residential home site with various amenities,
20 correct?

21 A I've not performed or -- I've never
22 developed a property, that's correct.

23 Q This is your first try.

24 A This is the -- yeah. This is the
25 residential property development, first try.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q Now, yesterday you had made some testimony
2 about your belief that the bridge installed by Nature
3 Bridges is encroaching on the stream. Do you remember
4 that?

5 A Yes, ma'am.

6 Q Now, my question for you is a very simple
7 question. Is your testimony in that regard your own
8 personal view or belief or something that you
9 personally have observed, or is it something that
10 someone else who is not here in the courtroom today
11 told you?

12 A No, ma'am. I took the as-built survey.
13 That is my testimony.

14 Q So it's something that's not here in front
15 of the Court today that you're basing your statement
16 on.

17 A I'm explaining how I arrived at my
18 conclusion.

19 Q My question was simply, is this something
20 that you personally observed or evaluated, or is it
21 something that somebody who is not here in the
22 courtroom today told you?

23 A It is both.

24 Q Did you go out to the site and make any sort
25 of physical observations?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A Yes, ma'am.

2 Q Just for clarity, too, so the jury knows
3 here, there's a whole bunch of pictures of your
4 property and your bridge, right?

5 A There's a bunch of pictures.

6 Q A lot of them, right?

7 A There's a lot of them.

8 Q Dozens and dozens.

9 A I'd say there's a lot.

10 Q And so your reasoning in this regard, then,
11 is twofold. You've got somebody who's not here and
12 then your own personal observations, correct?

13 A No, ma'am. It's based off of a survey that
14 was done.

15 Q Okay. A document that's not before the
16 Court here today.

17 A I'm not sure if the document is here or not.

18 Q Let's address what your claim is here in
19 this lawsuit. You never asked anybody at LAN whether
20 or not you could put a 12-by-20-foot bridge in the
21 location shown on the site plan for a 35-foot bridge,
22 did you?

23 MR. HARPER: Objection, asked and answered.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

25 A Can you repeat the question, please?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 BY MS. LUKEN:

2 Q You never asked anybody at LAN whether or
3 not you could fit a 12-by-20-foot bridge in the
4 location shown on your site development plan for a
5 35-foot bridge.

6 A I've never asked LAN -- what was the
7 question again, ma'am?

8 Q You never asked LAN whether or not you could
9 put a 12-by-20-foot bridge in the location where a
10 35-foot bridge is shown in their site development
11 plan.

12 A I have not asked LAN.

13 Q And you are aware that Mr. Wostbrock has a
14 view on that subject, correct?

15 A Mr. Wostbrock has a view --

16 Q He's the guy with the P.E. at the end of his
17 name, right?

18 A Yes, ma'am.

19 Q This guy?

20 A Yes.

21 Q You are aware that he has a view on whether
22 or not you could actually put a 12-by-20-foot bridge
23 in the location shown on your site development plan
24 for a 35-foot bridge.

25 A I'm not sure what his views are, ma'am.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q All right. So you're saying you want this
2 as-built survey, and you're claiming that this cost
3 you \$850 from LAN, right?
4 A Yes, ma'am. That was the cost of the
5 survey.

6 Q And you're claiming this is Nature
7 Bridges -- Nature Bridges owes you this money, and yet
8 you are unable to show us where in the contract Nature
9 Bridges agreed to provide you with an as-built survey.

10 A Can I look at the contract, ma'am?

11 Q Sure. I think we actually did cover this.
12 You indicated you felt like it was somewhere in the
13 warranty provision, I think? It was paragraph 14?

14 A Yes, ma'am. It would be part of the
15 correction of the defect.

16 Q And, again, there's no evidence of any
17 defect that's been established. And actually let
18 me --

19 MS. LUKEN: Your Honor, can I ask for a
20 quick conference on this?

21 (The following sidebar conference was held
22 outside of the hearing of the jury.)

23 MS. LUKEN: I guess my concern, Your Honor,
24 with the answer to my question, he's presuming
25 the existence of a defect. I know there is no

Page 80

1 construction defect, you need to have an expert
2 opinion opining there was defective construction.
3 There is no evidence of that.
4 MR. HARPER: It's cross-examination. You
5 can --
6 MS. LUKEN: The question that I want to ask
7 him is an extremely narrow one. I guess I'm
8 concerned he's not going to follow the narrow
9 nature.

10 THE COURT: He hasn't followed your narrow
11 nature of anything you've asked.

12 MS. LUKEN: That seems a little bit unfair.
13 I can't ask him an objective question based on
14 the record because he's going to say whatever he
15 wants to say regardless of the question I ask. I
16 guess the only cure for that would be for me to
17 request the Court to direct him to answer just
18 the question that I'm asking.

19 THE COURT: You can try to ask a very
20 pointed question.

21 MS. LUKEN: All right.
22 (End of sidebar conference.)

23 BY MS. LUKEN:

24 Q And, again, there's nothing in this contract
25 that states, whether in the scope of work that we've

Page 82

1 competent evidence of a defect, but if I ask him
2 that question, he's going to start prattling on
3 about whatever it is he thinks is defective,
4 right?

5 And I don't want to elicit that kind of
6 testimony. However, I want to ask him -- I guess
7 I would like to have him agree with me that
8 there's no evidence in front of a jury of a
9 defect.

10 THE COURT: He's not going to agree with
11 you.

12 MR. HARPER: I agree. His first claim is
13 it's defective because it's not a bridge.

14 MS. LUKEN: But he can't prove that because
15 he has no expert and you have not submitted --

16 MR. HARPER: What is or is not a bridge,
17 that doesn't require an expert. It's
18 incompleteness.

19 MS. LUKEN: That's not what a defect is. A
20 defect is a particular term of art. It's
21 something that was not installed or manufactured
22 or delivered correctly. It's a highly
23 particularized term, and it's applicable to the
24 construction industry.

25 And if you are trying to prove a

1 already gone through before or the text of the
2 contract itself, that says Nature Bridges is going to
3 provide you an as-built survey. Agreed?

4 A There are several paragraphs in here, ma'am.
5 Warranty was one of them that we were speaking about.

6 Q Is the word "as-built" anywhere in this
7 contract?

8 A There's no mention of as-built survey in
9 this contract. It arises because of deficiencies
10 within the work.

11 Q And so this is based on your belief that
12 there are deficiencies and somehow this as-built
13 survey resolves those deficiencies?

14 A No, ma'am. I already testified it changed
15 the location.

16 Q Sir, you changed the location when you asked
17 for a 12-by-20-foot bridge, didn't you?

18 A No, ma'am. Santiago is the one who --

19 Q Sir, it was a simple question. If your
20 answer is no, that's fine, if you don't think that.
21 All right. So this as-built survey is simply a
22 drawing, and there's nothing in the contract that says
23 Nature Bridges is going to provide you an as-built.
24 We can at least agree upon that.

25 A There's no mention of as-built survey in

1 this contract.

Page 84

2 Q Very good. Now, in terms of your testimony
3 yesterday, you believe the bridge has to be removed,
4 correct?

5 A Yes, ma'am. I believe the bridge has to be
6 removed.

7 Q And you've thrown out a number of \$27,000,
8 correct?

9 A I believe it's 27,500 for the physical
10 portion.

11 Q And this is based on your belief that it has
12 to be removed, your testimony yesterday.

13 A It is based on a lot of consultation.

14 Q And, again, with people who are not here in
15 the courtroom today, right?

16 A It's my testimony that Brian Green told
17 me --

18 Q Sir, I'm asking you about how you came to
19 believe that the bridge has to be removed. And I
20 asked you, Is that based on your belief? And you
21 said, And in consultation.

22 So my question to you simply is, your belief
23 is based on consultations that you may or may not have
24 with other people who are not here in the courtroom
25 today. Do you agree with that?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 your discussions with him, he told you several times

2 that he had tried to reach out to LAN Associates,
3 didn't he?

4 A I do recall an email where he was trying to
5 reach out to them.

6 Q And he characterized them as, I believe,
7 nonresponsive. Do you remember that?

8 A I don't recall that from Matt.

9 Q Mr. Parker told you that he was having
10 trouble getting in touch with Mr. Wostbrock, right,
11 the man who drew the plan?

12 A Yes, ma'am. His email address was incorrect
13 when he was emailing him. I recall that. He had the
14 wrong email address.

15 Q Well, you forwarded it, though, didn't you?

16 A Eventually, I forwarded it.

17 Q And that email was not the wrong email
18 address. That was actually the correct email address.
19 All right. We can go through that. You do agree with
20 me that Mr. Parker advised you that he was having
21 problems getting in touch with folks over at LAN.

22 A It took a little time.

23 Q It took several months actually, right?

24 A No, ma'am, I don't recall that.

25 Q Here you go. Do you remember that email you

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A With all the information that I've
2 collected, I believe, yes, the bridge needs to be
3 removed.

4 Q All right. And then we've got a claim for
5 additional permitting. It's environmental permitting,
6 right?

7 A Yes, ma'am. This is the environmental.

8 Q And you believe that Nature Bridges is
9 responsible for some additional environmental
10 permitting, correct?

11 A Yes, ma'am.

12 Q And under this contract, you're responsible
13 for environmental permits, correct?

14 A I was responsible for obtaining and giving
15 them the permits. They didn't follow up. They need
16 to be done.

17 Q Sir, your responsibility under the contract
18 is to obtain and pay for all environmental permits,
19 true?

20 A Yes. But they need to be redone.

21 Q And we went through, I think, an email where
22 you were aware that those permits were expiring in
23 February 2015, correct?

24 A That was in the email.

25 Q You recall that. Okay. Matt Parker, in

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 received from Brian Green?

2 A Okay, ma'am, yes.

3 Q What number is that again?

4 A This is Plaintiff's Exhibit 52.

5 Q 52?

6 A Yes, ma'am.

7 Q Now, this is Mr. Parker in April of 2015,
8 and he advises that he has been trying to get in touch
9 with Mr. Richard Wostbrock, P.E. Do you see that
10 there?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And he indicates that Mr. Wostbrock is not
13 responsive.

14 A That's what Mr. Parker states.

15 Q And this is April 20th, 2015, right?

16 A Yes, ma'am. It's April 20th, 2015, yes,
17 ma'am.

18 Q This is when Mr. Parker is trying to help
19 you out with this letter from DEP, right?

20 A He's helping me with a few of the points in
21 the notice of violation.

22 Q And this is all before you hired LAN to
23 start working on your project again, right?

24 A Can you say that one more time, ma'am?

25 Q Mr. Parker telling you, I'm trying to reach

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 out to Mr. Wostbrock and he's being unresponsive, that
 2 occurs prior to you hiring LAN to come work on your
 3 project again in July 2015, right?
 4 A Again, ma'am, I had a lot of communications
 5 with LAN, but the official hire was July 2015 to
 6 assist in part of the issue.
 7 Q But Mr. Parker's email is before that
 8 happened.
 9 A Yes. This email predates that.
 10 Q And would you agree with me that one of
 11 reasons for the nonresponsiveness might be that they
 12 had not been hired by you to do anything?
 13 A No, ma'am. If I recall from the testimony,
 14 I believe there was an issue with the email address.
 15 Q And you, of course, jumped in right away to
 16 resolve any discrepancy in that regard, right?
 17 A Resolve what discrepancy, ma'am?
 18 Q Well, if Mr. Parker is saying he can't get
 19 in touch with the other member of the team, LAN,
 20 you're the team leader, right? Did you jump in to try
 21 to resolve this situation?
 22 A Nature Bridges asked for my help, and I
 23 helped him to get the line of communication.
 24 Q Right. But you don't want them to reach out
 25 to Mr. Wostbrock in your response email, right?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A Can you remind me, please? Can you point me
 2 to that, please?
 3 Q I'm not sure where it is. I have in my
 4 notes that you're claiming that Brian Green told you
 5 that Nature Bridges was going to be providing
 6 architectural services?
 7 A Yes, ma'am. He told me he's going to get
 8 me -- everything adjusted for the new location, the
 9 new plans and everything else.
 10 Q I'm sorry, sir. Do you know what architects
 11 design?
 12 A It depends on the architect.
 13 Q Right. Do architects design bridges? If
 14 you don't know the answer, that's fine.
 15 A It depends on the type of bridge.
 16 Q All right. And you think that's an
 17 architectural service. The work that Nature Bridges
 18 was doing, you think that that's an architectural
 19 service?
 20 A It became -- because of the site development
 21 plan changes, they assumed greater roles that were
 22 required beyond the specificities in this contract.
 23 Q Just one more question here. You knew, in
 24 your discussion with LAN as early as August 2012, that
 25 the site development plan that you had had a 35-foot

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A Say that again, ma'am.
 2 Q In your email there, you specifically say,
 3 Do we have to contact Richard again? You do say that,
 4 right?
 5 A Yes. I'm asking them why do we need to --
 6 why do you guys need to contact him again.
 7 Q Right. And that's because every time
 8 Mr. Wostbrock gets contacted by somebody and has
 9 communications on your behalf, he sends you a bill,
 10 right?
 11 A No, ma'am. They have been so supportive
 12 this entire process and did not send me a single bill.
 13 Q Well, that's not entirely true, sir. You're
 14 claiming damages for work that you allege that they
 15 did, right?
 16 A This is the work that was done and needs to
 17 be redone.
 18 Q You had no contract with LAN at the time
 19 Mr. Parker wrote you that email, right?
 20 A There is no contract, but there is
 21 assistance in between.
 22 Q Now, sir, the testimony that was elicited by
 23 your question -- okay. I can't get there quite yet.
 24 Something about an architect, the word "architect" is
 25 in the contract. Do you remember that testimony?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 bridge, correct?
 2 A I remember the email.
 3 Q Now, you never asked for nor received a
 4 proposal from Nature Bridges for a 35-foot bridge.
 5 A I don't recall receiving a proposal from
 6 Nature Bridges.
 7 Q And your counsel took some pains to ask you
 8 some questions yesterday, you know, you're a
 9 professional, you do pretty well financially, et
 10 cetera, et cetera. Do you remember that line of
 11 questioning?
 12 A Yes, ma'am.
 13 Q So if you had wanted a 35-foot bridge from
 14 Nature Bridges, all you had to do was ask, right?
 15 A Yes, ma'am. I spoke with Santiago.
 16 MS. LUKEN: Okay. Your witness.
 17 THE COURT: Can we break for lunch a little
 18 early and then we can come back?
 19 MS. LUKEN: It's up to you.
 20 THE COURT: How long do you think you're
 21 going to take?
 22 MR. HARPER: I'm not sure, Your Honor. I
 23 think your idea is probably a sound one.
 24 MS. LUKEN: Maybe we should try to use the
 25 time we have, Your Honor, to get started.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 THE COURT: Okay. Well, we can go maybe 30
 2 minutes. Are you-all fine to do lunch at noon?
 3 MS. LUKEN: Well, maybe we should just
 4 break.
 5 THE COURT: Why don't we go ahead and take a
 6 lunch break. We'll come back at 12:45.
 7 (Jury leaves courtroom.)
 8 (Lunch recess from 11:35 a.m. until 12:45
 9 p.m.)
 10 THE COURT: You can bring the jury in.
 11 (Jury enters courtroom.)
 12 THE COURT: Mr. Harper, you can ask
 13 questions.
 14 MR. HARPER: Thank you, Your Honor.
 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
 16 BY MR. HARPER:
 17 Q Mr. Chaudhari, we're going to clear up just
 18 a few things and hopefully move on here rather
 19 quickly. At the end of the day, did you withhold
 20 final payment because you didn't receive what you
 21 bargained for?
 22 A Yes, sir.
 23 Q Did you receive a bridge in exchange for
 24 \$55,000?
 25 A No, sir. There is not a bridge.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 predicate and foundation.
 2 THE COURT: I think he can say what he
 3 believes.
 4 A It is my 100 percent belief that's
 5 noncompliant.
 6 BY MR. HARPER:
 7 Q Do you believe that it's noncompliant
 8 because it encroaches in the stream on this property?
 9 A Yes. I believe it encroaches on the stream
 10 on that property.
 11 Q Do you believe that the structure complies
 12 with law?
 13 MS. LUKEN: Objection, Your Honor, predicate
 14 and foundation with respect to --
 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
 16 BY MR. HARPER:
 17 Q Mr. Chaudhari, I'm going to show you again
 18 what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 15, and that
 19 is the contract agreement. Do you see that?
 20 A Yes, sir.
 21 Q What law, the law of which state applies?
 22 A New Jersey laws.
 23 Q Where are you right now?
 24 A New Jersey.
 25 Q You're in New Jersey right now?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q Specifically, Plaintiff's Exhibit 83-D, it's
 2 your testimony that that's not a bridge?
 3 A Yes, sir. That's my testimony.
 4 Q Now, have you ever been on a bridge before?
 5 A Yes, sir.
 6 Q Have you ever seen a bridge before?
 7 A Yes, sir.
 8 Q Have you seen many bridges?
 9 A Yes, sir.
 10 Q Have you ever in your life seen a bridge
 11 like that?
 12 A Just the last four years, that's the only
 13 bridge I've seen.
 14 Q Have you ever been on a bridge like this?
 15 A Not like that, sir.
 16 Q Do you think you need to be an expert to
 17 know what a bridge is?
 18 A No, sir.
 19 Q Do you think the definition of a bridge is
 20 common sense?
 21 A Yes, sir.
 22 Q Do you believe the structure is noncompliant
 23 because it's defective?
 24 MS. LUKEN: Objection, Your Honor. That
 25 calls for opinion testimony from a lay witness,

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A Yes, sir.
 2 Q It's funny. It feels like Florida.
 3 A Yes, sir.
 4 Q Are you sure you're not in Florida?
 5 MS. LUKEN: Objection, relevance.
 6 THE COURT: Sustained.
 7 BY MR. HARPER:
 8 Q Have you ever seen a bridge in Florida
 9 before?
 10 MS. LUKEN: Objection, Your Honor,
 11 relevance, cumulative.
 12 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, the contract that
 13 the jury is going to see provides that New Jersey
 14 law applies. We're in Florida. I'm just making
 15 sure there's not some distinction I'm unaware of
 16 about what a bridge is.
 17 MS. LUKEN: Well, then competency with
 18 respect to that information, if the query is
 19 whether or not --
 20 THE COURT: Well, the original question was,
 21 does the bridge comply with New Jersey law.
 22 There was an objection, which I sustained because
 23 the witness is not qualified to answer as to what
 24 is or is not compliant with New Jersey law unless
 25 you qualify him as a lawyer.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 MR. HARPER: Or a professor of law. Page 96

2 THE COURT: I thought he was a nuclear

3 pharmacist.

4 BY MR. HARPER:

5 Q Mr. Chaudhari, can you use this structure in

6 any meaningful or useful way as it stands?

7 A No, sir.

8 Q And is it your testimony yesterday and today

9 that this structure here does not comply with your

10 permits?

11 A Yes, sir.

12 MS. LUKEN: Objection, Your Honor, predicate

13 and foundation with respect to that conclusion,

14 which requires opinion testimony or other

15 competent evidence.

16 THE COURT: Sustained.

17 BY MR. HARPER:

18 Q Mr. Chaudhari, is it your testimony today

19 that this bridge, this structure does not comply with

20 the design and site plans that you provided to Nature

21 Bridges?

22 A Yes. It does not comply with the site

23 plans.

24 MS. LUKEN: Same objection as previous, Your

25 Honor, competency, predicate with respect to that

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 paragraph in your contract agreement; is that correct? Page 98

2 A Yes, sir.

3 Q Did you approve of this structure?

4 A No, sir.

5 Q Do you approve of this structure as being

6 the bridge built?

7 MS. LUKEN: Objection, Your Honor,

8 cumulative and asked and answered on direct and

9 now.

10 THE COURT: Sustained.

11 BY MR. HARPER:

12 Q Mr. Chaudhari, do you believe that you

13 sufficiently expressed your displeasure to Nature

14 Bridges about this structure?

15 A Yes, I did.

16 Q Did Nature Bridges do anything in addition

17 to the structure after you expressed your displeasure?

18 A No, sir. Just the lawsuit.

19 Q Were those complaints of yours within one

20 year?

21 A Yes, sir.

22 Q Is your testimony that you have been unable

23 to move forward with the rest of the development of

24 this property because of Nature Bridges?

25 A Yes, sir.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 question, compliance with the plans. Page 97

2 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, the site plans --

3 and this is hour number ten in Mr. Chaudhari's

4 testimony. I was trying to avoid having to go

5 all the way back around.

6 THE COURT: He can state what his position

7 is. I agree that there's nobody here to -- I

8 don't believe there's going to be anybody that's

9 an expert that's willing to -- or is going to be

10 here testifying.

11 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, I'm not suggesting

12 Mr. Chaudhari is an expert, and we're not talking

13 about other experts. I'm just asking him what

14 his belief is.

15 MS. LUKEN: Relevance.

16 THE COURT: He can answer the question.

17 A That structure does not comply to the site

18 plans, which is the only approval made by New Jersey

19 DEP.

20 BY MR. HARPER:

21 Q Now, in your defenses to the lawsuit filed

22 against you, one of the defenses raised is that you

23 believe this structure built by Nature Bridges and

24 your agreement with Nature Bridges and subsequently

25 Nature Bridges' performance, it violates that warranty

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q Do you believe the work as envisioned by Page 99

2 this contract agreement before you and as agreed to,

3 do you believe that scope of work is done?

4 MS. LUKEN: Objection, relevance.

5 THE COURT: Is what?

6 MR. HARPER: I asked him if he believed the

7 scope of work in this contract has been done.

8 MS. LUKEN: Withdrawn.

9 A No, sir.

10 BY MR. HARPER:

11 Q Did you ever express anything to Nature

12 Bridges along the lines of, Thank you for the work

13 you've done, job well done?

14 MS. LUKEN: Objection, leading.

15 THE COURT: Overruled.

16 A Would you repeat that, please?

17 BY MR. HARPER:

18 Q Have you ever expressed at any time to

19 Nature Bridges that you were satisfied and happy with

20 their work and job well done?

21 A I made sure they knew that there was much

22 work that needed to be done.

23 Q I believe Ms. Luken asked you about Louis

24 Ratti. Do you recall that?

25 A Yes, sir.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q Do you believe Louis Ratti has anything to Page 100
 2 do with why we are here today?
 3 A Yes, sir.
 4 Q Why is that?
 5 A He's just a part of the conversation, so
 6 he's affiliated with this case.
 7 Q Do you believe he did anything wrong?
 8 A No, sir.
 9 Q Are you suing Louis Ratti?
 10 A No, sir.
 11 Q Has Louis Ratti sued you?
 12 A He's not sued me, sir.
 13 Q And Ms. Luken spent quite a bit of time
 14 talking about LAN Associates. Do you recall that?
 15 A Yes, I do recall that.
 16 Q Do you believe LAN has anything to do with
 17 why you're here today?
 18 MS. LUKEN: Objection, Your Honor,
 19 relevancy. There's no third-party pleading in
 20 this matter. There's no --
 21 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, I didn't bring up
 22 LAN. It was mentioned and discussed in
 23 cross-examination and, I feel like, in an effort
 24 to deflect blame, and I feel like it's
 25 appropriate.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A No, sir.
 2 Q Do you believe the structure here to be
 3 defective?
 4 A Yes, sir.
 5 MS. LUKEN: Objection, Your Honor, predicate
 6 and foundation.
 7 THE COURT: Sustained.
 8 BY MR. HARPER:
 9 Q Do you believe that structure has to be
 10 removed?
 11 A Yes, sir.
 12 Q And you're asking Nature Bridges to pay for
 13 the cost of that?
 14 A Yes, sir.
 15 Q Do you want Nature Bridges to give you your
 16 money back as well?
 17 A Yes, sir.
 18 Q How much money did you pay them?
 19 A \$47,500, sir.
 20 Q Ms. Luken asked you about Santiago Garcia
 21 and in particular your conversation with Santiago
 22 Garcia early on. Do you remember that line of
 23 questioning?
 24 A Yes, sir.
 25 Q And I believe Ms. Luken asked you whether or

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 THE COURT: Sustained. Page 101
 2 BY MR. HARPER:
 3 Q Is LAN Associates' name anywhere on that
 4 contract agreement?
 5 A No, sir.
 6 Q How about Louis Ratti, is his name on that
 7 contract agreement?
 8 A No, sir.
 9 Q How about Chris Guddemi or Richard
 10 Westbrock?
 11 A No, sir.
 12 Q How about Rick?
 13 A No, sir.
 14 Q How about Nature Bridges?
 15 A Yes, sir.
 16 Q Now, something else Ms. Luken said, I
 17 believe she asked you a question along the lines of,
 18 if you were confused about what a bridge was or
 19 wasn't, you could have -- why didn't you hire LAN to
 20 help. Do you recall that line of questioning?
 21 A Yes, sir.
 22 Q Were you ever confused about what a bridge
 23 was?
 24 A No, sir.
 25 Q Was anyone?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 not Santiago Garcia identified himself to you as a Page 103
 2 civil engineer. Do you recall that question?
 3 A I recall her asking if he was an engineer.
 4 Q And do you recall what your answer was?
 5 A I recall, sir.
 6 Q In your conversation with Santiago Garcia --
 7 and I believe there is some testimony here in court by
 8 him about this. Did Santiago Garcia ever explain to
 9 you his background as a mechanical engineer?
 10 A Yes, sir.
 11 Q Now, as part of your damages, you're also
 12 claiming that you have to get this project and this
 13 property re-permitted; is that correct?
 14 A Yes, sir.
 15 Q And why do you believe that you have to get
 16 this property or this project re-permitted?
 17 MS. LUKEN: Objection, your Honor, predicate
 18 and foundation.
 19 THE COURT: Sustained.
 20 BY MR. HARPER:
 21 Q Ms. Luken spoke extensively about your
 22 environmental permits at some point expiring because
 23 they were not renewed. Do you recall that?
 24 A Yes, sir.
 25 Q And is that indeed true, that the permits

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 that were originally obtained, the environmental Page 104
 2 permits, expired because they were not renewed?
 3 A No, sir.
 4 Q That's not true?
 5 A Could you repeat the question?
 6 Q Is it true that the environmental permits
 7 that you provided to Nature Bridges at some point
 8 expired because they were not renewed?
 9 A Yes, they expired.
 10 Q Do you believe you could have simply
 11 resubmitted or renewed the permits as they were then?
 12 MS. LUKEN: Objection, Your Honor, predicate
 13 and foundation.
 14 THE COURT: Do you need a sidebar?
 15 MR. HARPER: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
 16 THE COURT: Sustained.
 17 BY MR. HARPER:
 18 Q Mr. Chaudhari, has there been anything about
 19 these proceedings that have at times confused you?
 20 A Yes, sir.
 21 Q Have you ever been through this experience
 22 before?
 23 A No, sir.
 24 Q Has it been easy for you to explain yourself
 25 when you continue to get cut off?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 same instruction that you gave before.
 2 THE COURT: Which is --
 3 MS. LUKEN: It's already been answered.
 4 MR. HARPER: Yes.
 5 THE COURT: "If you can't answer this, can
 6 you clarify if there are any signed modifications
 7 that are part of this contract?" That's been
 8 answered.
 9 MS. LUKEN: Uh-huh.
 10 THE COURT: "Were there local and state
 11 permits required for this project?" That was
 12 answered. "How many permits, local and state,
 13 were pulled?"
 14 MS. LUKEN: I don't think any of these can
 15 be answered, Your Honor. I don't think they can
 16 be asked. I think these are all cumulative.
 17 This one, I think, also has already been answered
 18 in the testimony, and I don't know that this
 19 witness is competent to even answer that question
 20 because I don't know that he knows. I don't know
 21 what to do. What is your view, Mr. Harper?
 22 MR. HARPER: This seems to suggest they've
 23 forgotten what happened yesterday, which doesn't
 24 surprise me. For what it's worth, I'd be happy
 25 to clarify that. Ms. Luken asked that there --

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 MS. LUKEN: Objection, Your Honor, relevancy Page 105
 2 and improper purpose.
 3 THE COURT: Sustained.
 4 MR. HARPER: Nothing further, Your Honor.
 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr.
 6 Chaudhari. Do you have another witness,
 7 Mr. Harper?
 8 MR. HARPER: No, Your Honor. The defense
 9 rests.
 10 MS. LUKEN: I'm going to have a motion.
 11 THE COURT: Okay. So I'll ask the jury --
 12 I'll give you a recess. We have a little
 13 business to conduct on the record. Sorry. I
 14 forgot. Do any jurors have any questions? Okay.
 15 (The following sidebar conference was held
 16 outside of the hearing of the jury.)
 17 THE COURT: The first question is, "Is there
 18 signed by both parties a modification that states
 19 the bridge can be modified from a steel structure
 20 to a poured concrete one?"
 21 MS. LUKEN: I don't think that can be
 22 answered, Your Honor, because the witness has
 23 already answered that there are no modifications
 24 to the contract.
 25 MR. HARPER: I think you can provide the

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 this is the contract, there are no modifications
 2 signed in writing. We have something we both
 3 stipulated to. I don't know why that couldn't be
 4 answered.
 5 THE COURT: These are witness questions.
 6 MS. LUKEN: Right. That's what I'm
 7 thinking, is the other one any better.
 8 THE COURT: "Did the defendant receive
 9 written notice of the location change after Brian
 10 Green made the site visit?"
 11 MS. LUKEN: Interesting.
 12 MR. HARPER: We can ask him that.
 13 MS. LUKEN: Did defendant receive written
 14 notice of the location change? I mean, I think
 15 the way the question is framed is not permissible
 16 because it's assuming an ultimate fact, I guess.
 17 I don't think that would be a proper question. I
 18 think if Mr. Harper asked it, I would object to
 19 it.
 20 MR. HARPER: I think that's a proper
 21 question.
 22 THE COURT: I think it really goes -- I
 23 think you can ask this question. I think it goes
 24 to argument, because the whole argument here,
 25 they gave him a site plan they couldn't use for

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 the 25 grand. That's going to be the argument.
 2 I think it can be asked.
 3 MR. HARPER: I agree. He's still under
 4 subpoena, Mr. Brian Green is. I don't see the
 5 harm. That is probably a question I should have
 6 asked.
 7 MS. LUKEN: I'm sorry. What do you want to
 8 do?
 9 THE COURT: There's no location in the
 10 contract.
 11 MS. LUKEN: Right. That's why this makes --
 12 I don't think this question is proper because it
 13 assumes that there's a location dictated within
 14 the contract agreement, which by the way, is
 15 precisely what the modification clause and parole
 16 evidence rule seek to prohibit any testimony
 17 about. So we've got the site plan into evidence,
 18 however -- I mean, not site plan, but I mean --
 19 THE COURT: You can ask follow-up questions
 20 to this question.
 21 MS. LUKEN: Sure.

22 THE COURT: "Did Nature Bridges ever discuss
 23 the difference between the site plan bridge size
 24 and the requested bridge size before the contract
 25 was signed?"

1 the ones that aren't going to be answered? Page 110
 2 THE COURT: I believe the rule is I just --
 3 we don't present them to the witness.
 4 MS. LUKEN: And the Court is going to read
 5 that question.
 6 THE COURT: Uh-huh.
 7 (End of sidebar conference.)
 8 THE COURT: Okay. So if you'll recall at
 9 the beginning of the trial, when I instructed you
 10 about the questioning part, the procedure is to
 11 review the questions with the lawyers, and then
 12 the Court has to make a decision as to whether or
 13 not -- basically, if one of the lawyers have
 14 asked that question or if the question has
 15 already been asked, then we don't ask it again.
 16 So we've gone through that process with the
 17 questions that have been submitted. And most of
 18 the questions are not going to be presented. So
 19 I'll read this question to Mr. Chaudhari. And
 20 then if Mr. Harper or Ms. Luken have follow-up,
 21 they're entitled to follow up on the question.
 22 So, Mr. Chaudhari, did you receive written
 23 notice of the location change after Brian Green
 24 made the site visit?
 25 THE WITNESS: No, I did not receive written

1 MS. LUKEN: Can't answer that. Page 109
 2 THE COURT: Can't answer that. "Can he tell
 3 us why the house can't be built on plan site?
 4 What does driveway have to do with it?"
 5 MR. HARPER: I think that could be asked.
 6 MS. LUKEN: No, that cannot be. It's
 7 irrelevant and it also -- again, you've got a
 8 site plan drawing. It's something an engineer
 9 would need to testify to. I mean, if that's a
 10 problem, with having him being able to testify
 11 like I think this -- I think that we end up with
 12 these questions that are the subject of
 13 specialized areas of knowledge that could
 14 actually explain these things and provide these
 15 opinions, and instead we've got him --
 16 MR. HARPER: It's not required to have
 17 experts on both sides. It would be nice but not
 18 a requirement of law.
 19 MS. LUKEN: To pursue the claims you're
 20 making it is.
 21 MR. HARPER: That's not correct.
 22 THE COURT: Okay. I think this is
 23 irrelevant. So the only question, I'm just going
 24 to tell them --
 25 MR. HARPER: What are you going to do for

1 notice from Brian Green after the site visit. Page 111
 2 THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Harper?
 3 MR. HARPER: No, Your Honor.
 4 THE COURT: Ms. Luken?
 5 FOLLOW-UP EXAMINATION
 6 BY MS. LUKEN:
 7 Q For clarity here, your contract agreement
 8 with Nature Bridges does not include your site plan,
 9 correct?
 10 A The contract, it -- it's implied in New
 11 Jersey laws the site plan would be provided.
 12 Q Sir, my question is very simple. The site
 13 plan that you've been referencing here as showing a
 14 location, whatever location you wanted, that is not
 15 incorporated into your contract with Nature Bridges,
 16 correct?
 17 A The site plan is the only plans approved by
 18 New Jersey laws --
 19 MS. LUKEN: Your Honor --
 20 THE COURT: Mr. Chaudhari, you need to
 21 answer her question.
 22 A The site plan is not attached to the
 23 contract.
 24 BY MS. LUKEN:
 25 Q And the contract, as we've gone through,

1 describes what exactly is in the contract, right? It
 2 says the contract, any written modifications and
 3 Exhibit A, right?
 4 A Yes, ma'am.
 5 Q And you did negotiate this contract with
 6 Nature Bridges?
 7 A This is the contract between Nature Bridges
 8 and I.
 9 Q And you negotiated the terms of it, correct?
 10 A Yes, ma'am. We had back and forth about the
 11 terms.
 12 Q And if you had wanted to attach your site
 13 plan to this contract, you could have asked to do
 14 that, correct?
 15 A I had an email before --
 16 Q Sir, my question, if you had wanted that
 17 included in your agreement, binding on both parties,
 18 you could have asked to have that included as part of
 19 your contract, correct?
 20 A I did ask, ma'am.
 21 Q Sir?
 22 A I had an email. There's a reason --
 23 Q Sir, sir, you gave up on that then. If your
 24 testimony is that you asked for it to be included, you
 25 see that it is not, correct?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 yes, he sent an email. It's how this all
 2 started.
 3 THE COURT: I'm not sure what his answer
 4 was.
 5 BY MS. LUKEN:
 6 Q All right. You think you asked for that,
 7 right? Is that what you're saying?
 8 A Yes, ma'am.
 9 Q But it's not, right?
 10 A It's not.
 11 Q So you negotiated that, then, right? You
 12 said, okay, they don't want to do it, I'm fine with
 13 that. Is that -- I mean, is that essentially what
 14 you're testifying to?
 15 A They accepted my site plans and did not tell
 16 me they would not --
 17 Q But, sir -- but, sir, if you're saying now
 18 that you asked to have this all be part of your
 19 agreement and it's not now, that means they didn't
 20 agree to that, right?
 21 A They agreed verbally.
 22 Q But it's not in your contract, right? And
 23 you signed this contract anyway, even though it's not
 24 in there. True?
 25 A I signed the contract and --

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A It is a part of the hundred percent --
 2 Q Sir, sir --
 3 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, I think we're
 4 getting beyond the spirit of this follow-up
 5 questioning. It was follow-up to one jury
 6 question, and now we're, I believe, way outside
 7 the scope.
 8 THE COURT: I don't think so.
 9 BY MS. LUKEN:
 10 Q All right. You're now testifying for the
 11 first time in this trial that you believe that you
 12 asked to have the site plan drawing incorporated into
 13 this agreement.
 14 A I had requested to get permit approvals
 15 integrated into the agreement.
 16 Q Sir, I'm talking about the site plan itself.
 17 Did you ask to have that incorporated into your
 18 agreement?
 19 A The site plan is the permit.
 20 Q Sir, did you ask to have the site plan
 21 incorporated into your agreement, yes or no?
 22 MR. HARPER: Objection, asked and answered.
 23 MS. LUKEN: It has not been answered, Your
 24 Honor.
 25 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, his answer was,

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Page 115
 1 MS. LUKEN: Thank you. Thank you. That's
 2 all I have, Your Honor. Thank you.
 3 THE COURT: Mr. Chaudhari, you can have a
 4 seat. The defense rested, right?
 5 MR. HARPER: Yes.
 6 THE COURT: So now that we -- the defense
 7 has rested. Now I'm going to ask you-all to step
 8 back in the jury room.
 9 (Jury leaves courtroom.)
 10 MR. HARPER: Based on our agreement of
 11 providing each other two hours' notice, do you
 12 know who your witness is going to be?
 13 MS. LUKEN: You're conflating two things,
 14 Counsel. But two hours' notice for that, if I am
 15 going to call anybody in rebuttal, it will
 16 probably be Mr. James first.
 17 MR. HARPER: Sorry. I misunderstood.
 18 MS. LUKEN: I don't mind telling you what I
 19 plan on doing.
 20 All right. Plaintiff is moving for a
 21 directed verdict on several of the affirmative
 22 defenses, as well as -- you know, we don't have
 23 counts broken out into complaints, so I think
 24 that's not going to be an issue, but I think we
 25 can do it.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 There are several matters, Your Honor, for
2 which there is insufficient proof to go to the
3 jury, and we believe we are entitled to a
4 directed verdict in our favor on those matters.

5 I'm going to start with the affirmative
6 defenses of Mr. Chaudhari to the plaintiff's
7 case. That's probably not the right way to go.
8 I've got to go to the counterclaim. Sorry about
9 that, Your Honor. All right. Your Honor, there
10 is a claim for breach of contract that is not set
11 fourth in separate counts. However, there are
12 aspects of the plaintiff's complaint for which
13 there has been no competent evidence presented.

14 Specifically, starting with paragraph 34,
15 the allegation is that the size of the bridge
16 built by plaintiff was different than the
17 previously approved and permitted size. There
18 has been no competent expert testimony with
19 respect to that issue. There has been no other
20 evidence presented as to it, and we do not
21 believe that that is an issue that can be
22 presented to the jury.

23 You know, maybe -- I kind of just dove into
24 this directed verdict, Your Honor, but the more
25 I'm thinking about it, this may be something that

Page 116

1 that it was not set forth in the contract. And
2 the two other items, there's been no actual
3 demonstration that those are anything that Nature
4 Bridges was required to do under the terms of the
5 agreement.

6 Paragraph 39, Mr. Chaudhari alleges that
7 Nature Bridges failed to construct a compliant
8 bridge that conformed with all applicable laws,
9 ordinances or rules. There's been no evidence on
10 that issue.

11 Paragraph 40, Mr. Chaudhari alleges that
12 Nature Bridges failed to construct and complete a
13 bridge that can be utilized for any meaningful,
14 useful or intended purpose. Not only is there no
15 specific warranty within the contract agreement
16 that would require Nature Bridges to provide
17 something fit for a particular purpose, there is
18 no such warranty language. Regardless of the
19 fact that there is nothing in the contract that
20 requires it, there has not been evidence,
21 competent evidence demonstrating that, other than
22 Mr. Chaudhari's subjective belief.

23 Paragraph 41, this has had no proof at all.
24 This is Mr. Chaudhari's allegation that plaintiff
25 has failed to construct a bridge which complies

Page 118

1 we can address in the jury instructions as well.
2 I'm just going to do this in a holistic way
3 because I think that might be easier.

4 There has not been any proof as to the
5 allegations in paragraph 34. There has been no
6 competent proof as to the allegations in
7 paragraph 35, that there was a different location
8 than the previously approved and permitted
9 location. There's been no testimony with respect
10 to those locations and what was permitted or what
11 was permitted either.

12 We also contend that defendant has failed to
13 prove that anything with respect to the notice of
14 violation was anything to do with actions of
15 Nature Bridges. The testimony on that subject
16 has been that the permit holder was obligated to
17 provide -- to record with the local county the
18 permit, the original permit.

19 The second item that was explained by Mr.
20 Chaudhari was that the notice of violation needed
21 to be in a box on the site, and then there were
22 silt fence issues. Mr. Chaudhari admitted on
23 cross-examination that the silt fence was not
24 required to be there perpetually or that that was
25 not Nature Bridges' responsibility, or at least

Page 117

Page 119

1 with the requirements of the permits. There has
2 been no competent evidence on that subject.

3 The next paragraph alleges that Nature
4 Bridges' final product had construction defects.
5 There's been no expert testimony that would be
6 sufficient to send that issue to a jury.

7 Paragraph 43 says that plaintiff breached
8 the agreement by failing to complete the project.
9 There has been no testimony of any additional
10 work that is remaining within the scope of work
11 of the contract. To the extent that, again, Mr.
12 Chaudhari subjectively believes that there's
13 something else, that can't be contradicted by the
14 express terms of the agreement. And the
15 unrefuted testimony is that those items listed
16 on the scope of work have indeed been completed.

17 Paragraph 44 alleges that Nature Bridges
18 breached the agreement by failing to review,
19 analyze, check and/or observe the requirements of
20 the permit and associated site plan. That's an
21 argument that would require a predicate showing
22 of a violation of the permit, which there has not
23 been any such showing.

24 Paragraph 45 alleges the plaintiff breached
25 the agreement by failing to increase its

Page 120

1 workforce and/or workload. There has been no
2 testimony that Nature Bridges did anything other
3 than exactly what it was supposed to do in terms
4 of workforce and workload. Mr. Chaudhari was not
5 on site, and the unrebutted testimony thus far
6 has shown that there was 600 man-hours utilized
7 and that that was sufficient.

8 Paragraph 46, Mr. Chaudhari is alleging that
9 there has been a failure to honor the warranty of
10 the work. The warranty of the work speaks to
11 correcting defects. There's been no expert
12 testimony with respect to any defects in the
13 structure.

14 And paragraph 47, plaintiff breached the
15 agreement by failing to provide sufficiently
16 competent representatives to oversee the project
17 on defendant's property. The contract calls for
18 competent representation. Again, Mr. Chaudhari
19 was not on site. And, again, Mr. Chaudhari did
20 not exercise his right under the agreement to
21 reject a person that he believed to be
22 non-competent, so there has been no proof on that
23 issue.

24 In addition to that, we don't believe that
25 the defendant has proved damages with sufficient

Page 122

1 sort of construction defect.

2 So on these grounds, Your Honor, we contend
3 that the defendant/counter-plaintiff has failed
4 to meet its burden of proof with respect to not
5 only showing a breach, a material breach of a
6 contract, which is -- we are applying New Jersey
7 law. Your Honor read it at the very beginning.
8 It requires a breach of a material term. It
9 requires a showing of damages, and it requires
10 those damages to flow from the breach, the
11 material breach that has been asserted. That is,
12 you could have a breach, a minor breach, but if
13 it doesn't -- if your damages that you're
14 claiming don't flow from it, they don't count.

15 So under these circumstances, Your Honor, we
16 are moving for a directed verdict. The
17 counter-plaintiff has failed to demonstrate
18 breach of a material aspect of the agreement, and
19 he has failed to demonstrate damages that flow
20 from that breach. And for those reasons, we are
21 seeking a directed verdict.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Harper.

23 MR. HARPER: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll
24 try to do this as best I can in the same order.
25 Your Honor, first and foremost, what we have here

Page 121

1 proof to go to the jury. Specifically, the
2 \$27,500, this is, you know, clearly not something
3 from defendant's personal knowledge. He was
4 allowed to testify as to what his belief was.
5 That standing all by itself is insufficient to
6 send this matter to the jury, at least on that
7 damage point.

8 There is a claim that there is some
9 additional permitting of \$20,000. That has not
10 been sufficiently described. And in fact, the
11 defendant admitted that that permitting is
12 environmental permitting, which is his
13 responsibility under the agreement, which he has
14 also admitted. So those items together mean that
15 that is not an item of damage that has been
16 proven.

17 The third item, with respect to the as-built
18 plans, there has been no demonstration that
19 there's anything within the contract that
20 requires Nature Bridges to provide an as-built
21 drawing. Now, I think Mr. Chaudhari's testimony
22 on that has been that that's somehow within the
23 warranty provision. But, again, that requires a
24 showing of a construction defect. And there has
25 not been any credible, competent evidence of any

Page 123

1 is a contract that provides for a bridge to be
2 built, the term "bridge" throughout. There's no
3 definition of a bridge.

4 And so what is or is not a bridge is the
5 crux of Mr. Chaudhari's case. That's the crux of
6 this case. We don't have a definition. We've
7 got a reasonableness standard. Those sorts of
8 things are to be determined by the finder of
9 fact.

10 I think there's been more than competent,
11 substantial evidence that Mr. Chaudhari is a
12 reasonable person and that his belief as to what
13 a bridge is or is not is fair and reasonable.

14 There's also not a location identified in
15 this contract, but Nature Bridges picked a
16 location. The compliance, the whether or not Mr.
17 Chaudhari approved that, agreed to it, even knew
18 about it, those are all issues in dispute that
19 are more than appropriate or necessary to be
20 decided by the finder of fact.

21 I appreciate Ms. Luken's comment that maybe
22 some of this can be addressed in jury
23 instructions. I think she's right. Number 34,
24 the size of the bridge built is different than
25 previously approved and permitted size. There

1 has been more than enough competent, substantial
2 testimony that there was a -- this is a different
3 size. There's been more than enough competent,
4 substantial testimony to allow the jury to decide
5 as to the discrepancy in size.

6 Number 36, this is a matter involving
7 irregularities resulting in the notice of
8 violation. Subject to my prior objection, number
9 one, it mentions a notice of violation. As we
10 sit here now, there are two. I think it's worth
11 mentioning. Mr. Chaudhari has testified that he
12 believed there was some obligation and duty from
13 Nature Bridges to not obtain or purchase the
14 permit but to comply with them and that their job
15 site, they're going to keep it clean. He
16 believed it's their duty to make sure it's posted
17 so everyone knows what they're doing, put a stake
18 down in the ground.

19 The same goes with the silt fence. Those
20 were matters that were discussed extensively in
21 Mr. Chaudhari's testimony, which by the way, in
22 day four, much more than 50 percent of all the
23 testimony has been Mr. Chaudhari.

24 THE COURT: Back to the size of the bridge,
25 I thought the point of the site plan was just to

Page 124

1 that when he went out there, he said it wouldn't
2 fit this application.
3 MR. HARPER: That's correct. And Mr. Green
4 is not an engineer either. Your Honor, it sounds
5 as though --

6 THE COURT: I mean, I think we really need
7 to talk about 39 through 47. I mean, the stuff
8 above that is really just stating what you
9 believe some of the facts are. But in 39 you
10 say, Plaintiff, a licensed company experienced in
11 the field of construction, breached the agreement
12 by failing to construct a compliant bridge that
13 conformed with all the applicable laws,
14 ordinances and rules.

15 MR. HARPER: Yes, Your Honor. That's
16 because Mr. Chaudhari said this is not a bridge.
17 And if you're contracting, which is a legal
18 document, to build something called a bridge,
19 that by law you have to build a bridge as we all
20 see in our brain when we close our eyes. When we
21 close our eyes and picture a bridge, that is not
22 this. And so Mr. Chaudhari --

23 THE COURT: And what law in New Jersey says
24 what a bridge is?

25 MR. HARPER: I think the same law that --

Page 126

1 point out that the site plan that LAN Associates
2 drafted has a different size bridge. I don't
3 believe that Mr. Chaudhari is suggesting or that
4 the complaint suggests, does it, that Nature
5 Bridges breached a contract because they didn't
6 build a 35-foot bridge?

7 MR. HARPER: No, Your Honor.

8 MS. LUKEN: That is in the pleadings, Your
9 Honor.

10 THE COURT: So why is the size of the
11 bridge -- I'm not clear why you mentioned that.
12 Because he contracted for a 12-by-20-foot bridge.

13 MR. HARPER: I understand, but -- and the
14 contract speaks for itself. Mr. Chaudhari
15 testified he did not believe that the size of the
16 bridge was compliant. He also testified that he
17 contracted for this -- a bridge of these
18 dimensions.

19 THE COURT: Well, I think what he testified
20 to is he thinks that they could have put the
21 12-by-20-foot bridge right where the bridge was
22 that was 35 feet. But there's no testimony other
23 than his testimony that he thinks that's the case
24 because there was no engineer here to testify
25 that he could, other than what Mr. Green said,

Page 125

Page 127

1 THE COURT: Do you have it?

2 MR. HARPER: I don't have it, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Then how do you know what New
4 Jersey law says a bridge is?

5 MR. HARPER: I don't. I'm just assuming a
6 bridge -- the definition of a bridge is a bridge.
7 Mr. Chaudhari raised this claim.

8 THE COURT: I mean, I agree with you,
9 Mr. Harper, that the everyday walking-around
10 person would look at that picture and say that's
11 not a bridge, but --

12 MR. HARPER: And we've got notice of
13 violations, Your Honor, that are out there that
14 have been testified to. They're not admitted
15 into evidence, but the jury has heard hours of
16 testimony that --

17 THE COURT: I'm talking about paragraph 39,
18 where you alleged a breach. When you're dealing
19 with construction issues, though, definitions can
20 be different.

21 MR. HARPER: Sure. And we have a contract
22 that's drafted not by Mr. Chaudhari but by Nature
23 Bridges. And when in doubt, the benefit of that
24 sort of stuff goes to Mr. Chaudhari.

25 MS. LUKEN: Actually, Your Honor, it

1 doesn't. Page 128
 2 MR. HARPER: I'm sorry.
 3 MS. LUKEN: Under New Jersey law, it does
 4 not.
 5 MR. HARPER: Do you have a license in New
 6 Jersey?
 7 MS. LUKEN: I've researched this issue.
 8 MR. HARPER: I don't believe any of us are
 9 qualified to talk about New Jersey law. And
 10 that's one of the unique components of this case.
 11 Your Honor, with regards to 39, the
 12 application of paragraph 39 to the case is that,
 13 as it relates to the permits, environmental laws,
 14 Mr. Chaudhari testified to --
 15 THE COURT: How is he qualified to testify
 16 to that?
 17 MR. HARPER: Well, he's not qualified as an
 18 expert, Your Honor, but I believe it's the only
 19 testimony that's been presented. I believe Mr.
 20 Chaudhari to be credible, and I believe it to be
 21 sufficient for -- I'm sorry? Did you want to say
 22 something to me?
 23 THE COURT: No.
 24 MR. HARPER: Mr. Chaudhari, as you pointed
 25 out, is not a lawyer, granted.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 THE COURT: So doesn't he have to prove up Page 129
 2 paragraph 39 with competent, substantial
 3 evidence? Where's the evidence, other than his
 4 lay opinion that it doesn't comply?
 5 MR. HARPER: All we have is his lay opinion.
 6 THE COURT: For all of this, right?
 7 MR. HARPER: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor,
 8 you don't have to have an expert opinion as to
 9 whether or not a contract has been breached.
 10 THE COURT: No. But if the subject of the
 11 breach is -- so if I'm not a doctor, I can come
 12 into court and say that -- I can opine on
 13 somebody's medical condition and it can go to the
 14 jury if I'm not qualified? Because that's what
 15 you're asking to send to the jury, is your
 16 client's opinion based on hearsay statements that
 17 are not presented to the jury and not -- it's
 18 like you do criminal work, right, Mr. Harper?
 19 MR. HARPER: Yes, Your Honor.
 20 THE COURT: You know doggone good and well
 21 in a felony trial, if all of the evidence is
 22 based on unsubstantiated hearsay, it doesn't go
 23 to the jury, right? Right?
 24 MR. HARPER: Not in my experience.
 25 THE COURT: They can't rely and reach a

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 verdict on complete -- it's like -- you agree Page 130
 2 with that, don't you?
 3 MR. HARPER: I agree with the statement of
 4 the law, but I don't agree as it applies here,
 5 Your Honor, because in my experience, when in
 6 doubt, you leave it for the jury to decide.
 7 That's what I was referring to.
 8 In a criminal case in particular, you're
 9 always -- every case relies heavily on hearsay.
 10 THE COURT: But everything Mr. Chaudhari
 11 testified about related to his breach of contract
 12 is related to information he's gathered up in New
 13 Jersey to come to this belief that has not been
 14 subject to cross-examination by Ms. Luken.
 15 That's my question, is how can you put
 16 something like that in front of a jury when it's
 17 just simply Mr. Chaudhari's opinion that the
 18 bridge isn't compliant? Nobody has been in here
 19 talking about whether it's compliant, other than
 20 Nature Bridges.
 21 MR. HARPER: Who testified that it was
 22 compliant for Nature Bridges?
 23 THE COURT: I thought Mr. --
 24 MR. HARPER: I don't think anyone --
 25 MS. LUKEN: He said, We completed all of our

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 work and satisfied all conditions of the Page 131
 2 contract.
 3 MR. HARPER: Right. It was never testified
 4 to that it complied. That was --
 5 MS. LUKEN: We don't need to go through the
 6 contract and say, Okay, paragraph 1, we did
 7 paragraph 1. Paragraph 2, we did paragraph 2.
 8 That's not our burden.
 9 THE COURT: Well, their case is \$7,500 that
 10 he didn't pay. He's the one that's brought the
 11 claim that the bridge is not compliant. So it's
 12 his burden to prove that the bridge is not
 13 compliant.
 14 And my question to you is, how do you let it
 15 go to the jury when the only evidence is a lay
 16 opinion? He can't even say why it's not
 17 compliant, other than to try and get in hearsay
 18 statements that it's not compliant.
 19 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, there's been
 20 sufficient and substantial testimony that even
 21 though there's no location defined in this
 22 contract, there was a location approved, provided
 23 to Nature Bridges and that Nature Bridges changed
 24 the location of that. That's post-contract.
 25 That is admissible.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 And Mr. Chaudhari has testified that there
 2 were discussions and there were also -- but there
 3 was no consent. There was no notification, no
 4 approval. There was no modification in writing.
 5 That is different. That is up to the jury to
 6 decide. They have the definition of what the
 7 contract is, what it is not. And I think that's
 8 more than appropriate.

9 I also feel like there needs to be, again,
 10 just for the record a little bit of leniency as
 11 it pertains to this case because of the
 12 circumstances surrounding the very unique and
 13 bizarre scenario we find ourselves in.

14 THE COURT: I don't think that's a legal
 15 standard the Court can find. We don't change the
 16 rules to -- we don't change the rules that must
 17 be applied because one case might be a little
 18 unique. If you can show me a law that says,
 19 Court ignore the rules because this case is a
 20 little unique. I'm not aware of one.

21 MR. HARPER: Well, I suspect Your Honor
 22 could see a lot of appellate opinions about that
 23 for precedent, but I believe it's completely
 24 appropriate in the day-to-day operations of the
 25 Court to rule, within reason, when necessary, to

Page 132

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 affirmative defenses anyway.

2 THE COURT: Well, let me back up to what you
 3 said a few moments ago, that he shouldn't have to
 4 hire experts and come into court and spend that
 5 kind of money because he's -- I mean, I agree
 6 that some of these didn't require experts.

7 He could have taken the deposition of
 8 somebody at DEP who did the notice of violation,
 9 who issued the permit. And a deposition to
 10 perpetuate testimony could have been taken and he
 11 could have presented that evidence to the jury.
 12 And he chose not to spend the money to take the
 13 deposition.

14 Just like LAN Associates, he could have had
 15 you schedule a video deposition of Mr. Wostbrock,
 16 P.E., and whoever else he was dealing with over
 17 at LAN Associates, scheduled those depositions,
 18 had you take their depositions to perpetuate
 19 their testimony, and we could have played video
 20 depositions of all the people in New Jersey, who
 21 could have then said, You know what? Here's the
 22 site plan, and it shows this.

23 And you could have asked a question or
 24 Ms. Luken could have asked a question, Could you
 25 put a 20-foot bridge in this location? And he

Page 134

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 adjust the way they apply the law and the rules.
 2 That is the function of the Court. I think that
 3 is appropriate. Not for changing the rules.
 4 But, again, this is all about justice. That's
 5 it. That is why we're here.

6 I don't see how anybody could have justice
 7 with this unless you're going to say -- you would
 8 have to have an expert opinion about a permit,
 9 about what the law is, about what a construction
 10 defect is or not.

11 Mr. Chaudhari is a New Jersey resident who
 12 has this property, and he contracted with Nature
 13 Bridges, and he's saying that it's not compliant,
 14 that it's not usable. It cannot be accessed. It
 15 cannot be utilized in any meaningful way, that it
 16 cannot remain, that it's not what he agreed to,
 17 that Nature Bridges knew that, that they know it
 18 still.

19 And there's always been an understanding of
 20 what a bridge is and what a bridge is not. And I
 21 don't necessarily disagree that perhaps there
 22 needs to be some limiting here, but the idea that
 23 paragraphs 39 through 42, I don't believe it's
 24 appropriate to strike all that. Moreover, there
 25 is a correlation to some of this with his

Page 133

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

Page 135

1 would have been able to present competent,
 2 substantial evidence that yes or no.

3 Because I tell you what, if LAN Associates
 4 would have testified that you could have put the
 5 20-foot bridge in the location that's depicted on
 6 the site plan, that would be a problem for the
 7 plaintiff. But you didn't do that. For whatever
 8 reason, the decision was made not to get this
 9 testimony tied down.

10 Somebody could have asked LAN Associates,
 11 since apparently they know about bridges, as an
 12 expert, Is this a bridge? I mean, the contract
 13 that Mr. Chaudhari entered into, is this a
 14 bridge?

15 I don't know what they would have said.
 16 They could have said, Yeah, that's a bridge. The
 17 industry standard, when you quote bridges is
 18 this. And if you want more than the thing that
 19 goes over the ditch or the thing that goes over
 20 the water, if you want more than that, you have
 21 to pay for it.

22 But we don't know the answers today to those
 23 questions because none of those folks' testimony
 24 was preserved to present to the jury. And so
 25 we're in a situation where the Court is being

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 asked to let these issues go to the jury based on
 2 Mr. Chaudhari's testimony alone, which is just
 3 completely backed up by hearsay.

4 He's testified, Yeah, they didn't follow the
 5 permits. Well, what part -- we can't even see
 6 the permit. We don't know what the permit
 7 required. Okay. Let's look at the site plan.
 8 We don't have a site plan. It's his opinion that
 9 the bridge could go where the 35-foot bridge is.
 10 Common sense tells me, it's an engineering firm,
 11 they put a 35-foot bridge there because that's
 12 what it took to span the whatever it is the
 13 bridge is crossing. Because why on earth would a
 14 qualified engineer do a site plan for a 35-foot
 15 bridge when you only need a 10-foot one? They'd
 16 be sued for malpractice. Right? Anything else?

17 MR. HARPER: Yes. Your Honor, paragraph 43,
 18 I think there's more than competent, substantial
 19 evidence to suggest the project has not been
 20 completed and that there's --

21 THE COURT: Again, based on the common sense
 22 notion of what a bridge is?

23 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, based on what the
 24 contract requires and what the parties testified
 25 to.

1 agreement by failing to further review, analyze,
 2 check or observe the requirements of the permit.
 3 Mr. Chaudhari has testified that -- and so did
 4 Nature Bridges. There are permits. There were
 5 site plans. They were asked for amnesty by
 6 Nature Bridges, and we have a problem. And I
 7 think that is sufficient. I think that it's
 8 appropriate for Mr. Chaudhari to raise, and I
 9 think him coming in here to testify today is
 10 competent and substantial evidence.

11 With regards to paragraph 45, Mr. Chaudhari
 12 has claimed Nature Bridges failed to increase its
 13 workforce for the workload or failed to implement
 14 other reasonable operational changes. And he
 15 testified to that. Brian Green testified that it
 16 was sufficient.

17 Everybody testified that there were
 18 problems, that there was a disagreement as to
 19 what the project was, what the bridge was going
 20 to be, what was agreed to. Everything, all the
 21 testimony has confirmed that. We have a
 22 difference of opinion.

23 And once again, I think there's competent
 24 and substantial evidence to suggest paragraph 46.
 25 Mr. Chaudhari notified them within a year that

1 THE COURT: So 43 is they failed to complete
 2 the project. So what else? Failed to complete
 3 what part of the project?

4 MR. HARPER: The bridge and that it could be
 5 utilized.

6 THE COURT: That's what I asked you. Based
 7 on the common sense --

8 MR. HARPER: Common sense, that's exactly
 9 right. I don't think common sense has to be
 10 proven by expert testimony.

11 MS. LUKEN: Well, none of the damages that
 12 are being sought flow from that breach, so it
 13 really doesn't matter with respect that
 14 paragraph, none of the damages claimed.

15 MR. HARPER: Your Honor --

16 MS. LUKEN: They don't. Your Honor, they
 17 say that they have environmental permitting
 18 costs, the bridge needs to be removed and there's
 19 an as-built. If indeed the project wasn't
 20 completed, the measure of damages would be
 21 whatever the cost is to, quote, unquote, complete
 22 the additional pieces that Mr. Chaudhari believes
 23 are necessary to make this a complete bridge, and
 24 there's been no proof of that.

25 MR. HARPER: The plaintiff breached the

1 there was a problem and multiple problems. The
 2 contract provides that they will -- that the work
 3 has to be approved by the owner. It was not
 4 approved. He notified them it was not approved.
 5 He told them what the issues were, and here we
 6 are. There's been more than enough, more than
 7 sufficient, competent testimony.

8 It's interesting that with regard to 46, I
 9 think the argument is -- it's interesting because
 10 we also don't have a schedule of work within the
 11 contract. So in theory, without a beginning and
 12 an end, the contract is still in effect right
 13 now. I mean, there's no -- there's a signature
 14 date, but there's no ending. And the ending of a
 15 contract is based on the approval and
 16 satisfaction of the owner.

17 So based on the plaintiff's argument, we're
 18 still under that contract. We're still working
 19 within that contract. I don't see how these
 20 things can be so easily dismissed without a true,
 21 in my opinion, true, legitimate scope of work, a
 22 definition of a bridge, a schedule and -- and the
 23 location.

24 I mean, you don't have to have expert
 25 testimony presented to establish or try to

1 establish that there was a location that was
 2 agreed to obviously, or else there wasn't. But
 3 there was a location. And that was left
 4 open-ended by someone that drafted the contract
 5 inartfully. That's not on Mr. Chaudhari. That's
 6 on the drafter of the contract.

7 And as a result, all of these damages that
 8 Mr. Chaudhari is alleging, they all flow from
 9 this contract for the work performed by Nature
 10 Bridges. Thank you.

11 MS. LUKEN: Just briefly, Your Honor. Under
 12 New Jersey law -- and just so we're clear here,
 13 choice of law provisions are not unusual. I
 14 mean, this is not some sort of foreign, weird
 15 thing. That actually happens fairly frequently,
 16 particularly in the construction industry. I
 17 recently had several cases over in Tallahassee
 18 that were applying Texas law, not a big deal.

19 MR. HARPER: That went to trial?

20 MS. LUKEN: No. They were resolved.

21 MR. HARPER: Right.

22 MS. LUKEN: But I don't see a difference
 23 with respect to the issues that you're raising.
 24 Under New Jersey law, there is no presumption in
 25 favor of the drafter if the other party had the

Page 140

1 in here and put "I think" in the front, and you'd
 2 have somebody get up on the stand and say, "I
 3 think this. I think that." Regardless of their
 4 qualifications, experience or anything else, that
 5 would be sufficient to go to a jury.

6 And it's just not the case for these types
 7 of claims. If you're going to allege that
 8 someone violated a permit, it needs to be more
 9 than a homeowner saying, "I believe that to be
 10 so."

11 So I don't think -- at least insofar as that
 12 aspect of the case is concerned, the only breach
 13 that would result in having to do additional
 14 environmental permitting is a failure to comply
 15 with permits, which has not been proven and
 16 cannot be proven.

17 The same is true, I think, for the other
 18 item of damage sought, \$27,500 because the bridge
 19 has to be removed. Again, the only way to make
 20 it so that the bridge would have to be removed is
 21 if there were a violation of the permit, again,
 22 that was the responsibility of my client. I
 23 mean, I don't want to lose sight of that issue as
 24 well.

25 Our position obviously is, to the extent

Page 142

1 opportunity to negotiate. And I have a case on
 2 that. I don't think this is the time for it,
 3 perhaps not. But I did want to bring that to the
 4 Court's attention.

5 And Mr. Chaudhari has admitted unequivocally
 6 that he was given the opportunity to negotiate,
 7 and it's our position that there is no
 8 presumption against the drafter or in favor of
 9 the drafter. There's no presumption whatsoever
 10 with respect to this contract.

11 The damages -- and, I mean, I think it would
 12 probably be easier here just to work backwards,
 13 because Mr. Chaudhari has to prove breach of a
 14 material term and that he has damages that flow
 15 from that breach.

16 So let's look at the damages that he's
 17 seeking in this case. \$20,000 for the
 18 environmental permit. Working backwards, you
 19 would have to demonstrate -- in order for a
 20 damage such as that to flow from a term, he would
 21 have to demonstrate that there was a violation of
 22 the permit, period. And he cannot do that.

23 And I agree with Your Honor. I mean,
 24 essentially, if that issue were permitted to go
 25 to a jury, you would just take every allegation

Page 141

1 Mr. Chaudhari, in his developer hat, decides that
 2 he wants to do something different than what his
 3 engineer said, he can do that. But it's his job
 4 to get environmental permits. So if whatever it
 5 is we did at his direction needs to have new
 6 environmental permits or whatever it needs,
 7 that's his job. So I don't want to lose sight of
 8 that piece of this.

9 But regardless, he can't even demonstrate
 10 that there is a problem with the bridge relative
 11 to the permit because he does not have competent
 12 testimony.

13 Going backwards again, with respect to this
 14 \$850 for an as-built, I don't know quite where
 15 that goes. I guess it would be some sort of
 16 breach of that warranty provision. But, again,
 17 that references a construction defect, which he
 18 also can't prove.

19 So I guess I would say, Your Honor, that
 20 there's a whole lot of stuff in here, but I think
 21 just working backwards from the damages, the two
 22 issues he needs to show in order to tie with
 23 these damages, a failure to comply with the
 24 permit, he can't prove. So if he doesn't have
 25 evidence for any of these other items, then he's

Page 143

1 got no case and directed verdict should be
2 granted.
3 THE COURT: Do you want to speak to the
4 issue of Mr. Harper's position that common sense
5 tells you a bridge is all parts versus some
6 parts?
7 MS. LUKEN: Here's what I would say about
8 that, Your Honor. If there were a contract and
9 the only sentence in that contract said, I, Joe,
10 will build you, Steve, a bridge, I would kind of
11 agree that, you know, bridge, whatever -- I could
12 argue that there's a meeting of the minds because
13 you may have different ideas.
14 Our contract is not that way. Our contract
15 specifically describes precisely what we're going
16 to be doing. I know this is a jury tool, but
17 it's an easy place so I know where it is. We
18 don't say -- the description of the work is not,
19 We're going to build you a bridge. It's that
20 we're going to build you a free-span bridge
21 12-by-20 feet, steel bridge with concrete deck.
22 And we also say we're going to provide this,
23 we're going to provide bridge engineering,
24 concrete abutments, et cetera.
25 The only testimony in this case is that

Page 144

1 these damages flow from that.
2 If it's not a bridge and you were supposed
3 to give me also the approaches and all the dirt
4 and the driveway and gravel, right, his measure
5 of damages for that would be whatever is missing,
6 right?
7 He's not put on any proof of what those
8 costs might be. So even if the jury were to find
9 in his favor on this "bridge means something else
10 than what is specifically described," he's got no
11 damages.
12 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, with regards to
13 that, a couple of things I'd like you to keep in
14 mind. First of all, Nature Bridges was not
15 licensed in the state of New Jersey, so
16 theoretically -- there's been no testimony to
17 suggest that what they performed required expert
18 level of expertise anyway.
19 We're talking about a -- there's nothing to
20 suggest that -- to put this fundamental expert
21 opinion standard on my client, conversely, there
22 has been testimony to establish that Nature
23 Bridges is not a licensed general contractor,
24 they're not a licensed contractor in the state of
25 New Jersey.

Page 146

1 these things have been fulfilled. The only
2 testimony from Mr. Chaudhari in response to that
3 is, I don't think it's a bridge. That's not
4 competent to rebut what the contract actually
5 says or to demonstrate a breach of that contract.
6 Again, in the abstract, if that's all we
7 had, a sentence, I agree to build you a bridge,
8 Mr. Chaudhari's testimony could be sufficient.
9 But in this instance, that's not what's said.
10 And these are terms of art within the
11 construction industry, and they were explained by
12 people in the construction industry who
13 understand them.
14 And I would say that Mr. Chaudhari's mere
15 response that, I don't think it's a bridge,
16 again, that's all -- you know, and that's really
17 the problem with this whole thing, is he wants to
18 do a fraudulent inducement case, but he hasn't
19 pled it. And that's really why we are where we
20 are in this case.
21 So I guess I would say, no, that is
22 insufficient proof that a bridge is not a bridge.
23 And by the way, there are no damages flowing from
24 that. So even if he says it's not a bridge and
25 even if the jury found he was right, none of

Page 145

1 THE COURT: Well, the testimony is they
2 didn't have to be, unrebutted testimony.
3 MR. HARPER: So, therefore, if you don't
4 have to have a license, then why are we trying to
5 apply an expert opinion standard to what a bridge
6 is or isn't? You don't have to. You have a lay
7 person hiring a company to build a bridge. We're
8 not talking about a licensed expert bridge
9 building company. We're talking about, in
10 essence, two parties at the same level, basic
11 understanding --
12 THE COURT: I think you're conflating
13 issues, Mr. Harper.
14 MR. HARPER: And, Your Honor, something
15 else, before we circle back to her and let her
16 tell us how this thing is going to go, you've got
17 Santiago Garcia --
18 THE COURT: I'm taking exception to that,
19 Mr. Harper. That was an inappropriate comment.
20 MR. HARPER: My apologies, Your Honor.
21 Santiago Garcia testified that they, rather than
22 build a steel bridge free span, that a concrete
23 bridge with precast concrete abutments is what he
24 received. Mr. Chaudhari testified to that and
25 Santiago Garcia testified to that as well.

Page 147

1 Now, that goes directly to Mr. Chaudhari's
 2 claimed breach that it was not compliant, what
 3 was agreed to, that it's not compliant with --
 4 that it's a deviation, it's a modification that
 5 he didn't approve and that he's been harmed by
 6 that. I think there is enough for the jury to
 7 decide. That also goes to the warranty.

8 THE COURT: Which paragraph do you think
 9 that applies to? Not 39, because that was the
 10 claim that they failed to comply with applicable
 11 laws, ordinances and rules.

12 MR. HARPER: Right. I would say --

13 THE COURT: I don't see --

14 MR. HARPER: I would say 42.

15 THE COURT: 42?

16 MR. HARPER: Yes, Your Honor. I would say
 17 43. I would say 46. And I'd say -- well, okay.
 18 And I would say 39. For what it's worth, I think
 19 that we submit or propose to the Court that the
 20 applicable law is in this contract. We have a
 21 legal, binding document that is applicable.

22 THE COURT: That's not a law, though. A
 23 contract isn't a law.

24 MR. HARPER: I understand.

25 THE COURT: Do you want to respond to that

1 he believes he was entitled to.

2 But, again, I'm going to go back to my
 3 favorite here. That doesn't go to any of his
 4 claimed damages. It doesn't go to any of the
 5 damages that he's claiming. He's claiming he's
 6 got to go spend \$20,000 on environmental
 7 permitting. That's got nothing to do with the
 8 materials in the bridge.

9 THE COURT: What he's claiming is he's going
 10 to have to spend \$20,000 to do environmental
 11 permitting because he needs to tear this bridge
 12 out and start all over, which is going to be a
 13 new bridge with new permits.

14 MS. LUKEN: But there's been no testimony
 15 that the reason why he thinks -- the only reason
 16 he has said that he thinks the bridge has to be
 17 torn out is because he doesn't think it complies
 18 with whatever.

19 A different material being used goes to a
 20 quality, quantification issue. I thought I was
 21 going to get a steel bridge for \$55,000. You
 22 only gave me a concrete bridge for \$55,000. You
 23 need to give me back \$5,000, or whatever the
 24 diminution in value is between the materials that
 25 I believe I bargained for and what I got.

1 argument, Ms. Luken?

2 MS. LUKEN: Sure, Your Honor. With respect
 3 to paragraph 42, the allegation that plaintiff
 4 breached the agreement by failing to satisfy
 5 and/or correct any construction defect, again, no
 6 demonstrable construction defect at all, no
 7 competent testimony of any defect.

8 THE COURT: Then you construe those back to
 9 the plaintiff substituted precast slabs for -- I
 10 mean, it's not the same thing as what's in the
 11 proposal.

12 MS. LUKEN: Well, it is, actually, Your
 13 Honor. There is testimony from both Brian Green
 14 and April James that there is, in fact, steel in
 15 the bridge. There is a description here, you're
 16 going to get a concrete deck, which is exactly
 17 what's there, and that's what the testimony is,
 18 and concrete abutments, which is what's there.
 19 And there's also a steel railing.

20 So, you know, I'm not sure I understand the
 21 argument in this regard anyway, because obviously
 22 there's no ability on the part of Mr. Chaudhari
 23 to opine as to what the difference or differences
 24 might be between the concrete deck and concrete
 25 abutments that were provided and whatever it is

1 And so that would be a normal measure of
 2 damages. That's not been proven at all. That is
 3 a distinction between whatever it is -- again, if
 4 he had competent testimony about what this
 5 description means -- let's say hypothetically you
 6 had someone say, you know, there should have been
 7 a steel deck. Right? You would have somebody
 8 come in and say, Well, a steel deck bridge would
 9 be more valuable than a concrete deck bridge, and
 10 therefore the difference in the value of these
 11 two products is \$10,000. But there's been no
 12 testimony on that either.

13 So, I mean, again, I don't think he's
 14 demonstrated any of those damages. A
 15 construction defect is when there is -- the item
 16 that is being either constructed or built does
 17 not perform the way it's supposed to perform.
 18 You know, you put in windows. The windows leak.
 19 That's a construction defect. They were either
 20 installed incorrectly, they were manufactured
 21 incorrectly. There's been no demonstration of an
 22 incorrect installation. There's been no
 23 demonstration of an incorrect manufacture.
 24 You know, again, if there had been some
 25 demonstration that what is provided is different,

1 you need to show whatever you believe the
2 diminution in value is between what you bargained
3 for and what you received. So I don't think that
4 fits at all.

5 The second part of that paragraph, which
6 talks about failing to make corrective measures
7 necessary to satisfy the contract and
8 requirements of law, that's got nothing to do
9 with it. And, again, all the rest of these I
10 believe are related to the site plan.

11 So -- and, you know, again, any claim that
12 he may have, whether it's in the context of this
13 is not a bridge, it's supposed to have the sides
14 and a driveway and everything else leading up to
15 my house, whether it's that argument or whether
16 it's that it should have been steel and it should
17 have been cast in place, whatever argument he's
18 trying to make here, that does not equate to, now
19 I have to remove it.

20 And, in fact, that would probably be deemed
21 to be economic waste, because unless there is
22 some sort of impetus to do that, your difference
23 is going to be the difference in value between
24 what you bargained for and what you got. And
25 there's been no testimony about that at all.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

Page 152

1 was necessary to get those permits either renewed
2 timely or to get some sort of variance from the
3 Department of Environmental Protection.

4 He never heard from Nature Bridges. We
5 didn't do that. We don't have that. We've never
6 done that. They confirmed that. It's just --
7 there's a bunch of nothing. He's still talking
8 to them up until 2016. But there's back and
9 forth. There's back and forth. He finds out
10 after the fact that they never did it, never
11 intended to.

12 THE COURT: Never did what?

13 MR. HARPER: They never created as-built
14 plans. There was never any belief or
15 understanding Nature Bridges said that they were
16 going to get site-specific engineering and design
17 work done, change the location, build a bridge
18 and then do the same thing for the as-built
19 location.

20 All of that has to -- it has to be possessed
21 by Mr. Chaudhari, the permittee, or the holder of
22 the permit, the son of the permittee. They have
23 to have -- they have to know about it and you
24 have to notify the DEP before any of this is
25 done.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

Page 154

1 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, I'm grateful for
2 Ms. Luken's experience in Florida construction
3 law. I wish it were applicable here. I wish we
4 all had a better, firm grasp on exactly what New
5 Jersey's substantive law, legal standard that
6 we're all here tasked with navigating on.

7 THE COURT: Well, it's your burden to show
8 me --

9 MR. HARPER: I'm sure it's his burden to --
10 THE COURT: It's both of your burdens.

11 You're the one on the counterclaim. I mean, all
12 of these paragraphs, it's your burden to show
13 that.

14 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, with regards to
15 something else that was mentioned, failure to
16 perform, failure to -- maybe she was referring to
17 42. I'm not sure. But what I did want to
18 mention to you is, it's been Mr. Chaudhari's
19 testimony and a defense as well, these damages,
20 as they relate to the reapplication of permits,
21 they're derived because the original permit
22 expired.

23 The original permits expired, and it is
24 Mr. Chaudhari's belief and testimony because
25 Nature Bridges frustrated the ability to get what

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 At the end of the day, all these notices of
2 violation, they come back around, like this
3 latest one, it's because -- they could all be
4 averted if notice is given that something has
5 changed. You could have avoided most of this.
6 There are very few instances where someone like
7 DEP is going to say, no --

8 THE COURT: What did Mr. Chaudhari do to go
9 back to DEP or go back to LAN Associates -- I'm
10 just curious -- and say, Okay, I need you guys to
11 get out to the property, I need you guys to help
12 me put a new permit together where this bridge is
13 so that it can be approved by DEP and I get out
14 from these notices?

15 MR. HARPER: Well, he hired LAN, Your Honor.
16 Once he learned that there was no --

17 THE COURT: The \$850 for the as-built.

18 MR. HARPER: Which they did, right. And by
19 that time --

20 THE COURT: But my point is, what has he
21 done with that to get that permitted through DEP
22 so you don't have to take it out, you can just
23 fix whatever you need to do?

24 MR. HARPER: He submitted everything he had
25 done, which is probably the reason -- it's the

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

Page 155

1 impetus for this new notice of violation. That's
2 exactly what happened.

3 THE COURT: Well, that's not evidence.
4 MR. HARPER: I understand, but that's
5 exactly what happened. And he has been advised
6 from day one that -- and I think that the only
7 relevance that you mentioned in the second notice
8 of violation is that it establishes the
9 frustration of the permit holder.

10 THE COURT: So, anyway, going back to, you
11 insinuated that New Jersey construction law might
12 be different. What have you -- do you have any
13 citations or anything, research that --

14 MR. HARPER: I don't.

15 THE COURT: So you haven't researched any of
16 that? Because you insinuated it might be
17 different, because you made a comment about
18 Ms. Luken being so well-versed in construction
19 law.

20 MR. HARPER: I was simply trying, on the
21 record, to distinguish that this is -- she's
22 citing Florida law. I'm simply saying New Jersey
23 law applies here. That's all. I'm not inferring
24 that I --

25 THE COURT: I know. Ms. Luken's case is

Page 156

1 relatively simple.

2 MR. HARPER: I understand. But she --
3 THE COURT: I mean, it's a contract for
4 \$55,000, and he still owes \$7,500. His side of
5 the case is much more complicated. Ms. Luken --
6 I think it would be -- if you want the Court to
7 consider anything different than New Jersey
8 construction law, then it would be incumbent upon
9 you to tell me about it, because it's not her
10 burden to prove your case.

11 MR. HARPER: I understand. I just want to
12 make sure that the wrong law is not relied on.

13 THE COURT: Well, what wrong law?

14 MR. HARPER: I don't know, Your Honor. I
15 don't have any more. I haven't thoroughly
16 researched New Jersey construction law. What we
17 have here is case law that's been cited. That's
18 Florida case law. We've got New Jersey case law,
19 substantive law that's applicable. I just want
20 it to be clean in the record. That's all.

21 THE COURT: Well, the Florida case law that
22 the Court cited was procedural. I don't believe
23 I've cited any substantive law. Do you disagree
24 that a rule of procedure is procedural?

25 MR. HARPER: Federal rule of procedure?

1 Yes.

2 THE COURT: Well, that's the only case law,
3 I believe, that was cited, was based on Rule
4 1.120(c), which is a procedural rule. Okay. Let
5 me take a break.

6 (Recess taken.)

7 THE COURT: Let me see if I can get
8 organized here. So the first -- and I did look
9 up New Jersey law. I don't think that New Jersey
10 law would apply for rules of evidence. That's
11 procedural rules. But I wanted to just go there
12 and see what New Jersey law said.

13 And it appears that New Jersey law is
14 similar to Florida law. So there's two kinds of
15 opinions that can be offered in a case. There
16 are lay opinions and there are expert opinions.
17 And lay opinions cannot be offered if they are
18 based on inadmissible hearsay statements. That's
19 New Jersey law.

20 So lay opinion testimony is limited to what
21 is directly perceived by the witness and may not
22 rest on otherwise inadmissible hearsay. Florida
23 law is similar. Ehrhardt on Evidence says lay
24 opinion based on hearsay evidence is not
25 admissible.

Page 159

1 So, for example, in this case, Mr. Chaudhari
2 testified that where the bridge is currently
3 located is in the water. The abutment is in the
4 water. He can observe that fact and testify to
5 it. What he can't testify to is that violates
6 New Jersey DEP law, because he doesn't have any
7 specialized knowledge, training or skill to
8 render that opinion, which would be considered an
9 expert opinion.

10 Somebody from DEP or a lawyer that has that
11 training or that knowledge would have to testify
12 that, yeah, if the abutments are in the water,
13 that violates New Jersey DEP requirements. And
14 for purposes of our record, the statute regarding
15 lay opinions is 90.701 Florida Statutes. Experts
16 are allowed to render opinions under section
17 90.702 if the testimony is scientific, technical
18 or other specialized knowledge. You know, you
19 have experts for all kinds of things.

20 So Mr. Chaudhari has testified that -- well,
21 his opinion that the bridge does not comply with
22 the applicable laws, ordinances and rules is
23 based on information he's gathered from other
24 folks in New Jersey. So it's based on hearsay,
25 which the opinion is then not admissible.

1 So, for example, paragraph 39, the complaint
 2 is that the agreement was breached by failing to
 3 construct a compliant bridge that conformed with
 4 all applicable laws, ordinances and rules. So
 5 directed verdict must be granted on that
 6 allegation.

7 Paragraph 40, the plaintiff breached the
 8 agreement by failing to construct and/or complete
 9 a bridge for the defendant that could be utilized
 10 in any meaningful, useful and/or intended
 11 purpose. So if I assume that's true, if we
 12 follow the argument that Exhibit 83-B -- I agree,
 13 it doesn't look like a bridge at this point.
 14 Technically it might be considered a bridge, but
 15 it doesn't look like a bridge that can be used in
 16 any meaningful, useful or intended purpose. So
 17 let's assume that's true.

18 The problem is no damages have been proven,
 19 because the damages would be completing the
 20 bridge, taken by itself. So you can't use the
 21 bridge as it looks in 83-B. So how much is it
 22 going to cost to do the approaches to the bridge
 23 in such a manner that it would withstand a 20-ton
 24 vehicle, per the contract? There's no evidence
 25 that's been presented as to what -- how much that

Page 160

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 would cost.

2 There was evidence, a \$27,000 proposal by
 3 Nature Bridges for some fill, but that's it.
 4 There may -- I think April James testified that
 5 didn't include any retaining walls necessary to
 6 keep all that fill dirt in place. So there's no
 7 damages proven, so directed verdict must be
 8 granted for paragraph 40.

9 Paragraph 41, plaintiff breached the
 10 agreement by failing to construct a bridge which
 11 complies with the requirements of the permit and
 12 site development plan obtained by defendant and
 13 provided to the plaintiff. That is the same
 14 issue or legal reasoning in paragraph 39. I
 15 believe the Court must direct a verdict for --
 16 well, I guess it would be the counter-defendant,
 17 but for Nature Bridges.

18 Same as to paragraph 42, directed verdict
 19 for Nature Bridges. 43, plaintiff breached the
 20 agreement by failing to complete the project,
 21 which is the condition precedent to final
 22 payment. That is similar to paragraph 40,
 23 completing the project. There's no damages
 24 proven by the plaintiff as to what would be
 25 required money-wise to complete the project.

1 Directed verdict for Nature Bridges.

2 44, plaintiff breached the agreement by
 3 failing to thoroughly review, analyze, check
 4 and/or observe the requirements of the permit and
 5 associated site development plan. Just to
 6 further comment about the permit and associated
 7 site development plan, I haven't even seen the
 8 permit. Neither the permit nor the site
 9 development plan are in evidence.

10 But, again, the requirements, unless
 11 they're -- well, I have a couple of comments.
 12 The permit is not in evidence, so the Court can't
 13 even determine if there are technical
 14 requirements listed on the permit or if they're
 15 readily observable by -- a lay person can figure
 16 it out. You know what I'm saying?

17 Like most people know what a silt fence is.
 18 So if the permit says you've got to have a silt
 19 fence around the project, that's probably a
 20 common sense issue. But if the permit says that
 21 you have to have a very technical requirement, it
 22 may require an expert to testify.

23 Without knowing what the permit says or the
 24 site development plan says, it's impossible to
 25 determine whether a lay person could at least

Page 162

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 comment on some of those requirements.

2 An example to the site development plan I
 3 provided earlier, without looking at it, it calls
 4 apparently for a 35-foot bridge, but there's no
 5 one here to testify as to is the 35-foot bridge
 6 necessary, not necessary.

7 And Mr. Chaudhari has rendered a lay opinion
 8 that you could put that 20-foot bridge where a
 9 35-foot bridge is placed on the site plan. But
 10 other than his opinion, there's no other
 11 evidence, unless somebody has told him that,
 12 which would be based on inadmissible hearsay, and
 13 he hasn't demonstrated that he has specialized
 14 knowledge, training and experience to render that
 15 opinion.

16 I know I'm not saying that very artfully,
 17 but I'm trying to at least put some of these on
 18 the record in case Mr. Chaudhari decides he wants
 19 to appeal or Nature Bridges decides they want to
 20 appeal. So directed verdict on 44 for the
 21 plaintiff, Nature Bridges.

22 45, the plaintiff breached the agreement by
 23 failing to increase its workforce and/or workload
 24 and otherwise by failing to implement operational
 25 measures reasonably necessary to complete the

Page 163

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

Page 164

1 project within terms, conditions and restrictions
2 of the relevant permit and site development plan.
3
4 The only evidence before the Court that
5 doesn't connect to thoughts is that Mr. Chaudhari
6 rendered an opinion that there weren't enough
7 people on site but didn't and never made the
8 connection, well, how does that relate to the
9 permit or the site development plan. So there's
a directed verdict for Nature Bridges.

10 Plaintiff breached the agreement by failing
11 to honor its warranty of work as provided by the
12 agreement. Directed verdict for the plaintiff,
13 Nature Bridges.

14 The plaintiff breached the agreement by
15 failing to provide sufficiently competent
16 representatives to oversee the project on the
17 defendant's property. The contract does not
18 direct any specifically trained person to be on
19 site. It says a representative.

20 So there's no evidence -- I know, I think,
21 Mr. Chaudhari said it should be an engineer. The
22 parties didn't -- they said a representative. So
23 directed verdict for the plaintiff, hasn't proven
24 a requirement for an engineer or that the person
25 on site was not sufficiently competent.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 answer. Let me go to plaintiff's.

2 MS. LUKEN: I did a reply and motion to
3 strike as part of the pleading process. I guess
4 what I was going to suggest to you is --
5
6 THE COURT: Well, they look identical to the
7 -- are they identical, Mr. Harper, to the
8 counterclaim?

9 MR. HARPER: What is that?

10 MS. LUKEN: Pretty much. I think he may
11 have like a failure to mitigate or something like
12 that that would remain.

13 THE COURT: So number 1, the plaintiff, a
14 licensed company experienced in the field of
15 constructing bridges, has failed to comply with
16 the terms and conditions of the contract by
17 failing to construct a compliant bridge that
18 conforms with all applicable laws, ordinances and
19 rules. That's paragraph 39 of the counterclaim.

20 MS. LUKEN: Yes.

21 THE COURT: So do you want any further
22 argument on that, Mr. Harper, since I've already
23 ruled on the counterclaim directed verdict?

24 MR. HARPER: Whether or not -- so is the
25 idea that he can't defend himself based on his
lay person opinion? Because presenting a case as

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

Page 165

1 So I know this has not gone well for
2 Mr. Chaudhari. Again, I'm a person who feels
3 sympathetic to either side of the case, but I
4 believe my obligation is to not do that and to
5 follow the law. And if there's a disagreement,
6 then the appellate court can tell me if I did
7 something wrong.

8 So the sum and substance is, we've had --
9 had the counterclaim considered against
10 defendant, counter-defendant Nature Bridges. The
11 Court is directing a verdict as to all breach of
12 contract claims brought by Mr. Chaudhari, which
13 leaves us with the plaintiff's complaint.

14 MS. LUKEN: And I guess the issue that I'm
15 seeing, Your Honor, is that obviously there's
16 some overlap between the affirmative defenses and
17 the things that Your Honor has ruled upon in the
18 directed verdict.

19 So maybe it would be appropriate for me to
20 renew my motion to strike with respect to those
21 affirmative defenses, and then I can figure out
22 what if anything else I need to put on in
23 rebuttal. Would that make sense?

24 THE COURT: Yeah. I have to pull up the
25 affirmative defenses. I've got defendant's

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

Page 167

1 a plaintiff and defending are two different
2 things, I think two different standards.

3 THE COURT: What would be the different
4 standard?

5 MR. HARPER: How would anyone be able to --
6 they themselves have to present a case that they
7 complied with the contract. They didn't present
8 any testimony that they are licensed or that
9 they're experienced or that this meets the
10 definition of a bridge.

11 It is Mr. Chaudhari's opinion that it's not.
12 I don't believe that opinion has been rebutted by
13 anyone. So I think it's an appropriate
14 affirmative defense, the defense to why not make
15 final payment.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have a response?

17 MS. LUKEN: Just briefly. I think
18 procedurally the way to do this, since Your Honor
19 has already made a ruling on the legal
20 sufficiency of these defenses as an affirmative
21 claim, is to apply that ruling to these same
22 affirmative defenses.

23 And I move to strike -- and I can list the
24 ones. But all of the ones that are virtually
25 identical to the paragraphs that are pled in the

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 counterclaim, I would move to strike all of those
2 affirmative defenses and have the Court make a
3 ruling on that.

4 And then, once we're done with that process,
5 I can then look and see what if anything is
6 remaining and whether or not I need to put any
7 rebuttal case on at all. That's kind of my
8 procedural viewpoint. I don't think the fact
9 that -- you know, the legal sufficiency of the
10 evidence that is presented, it doesn't matter if
11 it's in furtherance of his counterclaim or if
12 it's in furtherance of his defense to payment. I
13 mean, it's the same standard. You have a burden
14 of proof on your affirmative defenses. We came
15 in. We said we did the work. We haven't been
16 paid.

17 And despite Mr. Harper's repetition of this
18 issue, we do not have to go through and provide
19 specific testimony or evidence relative to each
20 and every obligation in the complaint or in the
21 contract. We can demonstrate the existence of
22 the contract, the material breach by the
23 defendant, which we have, and the proof of the
24 damages.

25 To the extent he's got defenses, he has the

Page 168

1 MR. HARPER: Because of all the reasons
2 raised in the answer and affirmative defenses
3 that contributed to this problem. It's a breach
4 of -- they breached their warranty.

5 He, during their engagement, expressed
6 dissatisfaction, problems. They didn't do
7 anything. They refused to remedy any of the
8 deficiencies. They've acknowledged that there's
9 differences, but they're saying, We didn't have
10 to do it. We say they did. Plaintiff failed to
11 cure.

12 And default, that unless or until --
13 especially in light of the notice of violation
14 that we know, while inadmissible, exists, we also
15 know that there is not a full and complete
16 satisfaction of the plaintiff's work that has
17 been remedied, that there is a contingency now on
18 this piece of property that Mr. Chaudhari has to
19 go back to. And he has withheld that final
20 payment and justifiably so.

21 The contract requires the job has to be
22 completed and to the owner's satisfaction.
23 That's what the contract says. And it says he
24 has to approve all changes. None of those things
25 happened. They're saying, because we don't --

Page 170

1 burden of proof. So, you know, these are two
2 sides of the same coin. I mean, I think the only
3 thing in the defenses that is somewhat different
4 is, he does have some additional defenses in
5 here, such as mitigation, waiver. I mean, I'm
6 not so sure that those are legally sufficient at
7 this point either, but I haven't individually
8 evaluated each one of them.

9 But, I mean, I don't think it makes a major
10 distinction, just in terms of the sufficiency of
11 the evidence, as to whether it's a counterclaim
12 or a defense. He still has the burden of proof.

13 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, they've got to
14 prove first there's been a material breach by
15 Mr. Chaudhari. If they have proven that, then
16 the burden is on me.

17 THE COURT: So do you dispute that the
18 \$7,500 is not a material breach of the contract?

19 MR. HARPER: I dispute that that is a
20 material breach. I think --

21 THE COURT: You're disputing -- you're
22 saying it's not --

23 MR. HARPER: It's not a material breach,
24 correct.

25 THE COURT: Why is that?

1 that's insignificant. What's significant is that
2 we did a 20-by-12-foot structure, so pay up.

3 And that's just not a consistent application
4 of the agreement or the contract.

5 MS. LUKEN: Your Honor, I'm not in any way
6 suggesting that Mr. Harper can't make an argument
7 to the jury about why his client shouldn't have
8 to pay. Again, if you want to hang your hat on
9 that owner must approve -- you know, all work is
10 subject to approval by owner, certainly
11 Mr. Chaudhari's counsel could argue, you know,
12 you read the contract, you saw that it has to be
13 approved by the owner, it's not approved by the
14 owner.

15 I'm not suggesting that he doesn't get the
16 opportunity to do that. All I'm saying is some
17 of these affirmative defenses should be stricken,
18 and I would suggest that any argument on those
19 topics would be inappropriate if they're not part
20 of the legal pleadings in the case.

21 MR. HARPER: Maybe it would be best if we go
22 through and identify which ones she's talking
23 about. I'm not going to strike affirmative
24 defenses.

25 THE COURT: I understand. The first

1 affirmative defense, that's identical to Page 172
 2 paragraph 39.
 3 MS. LUKEN: Yes. I would move to strike it
 4 for the same reasons that Your Honor articulated
 5 in the directed verdict finding.

6 THE COURT: Mr. Harper?

7 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, I'd love to get
 8 this case to the jury today, notwithstanding my
 9 prior objection and argument.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. HARPER: I'm not going to add more labor
 12 to this.

13 THE COURT: Okay. So the first affirmative
 14 defense is stricken. Second affirmative defense,
 15 plaintiff has failed to construct or complete a
 16 bridge that the defendant can utilize in any
 17 meaningful, useful or intended purpose. That's
 18 identical to paragraph 40, so that affirmative
 19 defense is stricken.

20 Third, plaintiff has failed to construct a
 21 bridge which complies with the requirements of
 22 the permit -- specifically, the plaintiff
 23 deviated by constructing a bridge which was too
 24 small and located in a flood zone approximately
 25 60 feet from the preapproved permitted location

1 on defendant's property. So that's a little more Page 173
 2 detailed than --
 3 MS. LUKEN: I think he could -- I mean, in
 4 fairness, Your Honor, I think he could argue, as
 5 a defense to payment, the bridge isn't where I
 6 want it to be. The only concern that I have,
 7 Your Honor, is -- well, it doesn't matter, Your
 8 Honor. That's fine, except obviously we wouldn't
 9 be talking about preapproved permitted locations
 10 and arguments about violations of the permit or
 11 anything like that.

12 THE COURT: Fourth affirmative defense.

13 MS. LUKEN: I don't think this is really a
 14 defense, Your Honor. It's not a legal defense.
 15 I'm assuming the term "specs" -- I've asked this
 16 in interrogatories. I'm assuming he means the
 17 site development plan. It's our position that
 18 that's not incorporated into the contract and
 19 therefore it's not governing. But I don't really
 20 think this is a legal defense anyway.

21 MR. HARPER: It's our position it is
 22 incorporated because it would have to be, it
 23 would have to be in order to determine --

24 THE COURT: So, really, the fourth
 25 affirmative defense is related -- you're going to

1 talk location. Is that -- Page 174
 2 MR. HARPER: That's correct.
 3 THE COURT: Fifth affirmative defense.
 4 MS. LUKEN: This is a construction defect
 5 one, Your Honor. I think it's the same as Your
 6 Honor's ruling with respect to paragraph 42 of
 7 the complaint.

8 THE COURT: Mr. Harper, do you want to say
 9 anything about that?

10 MR. HARPER: No, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: That one is stricken. Six.

12 MS. LUKEN: I don't know what this is, but I
 13 mean, failure to --

14 THE COURT: What exhaustible remedies,
 15 Mr. Harper? Like what?

16 MR. HARPER: Contacting New Jersey DEP,
 17 assisting Mr. Chaudhari on any level with
 18 as-built site plans so that he can submit and
 19 redo the applications as the contract provides.
 20 There's a provision about mediation that was
 21 not -- not presuit demanded.

22 THE COURT: Is there a requirement for
 23 presuit mediation?

24 MR. HARPER: I don't know that it's a
 25 requirement. I think the language is "may."

1 MS. LUKEN: We wrote a demand letter two and Page 175
 2 a half months before filing suit.

3 MR. HARPER: A demand letter for payment and
 4 a notice of intent to sue, not a request or
 5 notice to mediate.

6 THE COURT: I'm fine with leaving the sixth
 7 affirmative defense. I think it's a legal
 8 argument based on the contract. Seventh
 9 affirmative defense?

10 MS. LUKEN: Yes, Your Honor. Again, this is
 11 the condition precedent. He claims that we
 12 failed to complete the project. You know, he can
 13 probably make that argument, Your Honor, as an
 14 affirmative defense. He doesn't need damages for
 15 an affirmative defense.

16 THE COURT: Sure. So seven stays. Eight.

17 MS. LUKEN: I don't think this is an
 18 appropriate legal defense for this case. It's a
 19 breach of contract case. I don't think issues of
 20 contributory negligence are necessarily
 21 applicable. Again, this seems more like argument
 22 than a legal defense in any event.

23 THE COURT: What are you getting at with the
 24 eighth affirmative defense, Mr. Harper?

25 MR. HARPER: I think it's the frustration

1 of -- it goes to damages, contributed to the
2 amount of damages.
3
4 THE COURT: To the damages claimed by
5 Mr. Chaudhari or \$7,500?
6
7 MR. HARPER: Their damages.
8
9 THE COURT: The eighth affirmative defense
10 can stay. Nine.
11
12 MS. LUKE: Your Honor, if he wants to argue
13 that he shouldn't have to pay because there
14 weren't enough people there or something, I mean,
15 I guess that's -- I think it's a more factual
16 argument.
17
18 THE COURT: Ten?
19
20 MS. LUKE: I mean, I guess I would move to
21 strike this as not being a legal defense really.
22
23 THE COURT: What does your tenth affirmative
24 defense go to, Mr. Harper? There may be a
25 similar argument that you're going to
make related to that.

20 MR. HARPER: I'm sorry, Your Honor?
21
22 THE COURT: What's the tenth affirmative
23 defense going to be about?
24
25 MR. HARPER: That any work or a change was
not approved by Mr. Chaudhari before it was done.
That's in section 14 of the contract. It must be

1 approved and to the owner's satisfaction.
2
3 THE COURT: Okay. Ten can stay. 11?
4
5 MS. LUKE: I don't mind this staying here.
6 I think my only concern is that, you know, to the
7 extent that many of these are just factual
8 arguments, I would prefer them not to be a jury
9 instruction. If it's a real affirmative defense,
10 you failed to meet a condition precedent, we can
11 have an instruction on it. But I don't think we
12 should be having instructions on things like,
13 your work was deficient, or whatever these are
14 intended to do.

15 So with that caveat, I mean, number 12,
16 plaintiff breached the parties' contract by
17 failing to properly obtain permits, inspections
18 or certificates, I'm not sure if that's a defense
19 to payment.

20 I mean, these are all allegations of breach.
21 Again, I don't think these are legal defenses.
22 As long as we don't have jury instructions on
23 them, I don't see the need to go through each one
24 of these factually. Let me see if there's any
25 that do actually qualify.

26 Well, the 17th affirmative defense I think
27 can just be -- that's just failure to state a

1 cause of action type of thing. I mean, again, I
2 suppose we could keep that there, but I don't
3 want a jury instruction on that.

4
5 THE COURT: Well, is it possible to finish
6 this up, and we can decide what affirmative
7 defenses are going to be on the jury form, the
8 verdict form?

9
10 THE COURT: That may be the best way to do
11 it.

12
13 THE COURT: Instead of trying to do that
14 now, can we do that, Mr. Harper?

15
16 MS. LUKE: The only concern that I have is
17 I wanted to make sure that I did enough for
18 rebuttal. I didn't want to miss something here.
19 As long as -- can I just have a quick moment to
20 confer?

21
22 THE COURT: Sure.

23
24 (Discussion off the record.)

25
26 MS. LUKE: We're fine doing it that way,
27 and just clean these up in the jury instructions,
once we get there. I don't really have a
rebuttal case anymore, do I? Because the
counterclaim is gone. But we treated this
altogether, so I still think I get a rebuttal to
his affirmative defenses. So I'm going to put

1 that on.

2
3 I have two things I was going to read into
4 the record. You're not going to be happy with
5 me. I wanted to call Brian Green just very
6 briefly to address the discussion about the
7 staking, and then Mr. James, and we will be done.
8 And I think that if cross can get done, we should
9 be done by 5:00. I can work on jury instructions
this evening. We could meet early.

10
11 THE COURT: The question is going to be
12 whether or not the jury can come back tomorrow,
13 because we didn't tell them to plan on Friday.

14
15 MS. LUKE: And traffic court, right?

16
17 THE COURT: I've resolved the traffic court
18 issue.

19
20 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, I need a few
21 minutes to make a couple of phone calls as well
22 to clear up conflicts. From my end, I
23 anticipated I did what I thought I needed to do
24 to be done today. I did not anticipate running
25 into tomorrow.

26
27 THE COURT: It's quarter to 4:00. Even if
we finished witnesses by 5:00, we still have to
do charging conference, closing arguments and
give the case to the jury. So I don't think --

1 knowing how charging conferences go, I can't
2 imagine getting this case to the jury until 7:00
3 tonight, realistically.

4 We may not have a choice, though, because we
5 may find out one of these jurors can't come
6 tomorrow, period. I think they'd have to have a
7 good reason. What I typically do when we run
8 into these situations is just tell them like it
9 is and that we need them to come back tomorrow.

10 MS. LUKEN: Well, maybe we can do this, Your
11 Honor. I will be as quick as I can do it. If
12 one of the jurors says they've got a problem or
13 concern, we've greatly narrowed the issues in
14 this matter. We had a fairly decent set of
15 instructions when we started that two weeks ago.
16 I don't think there's a whole lot that we're
17 going to need to add to it. We may have some
18 printing issues because I don't have a printer.

19 THE COURT: I have one. You and me and
20 Mr. Harper can print them.

21 MS. LUKEN: Okay.

22 THE COURT: Why don't we start with -- do
23 you want to go ahead and try to get the witnesses
done, see where we are, Mr. Harper?

25 MR. HARPER: I know where this is going. I

Page 180

1 than later.

2 MS. LUKEN: That's fine. My intention is I
3 will be done by 5:00, as long as whatever cross
4 is commensurate with whatever I put on in direct.

5 THE COURT: Why don't we do the testimony
6 first, because I don't want them thinking about
7 that and not paying attention to y'all's
8 questions. Because right now it's maybe, right?
9 So some is going to depend on when we can get
10 done. We might be able to get -- I don't know
11 how long closings will take. If we could get the
12 case done tonight, that would probably be more
13 preferable for Mr. Harper at least, maybe for the
14 jury. Let's do the rebuttal, and then we'll see
15 where we are.

16 (Jury enters the courtroom.)

17 THE COURT: Okay. I know you-all have been
18 waiting for quite some time. But at the close of
19 sides of the cases, there's sometimes a lot of
20 work that needs to be done outside the presence
21 of the jury. So at this time, the
22 defendant/counter-plaintiff has presented his
23 case, has rested, and the plaintiff, Nature
24 Bridges, has a few short -- I am told short
25 rebuttal witnesses to the defense's presentation.

Page 182

1 would request to be able -- to allow maybe five
2 minutes so I can clear up some conflicts, because
3 I'm not aware of anything that's happened in this
4 case quickly.

5 THE COURT: Sure. You can have five
6 minutes.

7 (Recess taken.)

8 THE COURT: We've taken up a lot of time
9 since -- I don't know what time we sent the jury
10 out, but it was a long, long time ago. Is there
11 anything that you-all would like me to say to the
12 jury, or just tell them that you've got a couple
13 of witnesses that will be short?

14 MS. LUKEN: I think that's fine.

15 MR. HARPER: That's okay.

16 MS. LUKEN: I guess you don't need to
17 disclose the ruling on that, at least right this
18 minute.

19 THE COURT: Are you okay with that,
20 Mr. Harper, just continue the case and at some
21 point --

22 MR. HARPER: I think it's worth talking
23 about tomorrow with them before going much
24 further, because if a juror has a problem with
25 tomorrow, it would be better to know that sooner

Page 181

Page 183

1 So who would you like to proceed with first?

2 MS. LUKEN: Yes, Your Honor. I'd like to
3 recall Mr. Green for a brief couple of questions.

4 THE CLERK: Mr. Green, if you'll raise your
5 right hand. Do you solemnly swear the evidence
6 you shall give on this issue shall be the truth,
7 the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so
8 help you God?

9 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

10 WHEREUPON,

11 BRIAN GREEN
12 was called as a witness and, having been first duly
13 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

14 DIRECT EXAMINATION

15 BY MS. LUKEN:

16 Q Mr. Green, welcome back. I'm going to get
17 you out of here fairly quickly. On your initial
18 testimony earlier, you described going up to the site
19 up in New Jersey on July 1st, 2014. Do you recall
20 that?

21 A Yes, ma'am.

22 Q Okay. And I think you also testified that
23 you spoke with Mr. Chaudhari on the phone to let him
24 know that you were coming?

25 A Yes, ma'am.

1 Florida and then moved up here to Monticello, and we
 2 started building homes, Bracken Chase Builders. And
 3 then we branched off into commercial millwork
 4 installation and bridge building, about 15 years ago
 5 started building bridges.

6 Q So you've built a lot of bridges?

7 A Yes, ma'am, we've built a lot of bridges.

8 Q I think you've been listening during the
 9 testimony here, and so I don't want to belabor this a
 10 whole lot. This contract calls for a specific item.
 11 It's got a free-span bridge, 12 by 20 feet, steel
 12 bridge with concrete deck, and we've also got concrete
 13 abutments. I believe those are the major work
 14 activities that are identified there.

15 Is the description on Nature Bridges' scope
 16 of work consistent with the photograph that we've got
 17 there, Plaintiff's Exhibit 83-B?

18 A Yes, it is.

19 Q Now, I know that the defendant has provided
 20 some testimony saying that he doesn't think that this
 21 is a bridge. And I guess, can you take the
 22 opportunity to maybe just explain the pieces of this
 23 and why this is indeed a bridge?

24 A Well, the first and most important part is
 25 that it bridges the stream. So you can't put a

Page 188

1 stream bed. Now, the reason that the bridge is at the
 2 height it's at, so during flood stage, if there was an
 3 enormous amount of rain, that bridge will withstand
 4 the flood. That means, as that water would rise, it
 5 wouldn't encapsulate the top of the deck. In normal
 6 stream flow, it is not encroaching on the normal
 7 stream flow of the waterway.

8 Q And I mean, this height, this is how it's
 9 supposed to be, right?

10 A Yes, ma'am. That is the height it needs to
 11 be. You can see in the foreground the roadway.

12 Q Right up here?

13 A Right there. They're approximately the same
 14 height. So the connection would be from the roadway
 15 to the bridge.

16 Q Very good. Now, where is the steel in this
 17 bridge?

18 A It's encased in the concrete.

19 Q And the purpose of that in a bridge of this
 20 type?

21 A The purpose for the steel is to add
 22 structure strength to the concrete. The concrete
 23 alone will not withstand the span. So that is a free
 24 span. So there's no intermediate structure to the
 25 stream. So that has a steel cage inside of it. And

Page 190

1 driveway across the stream. It bridges one side of
 2 the stream to the other. Just like if you were going
 3 on the interstate and you were driving up to the
 4 overpass, you can see where it bridges the road you're
 5 driving over, and the bridge goes over the road, and
 6 the roadway connects to the bridge.

7 So the main component of a bridge is that it
 8 bridges one side to the other. Whether it's a stream,
 9 a roadway, a ditch, it bridges something. And that
 10 bridges that stream.

11 Q And so -- and just for clarity, too, you
 12 know, this is a piece of a potential driveway, going
 13 all the way up, and the further development of this
 14 site, correct?

15 A Yes. That is the bridge component of a
 16 driveway.

17 Q Now, I wanted to clarify just one other --
 18 well, let's do the picture. Mr. Chaudhari has
 19 testified that he believes that this bridge is somehow
 20 encroaching on the stream in this property. In this
 21 photograph that we've got here, is there an
 22 encroachment into the stream by this bridge?

23 A No, ma'am. As you can see, the inside wall,
 24 those abutments are approximately 4 feet back from the
 25 stream bed. So the abutments do not encroach on the

Page 191

1 that together, along with the concrete, encompasses
 2 the steel and makes the structure be able to span and
 3 hold the weight that's required for that bridge.

4 Q Very good. If Mr. Chaudhari had wanted a
 5 12-by-35-foot bridge, would Nature Bridges have been
 6 happy to quote that to him?

7 A Yes. We would have been happy to quote any
 8 size bridge he wanted.

9 Q And without getting into too much detail, I
 10 mean, if the bridge is larger, that's a larger
 11 contract price typically, correct?

12 A Yes. And it would cover our yearly
 13 overhead. If it was larger, there would be more
 14 overhead. But we would have been happy to price a
 15 35-foot bridge for him.

16 Q So there would be no incentive for Nature
 17 Bridges to want to build people smaller bridges or
 18 what have you. I mean, it's what the person needs,
 19 but if they want something larger, you're happy to do
 20 it. Is that fair?

21 A Yes. If he had called and asked for a
 22 35-foot bridge, we would have built him a 35-foot
 23 bridge. Our main responsibility is to give the
 24 customer what he asks for.

25 Q Now, kind of going back to this "what is a

1 bridge" concept here, after being involved in this
2 case for as long as you have been, have you formed a
3 view of whether or not the defendant knows what a
4 bridge is?

5 A In the beginning, I thought he knew what a
6 bridge was. But during testimony today, when asked if
7 that was a bridge, he said no. I assume that he
8 doesn't know what a bridge is.

9 Q Okay. Was there a time when you had
10 communications with the defendant that made it pretty
11 clear to you that he did, in fact, know what a bridge
12 was?

13 A Yeah. He had sent me an email.

14 Q Let me show that to you, Mr. James. This is
15 Plaintiff's Exhibit 53. And what is Plaintiff's
16 Exhibit 53, Mr. James?

17 A The owner is asking me if I would like to
18 purchase the property from him.

19 Q And does he provide sort of an explanation,
20 a sales pitch to some degree in this email?

21 A Yes. He says that there were some
22 improvements done to the property that include a steel
23 bridge constructed by the company at a cost of \$40,000
24 and that there was an additional 25 to \$35,000 of
25 local engineering.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 MS. LUKEN: And I'd like to move this into
2 evidence at this time, Your Honor, Plaintiff's
3 53.

4 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Harper?

5 MR. HARPER: No, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: 53 is admitted.

7 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 53 was admitted
8 into evidence.)

9 BY MS. LUKEN:

10 Q So this will go back with the jury. But, I
11 mean, does the defendant specifically describe this as
12 a steel bridge, referring to this here?

13 A Yes. He specifically calls it improvements,
14 including the steel bridge constructed by the company.

15 Q And what is the date on this email?

16 A The date is May 3rd, 2015.

17 MS. LUKEN: So this was approximately nine
18 months after Nature Bridges completed its work?

19 A Yes. That's approximately nine months after
20 we completed it.

21 MS. LUKEN: Your Honor, I have no further
22 questions. Your witness.

23 CROSS-EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. HARPER:

25 Q How are you, sir?

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 A Good.

2 Q Mr. James, how many conversations did you
3 have with Mr. Chaudhari?

4 A I probably can't remember one.

5 Q Zero, in other words? Zero that you can

6 recall?

7 A Zero verbal conversations, yes, sir.

8 Q Now, I know that the company name is J.D.
9 James, Inc., and then you have a d/b/a as Nature

10 Bridges; is that correct?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q Are you the owner of the company?

13 A I am part owner, yes, sir.

14 Q Along with your wife, Mrs. April James?

15 A My wife is April James.

16 Q Do you own the company along with your wife?

17 A I do.

18 Q Are you a licensed general contractor?

19 A No, not anymore. I let my license expire.

20 Q Are you an engineer?

21 A I am not.

22 Q Are you a surveyor?

23 A I am not.

24 Q Did you visit this property?

25 A I have not.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q Did you send Brian Green instead to visit
2 the property?

3 A Through the course of his duties for this,
4 as project manager, he visited the property, yes.

5 Q And is it your understanding that Mr. Green
6 staked the location where that structure was going to
7 be built?

8 A That's what Mr. Green testified to, yes,
9 sir.

10 Q But did you know that prior to his
11 testimony?

12 A That would be the standard operating
13 procedure, would be to stake the location of the
14 bridge prior to the crew arriving to construct it.

15 Q I'm asking do you know. Did you know prior
16 to this trial that he was the one that staked out the
17 location?

18 A I can't remember exactly if I was told that
19 or not.

20 Q How was the, as you testified to, the
21 appropriate height determined?

22 A It was probably on the engineered drawings,
23 I would assume.

24 Q Whose engineer?

25 A Matt Parker.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q Is that your engineer? Is Matthew Parker
2 your engineer?
3 A He's our consultant, yes, sir.
4 Q When were those plans drawn up; do you
5 recall?
6 A I do not recall, no.
7 Q Do you ever remember seeing any plans from
8 Matthew Parker or reviewing them?
9 A That wouldn't be part of my daily scope. I
10 may have looked at them. I can't remember. It's been
11 a long time ago.
12 Q What do you do generally, day-to-day
13 operations?
14 MS. LUKEN: Objection, outside the scope of
15 direct.
16 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, he said it's not
17 within the scope of what he normally does. I'm
18 trying to get an idea what is in the scope.
19 THE COURT: Overruled.
20 A Day-to-day operations for myself is project
21 manager of the commercial millwork installation
22 division of the company and oversee business
23 development for that.
24 BY MR. HARPER:
25 Q And that's J.D. James, Incorporated,

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 correct, the millwork side?
2 A J.D. James, Incorporated, has two divisions.
3 One is the commercial millwork installation and one is
4 bridge construction, yes.
5 Q So your day-to-day operation or day-to-day
6 role is not -- do you have a day-to-day role as it
7 relates specifically to the Nature Bridges division of
8 J.D. James, Inc.?
9 A No. That's not the way our company is set
10 up. I handle day to day on the commercial millwork
11 installation.
12 Q Do you know who Santiago Garcia is?
13 A Do I know who he is?
14 Q Yes, sir.
15 A Yes, I know who he is.
16 Q Does he still work at your company?
17 A No, he does not.
18 Q Were you involved with or privy to any
19 conversations that Mr. Garcia had with Saket
20 Chaudhari?
21 MS. LUKEN: Objection, Your Honor, beyond
22 the scope of direct examination, which I
23 purposely limited. We had no testimony about
24 conversations with Mr. Chaudhari with respect to
25 Mr. Garcia. He related to one communication that

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 he had, which was the email with Mr. Chaudhari.
2 THE COURT: Sustained.
3 BY MR. HARPER:
4 Q Mr. James, you testified that your main
5 responsibility is to give the customer what he asks
6 for.
7 A Yes, sir.
8 Q Do you remember saying that?
9 A Yes, sir.
10 Q Did you do that here?
11 A I did.
12 Q You believe this structure is what
13 Mr. Chaudhari asked for?
14 A I do.
15 Q Do you know that Mr. Chaudhari disagrees
16 with you?
17 A I've heard that today, yes, that he
18 disagrees.
19 Q Now, with regards to that Exhibit 53 that
20 you have there in front of you in which Mr. Chaudhari
21 is referencing a steel bridge, do you know that
22 Mr. Chaudhari was relying on the statements of Brian
23 Green when he sent you that email?
24 A I would not know what he was relying on when
25 he crafted that email, no, I do not know that.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 Q You wouldn't know then whether
2 Mr. Chaudhari, after sending that email, later
3 confirmed that this indeed is not a steel bridge?
4 A I don't know what he was thinking, no.
5 MR. HARPER: Nothing further, Your Honor.
6 That's all I have.
7 THE COURT: Any redirect?
8 MS. LUKEN: No, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. James. Do you
10 have another witness?
11 MS. LUKEN: No, Your Honor. But I do have
12 portions of a transcript that I could read into
13 the record. Just one moment. The first portion
14 of a transcript that I'm going to be reading into
15 the record is from a deposition of Mr. Richard
16 Wostbrock from LAN Associates.
17 THE COURT: Do you have a copy of that?
18 MS. LUKEN: Yes, I have that.
19 THE COURT: What page are you starting on?
20 MS. LUKEN: This is an excerpt of the
21 deposition. From the version that I am going to
22 be reading from, I am going to be starting on
23 page 4. And actually, I'm also going to need
24 to -- yeah, I'm going to start on page 4. I
25 think I need to state on the record the place

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 that the deposition was taken.

2 This is the deposition of Mr. Richard
3 Wosbrock that was taken at Barry A. Fond
4 Shorthand Reporters, 381 Broadway, Third Floor,
5 Westwood, New Jersey, on Thursday, July 26, 2018,
6 commencing at 10:05 a.m.

7 And, Your Honor, the part that I had
8 designated to be read into the record starts on
9 page 4, line 16. And actually, I'm going to
10 start on line 18.

11 So this is question from Ms. Luken: And I'm
12 sorry, Mr. Court Reporter, I had to get you
13 started on the other exhibits, and I wanted to
14 start with Exhibit R. Can I ask you to please
15 put that in front of the witness.

16 And there's a note in the record that
17 Exhibit R, the site development plan, was marked
18 for identification.

19 Question, by Ms. Luken: Mr. Wostbrock, do
20 you recognize Exhibit R?

21 Answer: Yes.

22 Question: What is it?

23 Answer: Site plan or drawing called site
24 development plan, SP-21.

25 Question: Okay. Is this a document that

Page 200

1 Answer: That would be the span, the clear

2 span across the floodway.

3 Question: All right. Would a 20-foot
4 bridge at this location work with your site
5 development plan?

6 Answer: Not if I'm accurate saying it was
7 30 feet, no. It needs to cross the floodway. It
8 needs to span the floodway.

9 Jumping down in the transcript to page 6,
10 line 25.

11 Question: Okay, great. Just for clarity
12 and the record, you cannot put a 20-foot bridge
13 in this location as depicted here in Exhibit R?

14 Answer: You can't put a 20-foot bridge
15 where 30 feet is required, correct.

16 That's what I wanted to put in for that
17 portion, Your Honor.

18 Then I also wanted to read into the record,
19 Your Honor, a portion of the deposition of
20 Mr. Saket Chaudhari, taken February 22nd, 2018.

21 I'm going to hand a copy of that to Mr. Harper.

22 And then I'm going to start on this deposition,
23 Your Honor, this is page 42. This deposition was
24 taken on February 22nd, 2018, at Accurate

25 Stenotype Reporters, at 2894-A Remington Green

Page 202

1 you prepared?

2 Answer: It was prepared under my direction.

3 Question: Okay. That's fine. That's fine.

4 It's your signature and seal, correct?

5 Answer: Yes.

6 Question: All right. And this is
7 depicting -- this is -- these are not for
8 construction, correct?

9 Answer: Yes.

10 Question: I asked you, from the very
11 beginning of this, what size bridge. From
12 looking at this document, are you able to
13 ascertain what size bridge was necessary for the
14 crossing on your site development plan?

15 Answer: I need glasses.

16 Question: Do you have them with you, sir?

17 Answer: No, I don't.

18 Question: I'm sorry.

19 Answer: Does it say graphics scale 20 feet?

20 Question: Yes, sir.

21 Answer: I would estimate it was 30 feet
22 long, abutment to abutment.

23 Question: Now, I think we talked about
24 earlier that would be an appropriate approximate
25 length of the bridge, correct?

Page 201

Page 203

1 Lane in Tallahassee, Florida. And this is the
2 deposition of Mr. Chaudhari. Starting on page 42
3 at line 25.

4 Question: Okay. And is it safe to say,
5 sir, that the first time you would have seen this
6 site development plan would have been about
7 June 2013 when you received it from Mr. Guddemi?

8 Answer: Yes.

9 Question: Okay. And this site plan depicts
10 a number of different items on it, doesn't it?

11 Answer: Yes, ma'am.

12 Skipping ahead to page 44, line 2.

13 Question: Do you have an understanding, in
14 the world of construction, engineering, project
15 planning, what a site development plan is?

16 Answer: Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am. I have an
17 understanding of this particular documentation.
18 At this time, I can explain -- well, you're not
19 asking me to explain. I'm sorry. Yes, ma'am. I
20 do have an understanding. I don't know.

21 Question: What is the understanding that
22 you have?

23 Answer: My understanding is that there
24 is -- and I'm giving you my understanding at this
25 time, ma'am.

1 Question: Sure.

Page 204

2 Answer: So this is suggesting that there is
3 a proposed bridge at a location, a proposed
4 driveway, a proposed dwelling.

5 And I'm sorry. I said that incorrectly.
6 It's just proposed dwelling.

7 And it shows the stream, which is a -- and
8 about the stream, it has a lot of information,
9 which is substantial.

10 Skipping ahead to page 46, starting at line
11 23.

12 Question: Okay. And I think you indicated
13 in your prior statement that these are all
14 proposed locations, correct? Do you see that on
15 the document?

16 Answer: Proposed location, let me see that.
17 Where are you looking at, ma'am?

18 Question: I'm asking. You used the word
19 "proposed." You used proposed location for a
20 house, proposed driveway, proposed bridge. Is it
21 your understanding that these are proposed
22 locations?

23 Answer: Oh, I see, ma'am. Yes, ma'am. I
24 see now what you are referring to, yes, ma'am.

25 Going down to line 24 of that same page,

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 wouldn't say come up with it. I know we spoke
2 about -- and I can't recall exactly what we spoke
3 about, ma'am. But it was just -- I don't --
4 maybe trying to describe the property. And then
5 I don't know exactly how that conversation really
6 entailed, but -- okay.

7 The question that I really would like to get
8 an answer to is this, how you came up with asking
9 for a 20-foot bridge. If you don't know or you
10 don't remember, just say so.

11 Answer: Okay.

12 Question: How did you come up with the
13 20 feet?

14 Answer: I don't remember for sure.

15 The final one, Your Honor, is very short,
16 from the deposition of Mr. Chaudhari taken
17 September 10th, 2018. I'm going to be starting
18 at page 43, line 10.

19 Question: Okay. Mr. Parker was
20 volunteering to assist you with a notice of
21 violation, correct?

22 Answer: Yes, ma'am, he was.

23 Skipping to page 44, starting at line 2.

24 And what is -- the description of the

25 noncompliance with reference to that has nothing

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 page 47, starting at line 24.

Page 205

2 Question: Mr. Chaudhari, before we went on
3 break, I think we were discussing that all of
4 these were all proposed locations; is that
5 correct?

6 Answer: Yes, ma'am.

7 Skipping to page 66, starting at line 15.

8 Question: My question is, how did you come
9 to ask for a 20-foot bridge? Where did that
10 number come from?

11 Answer: I'm not exactly sure why that was
12 the number. I just know in my conversations, I'm
13 asking him, the best of my ability, if we have
14 something like a waterway, so this is here. Like
15 how does it -- like what is the cost and what is
16 the -- again, just trying to get an idea of what
17 is entailed, what is pricing, what is the
18 project, and just trying to understand from the
19 company exactly what that is.

20 Skipping to 67, line 8. And I'm not reading
21 the beginning part of that line because it's the
22 end of another sentence, so starting at line 8.

23 My question is, how did you come up with the
24 20 feet?

25 Answer: Again, I just know we had -- I

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 to do with the size or location of the bridge,
2 does it?

3 Answer: Yes, ma'am. The description of
4 noncompliance does not mention those.

5 Question: And in fact -- and in fact, none
6 of these other noncompliance issues that have
7 been identified by the New Jersey Department of
8 Environmental Protection have anything to do with
9 the size or the location of the bridge, do they?

10 Answer: Yes, ma'am. This notice of
11 violation does not reference the size and
12 location beyond these four measures.

13 And the plaintiff rests.

14 THE COURT: Do you have any additional
15 portions of the transcripts that were read that
16 you want to read, Mr. Harper?

17 MR. HARPER: No, Your Honor. I presented
18 live testimony. Thank you.

19 THE COURT: All right. So what's left,
20 there's no more evidence to be presented.
21 There's jury instructions. I'm going to give you
22 the lay of the land at this point. It's 4:30.

23 So what has to happen is we have to have
24 what is called a charging conference, which means
25 you guys don't get to participate in that. We

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 come up with a finalized set of jury
2 instructions, read the jury instructions and have
3 closing arguments.

4 I don't think it will take very long to come
5 up with what the jury instructions are going to
6 be, but that's an exercise. I know trial can be
7 unpredictable in timing. Y'all have spent a lot
8 of time by yourselves in the jury room, not in
9 the courtroom. It was unavoidable. We worked as
10 efficiently as we could, but it is what it is.

11 I'm going to let you-all -- I don't want to
12 put anybody on the spot. We can continue and go
13 as late as we need to go today, if that's what
14 you-all want to do, and we'll get busy on the
15 charging conference, or -- I know we told you the
16 trial would be done today. We can do our work
17 and start first thing in the morning. But,
18 again, I would rather you-all discuss that
19 privately and see if you can reach a resolution
20 on that.

21 I will and the attorneys will do whatever
22 you-all want to do. But the option is to let
23 y'all go now and come back in the morning. And I
24 think that between reading the jury instructions
25 and closing arguments, we'd be done relatively

Page 208

Case: 21-1337 Document: 28 Page: 211 Date Filed: 05/23/2022

Judge Dawn Caloca-Johnson
November 01, 2018

1 MS. LUKEN: I would think so, yeah.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Harper?

3 MR. HARPER: I could certainly try. The
4 landscape of the case has changed a bit. It
5 might -- I'll certainly try. That's all I can
6 say.7 THE COURT: Why don't I tell them to come at
8 10:00. Does that work or not? I don't want them
9 sitting around anymore. They're not happy, I
10 don't think, that they've spent most of the day
11 locked in the jury room. They're really not
12 happy about that.13 MS. LUKEN: Do you want to say 9:30 and we
14 could meet at 8:30?15 THE COURT: I'd like to do a little bit
16 tonight, if we can.17 MS. LUKEN: 10:00 is fine. I'd like to get
18 it to them as quickly as possible.19 THE COURT: How long do you think your
20 closing argument is going to take, Mr. Harper?

21 MR. HARPER: 30 minutes.

22 MS. LUKEN: Same for me.

23 THE COURT: I'll tell them 9:30. Okay.

24 (Jury enters the courtroom.)

25 THE COURT: So do I understand that you-all

Page 210

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

Case: 21-1337 Document: 28 Page: 210 Date Filed: 05/23/2022

Judge Dawn Caloca-Johnson
November 01, 2018

1 quickly. And then we would let y'all deliberate.
2 Those are your two choices. I just need to
3 know what you-all want to do. Let me just ask
4 you -- go talk about it. I don't want to put
5 anybody on the spot. Y'all talk about it and
6 knock on the door when you're ready to come in
7 and tell me what you guys want to do.

8 (Jury leaves the courtroom.)

9 THE COURT: Do you object to moving in two
10 exhibits?

11 MS. LUKEN: There's a complication with this
12 one. This one is fine. I'd like to move in
13 Plaintiff's Exhibit 52. It was, I believe,
14 authenticated and admissible through the
15 testimony of Mr. Chaudhari.

16 THE COURT: Which one is that?

17 MS. LUKEN: Exhibit 52, Plaintiff's
18 Exhibit 52. It's an email from Mr. Chaudhari to
19 Brian Green.

20 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Harper?

21 MR. HARPER: What does it say?

22 THE COURT: Have them come back in. Wait.
23 Do you think we can hammer out jury instructions
24 before we go home, so when they come back
25 tomorrow, we can just go?

Page 209

Case: 21-1337 Document: 28 Page: 212 Date Filed: 05/23/2022

Judge Dawn Caloca-Johnson
November 01, 20181 want to go home, correct? Everybody wants to go
2 home?

3 (Jury indicates affirmatively.)

4 THE COURT: So if that's what you-all want
5 to do, that's what we will do. So we'll work on
6 the charging conference. Again, I apologize that
7 you-all spent a lot of time getting to know each
8 other today. So I'll ask you to report tomorrow
9 morning at 9:30. We'll get our work done so we
10 can just hopefully go. Okay? Thank you-all very
11 much.

12 (Jury leaves the courtroom.)

13 THE COURT: Can we talk about jury
14 instructions?15 MS. LUKEN: Yes, Your Honor. I'm going from
16 the file version, at least for now, because I do
17 think there's a couple of modifications. I've
18 been going through and trying to cross out what
19 we don't need.20 THE COURT: So on the proposed jury
21 instruction number 15 --

22 MS. LUKEN: That's where I started, too.

23 THE COURT: Do you have a copy of the jury
24 instructions, Mr. Harper?

25 MR. HARPER: I'm sure I do, Your Honor.

Page 211

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 THE COURT: Okay. Let me go run get the --
 2 MS. LUKEN: I'm sorry. I don't have an
 3 extra.
 4 THE COURT: I've got them up on the
 5 computer.
 6 (Discussion off the record.)
 7 THE COURT: Did you find them?
 8 MR. HARPER: I have not, Your Honor.
 9 MS. LUKEN: I might have an extra in my
 10 pleadings. Mr. Harper, do you still need a copy?
 11 MR. HARPER: I do if you're offering,
 12 please.
 13 MS. LUKEN: Yeah. I've got a set here in my
 14 pleadings folder, and I'll just give you this
 15 one.
 16 MR. HARPER: Wait. I think I found it.
 17 I've got it, I think. What's the last one?
 18 THE COURT: I think we need to start with
 19 number 15.
 20 MS. LUKEN: Yes, Your Honor.
 21 MR. HARPER: What's the last one in the set
 22 you're looking at?
 23 THE COURT: The very last one?
 24 MR. HARPER: Yes, ma'am. I want to make
 25 sure I've got a complete set.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 THE COURT: Making sure you're weighing in
 2 here. 18. Didn't we --
 3 MS. LUKEN: I think everything up until B-2
 4 is probably okay, although we've got to figure
 5 out what to say about defendant's affirmative
 6 defenses. Mr. Harper, I think you had some
 7 proposed instructions. Have you done one
 8 relative to your own defenses?
 9 MR. HARPER: I haven't done anything since
 10 the Court's ruling, most importantly. In all
 11 honesty, Your Honor, what I would ask, please, is
 12 that we go through and just identify the ones
 13 that we stipulate to and we can agree on, which I
 14 think will be simple.
 15 I've got a scheduling conflict tomorrow that
 16 I really need to get on to remedy, and I'll be
 17 here as early as I need to be. I've got really
 18 until 5:30-ish before people go radio silent on
 19 me.
 20 THE COURT: How about this as a plan?
 21 Obviously, if you could put together what you
 22 would like your affirmative defense instruction
 23 to be and get it to Ms. Luken so we can address
 24 that tomorrow.
 25 MR. HARPER: Yes, ma'am.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 THE COURT: Number 35.
 2 Page 213
 3 MR. HARPER: Okay. I've got it.
 4 THE COURT: So number 15, I don't think
 5 there's any changes needed to that one, weighing
 6 the evidence.
 7 MS. LUKEN: Plaintiff is fine with 15.
 8 MR. HARPER: So long as the bold is taken
 9 out, obviously.
 10 THE COURT: Okay. Did you hear that, Ms.
 11 Luken?
 12 MS. LUKEN: Yes, I did, just to remove the
 13 bold and the brackets.
 14 THE COURT: Yes. Okay, 16.
 15 MS. LUKEN: We can remove B and C, I think.
 16 I mean, I think some of the testimony probably
 17 falls kind of in that category, but it wasn't
 18 denominated as such, and so I don't see the need
 19 for B or C.
 20 THE COURT: Can we remove B and C?
 21 MR. HARPER: Yes, Your Honor.
 22 THE COURT: Number 17, we already -- well,
 23 delete this altogether?
 24 MS. LUKEN: Yeah. I think this goes.
 25 THE COURT: Mr. Harper?
 26 MR. HARPER: Okay.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 THE COURT: Why don't you tell me, so
 2 Ms. Luken can work on instruction number 18, you
 3 need to give her what you want for affirmative
 4 defenses, and then she can clean 18 up. But tell
 5 me -- and 19 needs to be cleaned up because the
 6 case has changed, which I don't think you'll
 7 probably have a problem with. It's pretty
 8 simple.
 9 Tell me what -- let's do this, since you
 10 have an issue, Mr. Harper. Can you look through
 11 those jury instructions and tell Ms. Luken and
 12 the Court which ones you're going to have an
 13 objection to as they currently read, other than
 14 the ones we've already talked about?
 15 MR. HARPER: Other than the 18, 19?
 16 THE COURT: Yes. Some we're not going to be
 17 giving at all obviously because there's no
 18 counterclaim anymore. So I think you probably
 19 ought to be able to agree on like, for example --
 20 well --
 21 MS. LUKEN: 21, I think, would go.
 22 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, I'm okay with 22.
 23 MS. LUKEN: I don't think 22 is supported by
 24 the evidence.
 25 THE COURT: You're okay with it, or you want

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 to delete it? Page 216
 2 MR. HARPER: I'm okay with leaving it.
 3 MS. LUKEN: He likes 22.
 4 MR. HARPER: I thought you were asking me --
 5 MS. LUKEN: Is this yours? I don't know if
 6 I wrote this.
 7 MR. HARPER: No, it's yours.
 8 MS. LUKEN: Well, I withdraw it.
 9 MR. HARPER: I was going through -- I
 10 thought you asked me to go through and tell you
 11 the ones I don't object to.
 12 THE COURT: The ones you do object to.
 13 Sorry.
 14 MR. HARPER: 26 I object to.
 15 THE COURT: Which one?
 16 MR. HARPER: 26.
 17 THE COURT: Ms. Luken?
 18 MS. LUKEN: Okay. This would have been my
 19 affirmative defense to his counterclaim. I guess
 20 my only question is if I have that as a reply to
 21 any of his affirmative defenses, which I don't --
 22 I don't know. Just one second.
 23 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, I'm really sorry.
 24 We're taking this long to go through the ones I'm
 25 not even objecting to. May I please be relieved

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 and just come back early in the morning? Because Page 217
 2 we'll be here until 5:30 in the blink of an eye.
 3 THE COURT: Can you at least tonight, once
 4 you resolve your issues, let Ms. Luken know the
 5 ones that you think do not apply to this case, so
 6 that she -- because I told the jury to be here at
 7 9:30. The last thing I want to do is get here,
 8 even if we get here early, and they come in and
 9 it's 11:30 and we're still dealing with jury
 10 instructions.
 11 MR. HARPER: I agree.
 12 THE COURT: So I think if you can tell
 13 Ms. Luken -- you can work on these instructions
 14 tonight and let her know which ones. She may
 15 disagree with you, but if you both agree, she can
 16 at least delete instructions that aren't
 17 necessary to talk about tomorrow, you know what
 18 I'm saying? And then she can work on 18 and 19.
 19 But let's try to meet at 8:30.
 20 MS. LUKEN: With respect to 26, I do have
 21 estoppel as a reply to his affirmative defenses.
 22 I would want to keep that in there, but it does
 23 need to be modified.
 24 THE COURT: Okay. So let's plan on getting
 25 started at 8:30. I really want to get this done.

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 MS. LUKEN: Oh, I wanted to move in 52, and Page 218
 2 that was what had been placed over there with
 3 Mr. Harper. The ball is in his court on that.
 4 Mr. Harper, did you have an objection to 52?
 5 MR. HARPER: Your Honor, I object. It
 6 should have been admitted through Mr. Chaudhari.
 7 MS. LUKEN: It wasn't my case, Your Honor.
 8 My experience is generally you cannot admit in
 9 the other person's case. You can lay the
 10 predicate for the admissibility of it.
 11 THE COURT: Well, you closed your case.
 12 MS. LUKEN: I did. I was asking if I could
 13 reopen. I don't need it. That's fine.
 14 THE COURT: There's really bad weather out
 15 there. Can you modify the verdict form, too, or
 16 try to?
 17 MS. LUKEN: Yes, Your Honor, I will.
 18 THE COURT: Okay.
 19 (Proceedings adjourned at 5:05 p.m.)
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

1 COURT CERTIFICATE Page 219
 2
 3 STATE OF FLORIDA
 4 COUNTY OF LEON
 5
 6 I, JO LANGSTON, RPR, certify that I was
 7 authorized to and did stenographically report the
 8 foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a
 9 true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
 10 Dated this 21st day of May 2022.
 11
 12
 13 
 14 JO LANGSTON, RPR
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

	\$850	92:8	15
	\$ 10:22 80:3	11th	10:24 66:7
\$10,000	143:14 155:17	28:23 29:3,5	67:21 94:18
151:11	1	30:24 32:6,	187:24 188:4
\$2,000		16,18 35:10,	205:7 211:21
7:25 14:19	1	19,25 45:12,	212:19 213:3,
\$20,000	131:6,7	6	6
121:9 141:17	166:12	15th	50:16,17
150:6,10	1.120(c)	12	51:3,12
\$25,000	158:4	41:21,23	52:16,25
60:22 61:2,4,	10	177:13 188:11	
21 63:21	206:18	12-by-12	16
\$27,000	10-foot	41:6	36:24 61:3
48:5 49:5,17	136:15	12-by-12-foot	200:9 213:13
52:6 84:7	10/29	41:5	16th
161:2	4:4	12-by-20	61:5
\$27,500	10/31	14:4:21	17
121:2 142:18	4:4	12-by-20-foot	213:21
\$3,500	10/31/2018	14:24 39:6	17th
36:6 38:15,18	4:2	40:4,24 41:25	6:5,21 9:18
\$35,000	101	73:20 74:8,	177:24
192:24	94:4	18	10,14 75:8
\$40,000	10:00	78:20 79:3,9,	200:10 214:2
192:23	210:8,17	22 83:17	215:2,4,15
\$47,500	10:05	125:12,21	217:18
102:19	200:6	12-by-35-foot	19
\$5,000	10:30	191:5	215:5,15
150:23	65:18	12-foot	217:18
\$55,000	10th	40:8 41:10	1942
5:16 61:11,13	39:19 206:17	186:4,20	37:6
92:24 150:21,	11	12:45	1st
22 157:4	177:2	92:6,8	183:19
\$7,500	11/2	13th	2
131:9 157:4	4:4	44:25 46:18	
169:18 176:4	14	2	11:10,11,18
\$750	11:30	131:7 203:12	20:24 68:21
37:15 38:10	217:9	206:23	80:13 176:25
	11:35	2,000	2,000
		73:12	73:12

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

41	53	180:2	able
118:23 161:9	192:15,16	109:10 135:1	167:5 181:1
42	193:3,6,7	182:10 191:2	201:12 215:19
133:23	198:19		
148:14,15	55	8	absence
149:3 153:17	61:7	182:1	12:1
161:18 174:6	56	188:17	abstract
202:23 203:2	6:14	145:6	abutment
43	5:00	160:12,21	45:2 159:3
119:7 136:17	179:8,23	201:22	149:18,25
137:1 148:17	182:3		159:12 188:13
161:19 206:18	5:05	9	189:24,25
218:19	218:19	159:9	
44	219:2	90.701	accept
119:17 162:2	220:9	159:15	48:2 52:6
163:20 203:12	60	90.702	accepted
206:23	159:17	159:17	48:4 114:15
45	5:30-ish	9:00	access
119:24 138:11	214:18	184:9	133:14
163:22	6	9:30	accessed
46	120:8 138:24	210:13,23	133:14
139:8 148:17	202:9	211:9 217:7	accommodate
204:10	6	73:19 74:9	38:25
47	172:25	account	account
120:14 126:7	600	202:6	202:6,24
205:1	120:6	abide	170:8
4:00	205:7	24:18	acknowledged
179:22	66	ability	89:14 142:7
207:22	28:14,18	149:22 153:25	allege
	205:20	205:13	55:16 127:18
5		7:00	118:6,11
50	7		119:13,17,24
124:22			alleging
52	5:20,24	7:20 10:14,	120:8 140:8
33:15,18	71	16,17 11:1	17:1,3,4,6
87:4,5	36:16,21	24:10 32:24	24:10 32:24
209:13,17,18	218:1,4	33:2 52:5,9,	69:11 124:4
		11,13 59:6	181:1
advise		76:9 94:19	allowed
20:6		97:24 98:1	121:4 159:16
address		99:2 101:4,7	aloud
5:12 6:24		108:14 111:7	28:20
29:6 73:10		112:17	altogether
86:20 156:5		113:13,15,18,	178:24 213:22
advise		21 114:19	amenities
87:8		115:10 118:5,	76:19
addressed		14 170:2	amnesty
118:6 126:7,9		171:17,23	138:5
127:17		18,25 120:15,	amount
128:11,12		20 121:13	46:25 47:1
129:2 133:23		122:18 126:11	176:12 190:3
148:9,18		138:1 149:4	analyze
160:1 161:14		160:2,8	119:19 120:1
166:18 172:2		161:10,20	149:5 160:8,
3rd		177:7,24	162:3
23:22 46:16,		178:5,25	162:3
17 47:11		214:5,22	and/or
193:16		215:3 216:19,	119:19 120:1
3rd		21 217:21	149:5 160:8,
17:17,23		ahead	10 162:4
172:1,13,14,		92:5 180:23	116:15 118:24
218:19		203:12 204:10	
174:3 175:7,		allegation	
138:1 149:4		116:15 118:24	
adjacent			
48:14 55:17			
58:9			
adjusted			
90:8			
admissibility			
218:10			
admissible			
131:25 158:25			
159:25 209:14			

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

20	10:10 12:18	25	79:5,10,24
188:11 201:19	23:22 25:11	61:8 108:1	90:25 91:4,13
205:24 206:13	33:11,12	125:6 136:9,	11,14 163:4,
20-by-12-foot	34:14 35:1,6	203:3	5,9 185:19,
171:2	51:7,10,15	250	23,24 186:13,
20-foot	52:16 53:20	49:6	17 191:15,22
76:3 134:25	26	35-footer	
135:5 163:8	15,16 88:3,5	59:23,25	186:11
185:17 186:1,	193:16	200:5 216:14,	
5,17 202:3,	2016	16 217:20	36
12,14 205:9	28:9,23 29:2,	27,500	44:13,24
206:9	5 30:3,24	84:9	124:6
20-footer	32:6,16,18	2894-A	37
186:11	34:22 35:10,	202:25	44:1 47:20,21
20-ton	19,25 36:24	2nd	50:14
160:23	154:8	381	39
2018	206:17	25:11 53:20	118:6 126:7,9
74:6	3	60:3 64:21	127:17
2012	60:19 200:5	65:14,15	128:11,12
5:3 6:6 7:18	202:20,24	30	129:2 133:23
9:18 12:18	206:17	148:9,18	160:1 161:14
14:22 40:22	21	166:18 172:2	166:18 172:2
73:10 90:24	87:15,16	3rd	17 47:11
2013	211:6	174:1,2	193:16
40:14,18,19	22nd	4	
203:7	202:20,24	4	
2014	215:22,23	34	
32:20 44:25	216:3	116:14 117:5	
45:12,19	123:23	17 47:11	
50:17 51:3,12	35	193:16	
52:18,25	117:7 125:22	4	
54:13 60:3	213:1	40	
61:3 62:15,22	35,000	54:1,2 189:24	
63:12,19	6:12	199:23,24	
64:21 67:6,7,	35-foot	200:9	
15 183:19	6:12		
2015	62:4,15,21	118:11 160:7	
8:18,25 9:4,	63:12,18,24	161:8,22	
8,12,13,15,20	64:9,12	172:18	
	75:1,6,21		
	76:3 78:21		

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

actions	admit	afford	141:25 149:3
117:14	218:8	75:6,21 76:3	160:6
activities	admitted	afternoon	allegations
32:22 188:14	64:16 117:22	4:15	117:5,6
actual	127:14 141:5	177:18	allege
36:25 118:2	193:6,7 218:6	52:10 60:23	89:14 142:7
addition	198:16 120:24	61:15,22 80:9	alleged
98:16 120:24	advice	83:3 99:2	55:16 127:18
9:25 10:5	114:21 123:17	114:21 123:17	alleges
95:5,9 119:9	133:16 138:20	140:2 148:3	118:6,11
121:9 137:22	advise	140:2 148:3	119:13,17,24
142:13 169:4	20:6	7:20 10:14,	alleging
192:24 207:14	100:6	16,17 11:1	120:8 140:8
193:16	99:2 101:4,7	17:1,3,4,6	
addressing	108:14 111:7	24:10 32:24	
27:24 37:20	112:17	33:2 52:5,9,	69:11 124:4
38:11 69:20	113:13,15,18,	181:1	
adjacent	21 114:19	21 114:19	
48:14 55:17	115:10 118:5,	115:10 118:5,	amenities
58:9	14 170:2	15 119:8,14,	76:19
adjusted	171:17,23	18,25 120:15,	amnesty
90:8	172:1,13,14,	20 121:13	138:5
admissibility	218:19	122:18 126:11	amount
218:10	174:3 175:7,	138:1 149:4	46:25 47:1
adjust	19,14,15,24	160:2,8	176:12 190:3
133:1	176:6,16,21	161:10,20	analyze
adjusted	177:7,24	162:2 163:22	119:19 120:1
90:8	178:5,25	164:10,12,14	149:5 160:8,
admissibility	214:5,22	171:4	162:3
218:10	215:3 216:19,	ahead	and/or
admissible	21 217:21	92:5 180:23	119:19 120:1
131:25 158:25	affirmatively	203:12 204:10	149:5 160:8,
159:25 209:14	211:3	10 162:4	162:3
		allegation	163:23
		116:15 118:24	

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

answered	applied	177:1	179:24 208:3,
39:8 40:6	132:17	approximate	25
69:1 78:23	applies	201:24	arises
98:8 105:22,	94:21 95:14	approximately	34:24 83:9
23 106:3,8,	130:4 148:9	172:24 189:24	arising
12,15,17	156:23	190:13	52:2
107:4 110:1	applying	193:17,19	arranged
113:22,23	122:6 140:18	April	67:12
anticipate	appoint	33:11 34:14	arrangements
179:20	32:21	35:1,6 87:7,	64:17,19
anticipated	approached	15,16 149:14	arrived
179:19	73:14	161:4 194:14,	77:17
apologies	approaches	15	arriving
147:20	146:3 160:22	apt	195:14
apologize	185:13	27:13	art
211:6	appropriate	architect	81:20 145:10
apparently	71:15 100:25	12:7 89:24	artfully
20:12 57:2	123:19 132:8,	90:12	163:16
135:11 163:4	24 133:3,24	architects	100:24
appeal	138:8 165:19	90:10,13	articulated
163:19,20	167:13 175:18	172:4	172:4
appears	195:21 201:24	as-built	as-built
158:13	approval	9:4 10:11,14,	119:7,18,24
appellate	68:23 69:12,	18,22 11:2,	120:14 125:5
132:22 165:6	16 97:18	12,14,20,23,	213:7,12
applicable	132:4 139:15	24 12:11	bolted
66:22,23,24	171:10	144:12 171:11	160:2,7
81:23 118:8	approvals	173:4 176:8	47:14
126:13	113:14	argument	book
148:10,20,21	approve	107:24 108:1	33:20,23
153:3 157:19	98:3,5 148:5	119:21 139:9,	34:1,3,10,16,
159:22 160:4	170:24 171:9	137:19 143:14	18,19
166:17 175:21	approved	154:13,18	books
application	27:11 111:17	155:17 174:18	65:17,19
38:17 46:12	116:17 117:8	ASAP	91:17 92:4,6
47:9 126:2	123:17,25	46:13 47:7,13	bottom
128:12 171:3	131:22 139:3,	ascertain	38:7 53:16
applications	4 155:13	201:13	59:18
174:19	171:13 176:24	aspect	box
		122:18 142:12	117:21

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

bills	10:5,7 61:7,	brackets	33:10,12 35:1
	8,20 89:9,12	213:12	116:15 118:8,
billed	60:24 61:16	brain	13,25 123:1,
	126:20	48:16,17	2,3,4,13,24
billing	12:18 14:17	49:2,3 70:18	124:24 125:2,
	38:8	71:17 84:16	6,11,12,16,
branched	188:3	87:1 90:4	17,21 126:12,
		107:9 108:4	16,18,19,21,
breach	110:23 111:1	24 127:4,6,11	16 136:9,11,
		bridge	13,15,22
bills	12:5 116:10	5:5,12,15,17	143:10 144:5,
	14:14	6:11,17 7:14	10,11,19,20,
binding	122:5,8,10,	179:4 183:11	22,25 39:2,6
	11,12,18,20	195:1 198:22	21,23 145:3,
bizarre	112:17 148:21	209:19	135:5,12,14,
	130:11 137:12		142:18,20
bizarre	141:13,15	bridge	143:10 144:5,
	142:12 143:16	5:5,12,15,17	15:1,13,22
blame	145:5 148:2	6:11,17 7:14	137:4,18,23
	165:11 168:22	14:24 15:2,21	138:19 139:22
blink	16:7 19:5	16:7 19:5	142:18,20
	169:14,18,20,	35:17 38:18,	143:10 144:5,
block	217:2	22,25 39:2,6	10,11,19,20,
	23 170:3	175:19 177:18	21,23 145:3,
breached	21:24 22:3	40:4,24 41:5,	7,15,22,24
	23:6 187:24	25 42:1 43:2	146:2,9
bold	119:7,18,24	45:25 56:9	147:5,7,8,22,
	120:14 125:5	72:6,8,17,21	23 149:15
bolded	126:11 129:9	73:9,17,20	150:8,11,13,
	137:25 149:4	74:8,10,15,24	16,21,22
book	160:2,7	75:1,6,8,21	151:8,9
	47:14	76:3,4 77:2	152:13 154:17
book	162:2 163:22	78:4,20,21	155:12 159:2,
	164:10,14	79:3,5,9,10,	21 160:3,9,
books	170:4 177:14	22,24 81:13	13,14,15,20,
	18,19	16 83:17	21,22 161:10
books	65:17,19	84:3,5,19	10 185:9,12,
	91:17 92:4,6	85:2 90:15	17,19,23
bottom	158:5 205:3	91:1,4,13	166:16 167:10
	38:7 53:16	172:23,25	172:16,21,23
breeze	59:18	93:2,4,6,10,	173:5 184:8,
	60:18	13,14,17,19	10 185:9,12,
box	117:21	95:8,16,21	186:1,8,13,
	20:2 27:19	96:19 98:6	14,17,18,19
Brackenchase	28:22 29:5	101:18,22	188:4,11,12,
	188:2	105:19	21,23 189:5,
	30:8 31:2,3,	108:23,24	
	12 32:5,9		

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

aspects	assuming	aware	beginning
66:5 116:12	107:16 127:5	31:8,10 50:12	110:9 122:7
15:23	173:15,16	51:3,11,13	139:11 192:5
asserted	assumption	54:8 59:4	201:11 205:21
122:11	29:20	79:13,21	belabor
assertion	assured	85:22 132:20	188:9
70:14	16:12 72:5	181:3	belief
assist	attach	awful	16:20 77:2,8
7:22 9:20	112:12	17:16	83:11 84:11,
19:14 58:12	attaches	B	20,22 94:4
72:25 88:6	45:10	B-2	97:14 118:22
206:20	attachment	214:3	121:4 123:12
assistance	37:4,9	130:13 153:24	154:14
9:10 89:21	attempted	believed	16:18,24
assisted	27:18	17:18 99:6	17:18 99:6
14:20	attention	103:9	10:21 12:25
174:17	6:1 141:4	120:21	14:1,5,9
associate	182:7	124:12,16	15:10,20
19:2,4,5	attorney	believes	16:3,4,12,18,
associated	18:15 32:1	94:3 119:12	25 17:3 19:20
119:20 162:5,	57:5	137:22 150:1	20:1,22 21:6
6	attorneys	189:19	22:19,24
Associates	208:21	52:22 127:23	23:4,7,13,19
5:5 38:24	August	better	24:11 25:6
56:18 75:21	6:5,21 7:18	107:7 153:4	30:2 32:21,23
76:2 86:2	9:18 90:24	181:25	34:15 35:20
100:14 125:1	authenticated	beyond	36:3,8 37:18,
134:14,17	209:14	36:2,3 42:1	20 39:7 41:24
135:3,10	authorized	90:22 113:4	133:13,17
155:9 199:16	71:10	197:21 207:12	144:10,19,20
Associates'	available	147:10	145:7 147:7,
101:3	basing	big	22 154:17
assumed	77:15	74:7 140:18	19:1:17
90:21	bear	bigger	12:17 41:7
assumes	58:14	185:12	93:25 120:17
108:13	bed	biggest	16:3 13 179:17
	26:18 28:4	26:18 28:4	188:2 193:13
	bill	bill	calls
	189:25 190:1		15:8,10
	155:5		12:17 41:7

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

bridges'	6,7,15,19,22	brief	191:5,17
	190:1,3,15,	193:18 194:10	151:16 188:6,
	17,19 191:3,	197:7	7 191:22
	70:5 71:15,24		195:7
	5,8,10,15,22,	bullet	
	72:3,7,16	43:15 52:22	54:1
	23 192:1,4,6,	62:8 97:25	bunch
	7,8,11,23	117:25 119:4	78:3,5 154:7
	193:12,14	188:15	burden
	76:9,12 77:3	90:5,13,17	122:4 131:8,
	195:14 197:4	91:4,6,14	183:3
	198:21 199:3	93:8 96:21	12 153:7,9,12
	201:11,13,25	205:9 206:9	briefly
	73:22,24	21 122:15	157:10 168:13
	74:2,4,11	205:10 206:22	169:11,12,16
	193:12,14	212:10 213:15	budget
	76:9,12 77:3	217:23	44:19 186:18
	195:14 197:4	22 120:21 141:3	build
	201:11,13,25	212:23	15:21 125:6
	70:5 71:15,24	216:18,19	120:25
	5,8,10,15,22,	144:10,19,20	calling
	72:3,7,16	145:7 147:7,	15:8,10
	23 192:1,4,6,	22 154:17	calls
	7,8,11,23	19:1:17	12:17 41:7
	193:12,14	12 188:19	93:25 120:17
	76:9,12 77:3	140:10	16:3 13 179:17
	195:14 197:4	146:14,23	188:2 193:13
	201:11,13,25	153:25 154:4,	captain
	73:22,24	15 161:3,17,	15:8,11
	74:2,4,11	19 162:1	
	193:12,14	163:19,21	
	76:9,12 77:3	164:9,13	
	195:14 197:4	165:10 166:14	
	201:11,13,25	182:24 188:5,	
	70:5 71:15,24	59:3,4,7	
	5,8,10,15,22,	6,7,25 189:1,	
	72:3,7,16	4,8,9,10	

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

cast	8,18,19	cheaper	167:21
152:17	123:11,17	75:8,22	claimed
catch	124:11,23	check	137:14 138:12
4:25	125:3,14	20:6,10 64:10	148:2 150:4
category	126:16,22	65:1,11,13	176:3
213:16	127:7,22,24	68:11 119:19	claiming
caveat	128:14,20,24	138:2 162:3	checked
177:13	130:10 132:1	64:12 80:2,6	choice
certificates	133:11 135:13	89:14 90:4	claims
177:16	137:22 138:3,	103:12 122:14	choices
cetera	8,11,25	150:5,9	chose
91:10 144:24	140:13 180:4	109:19 142:7	clarify
changes	141:5,13	165:12 175:11	circle
73:18 90:21	143:1 145:2	72:24 73:21	clarity
138:14 170:24	147:24 149:22	101:9	circumstances
213:4	154:21 155:8	111:7 189:11	citations
changing	159:1,20	134:12	cited
133:3	163:7,18	147:15	cleaned
characterized	164:4,21	122:15 132:12	citing
86:6	165:2,12	156:13	civil
charge	169:15 170:18	157:17,22,23	client
42:14	174:17 176:4,	158:3	claim
charging	183:18 191:4	18:15 34:9	client's
179:24 180:1	194:3 197:20,	183:4 187:4	client's
207:24 208:15	24 198:1,13,	142:22 146:21	claim
211:6	15,20,22	171:7	client's
Chaudhari	199:2 202:20	149:6,8,11	client's
4:10,18,23	203:2 205:2	103:2	client's
5:25 36:23	206:16	57:14 70:10	client's
55:16 66:4	209:15,18	74:6 78:18	closings
92:17 94:17	218:6	81:12 85:4	client's
96:5,18 97:12	Chaudhari's	116:10 121:8	coin
98:12 104:18	97:3 118:22,	127:7 131:11	169:2
105:6 110:19,	24 121:21	148:10 152:11	
22 111:20	123:5 124:21		
115:3 116:6	130:17 136:2		
117:20,22	145:8,14		
118:6,11	148:1 153:18,		
119:12 120:4,	24 167:11		
	171:11		

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

conflicting	115:13 147:12	construct	Consulting
conflict	126:12 160:3,	118:7,12,25	3,5,7,13,19
214:15	8 161:10	126:12 160:3,	114:22,23,25
conflicts	166:16	19:7 89:8	116:10 118:1,
179:18 181:2	172:15,20	15,19 119:11	15,19 119:11
conformed	195:14	20:17 121:19	120:17 121:19
118:8 126:13	constructed	Contacting	122:6 123:1,
160:3	151:16 192:23	174:16	15 125:5,14
conforms	193:14	contend	127:21 129:9
166:17	166:14 172:23	construction	130:11 131:2,
confused	195:17	54:25 117:12	6,22 132:7
101:18,22	121:24 122:1	content	135:12 136:24
104:19	126:11 127:19	context	139:2,11,12,
confusion	133:9 140:16	15,18,19	140:4,6,9
31:5,15 32:8	143:17	contingency	141:10 144:8,
34:23,25	145:11,12	170:17	9,14 145:4,5
35:4,5,6,8	149:5,6	continued	148:20,23
73:8	151:15,19	contract	152:7 157:3
connect	153:2 156:11,	8:15 10:25	160:24 164:17
164:4	159:2 162:15	11:1 14:9,11,	165:12 166:15
connection	171:24 172:1	18 16:25	170:21,23
45:11 164:7	17:6,12 24:10	171:4,12	
190:14	33:2,3 35:12	173:18 174:19	
connects	18:15 8:16	50:20 51:6	
189:6	174:4 184:2,	52:2 60:23	
23 197:4	3,11 187:20,	176:25 177:14	
consent	195:6	64:2 66:5	
201:8 203:14	67:23,24 68:5	188:10 191:11	
132:3	70:4 72:14,18	contracted	189:12 194:18
consider	80:8,10 82:24	67:5 125:12,	
44:5 157:7	83:2,7,9,22	17 133:12	
considered	84:1 85:12,17	185:15	
159:8 160:14	consultation	contracting	126:17 187:25
165:9	9:1,22 14:12	contractor	126:17 187:25
consistent	84:13,21	12:7 22:20,25	
62:9 171:3	7 105:24	23:7 25:1,3,7	
188:16	106:7 107:1	71:8,11 73:23	
constitute	16:10 84:23	108:10,14,24	
12:5	111:7,10,15,	146:23,24	
consulted	23:25 112:1,	184:12 194:18	
28:1			

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

collected	123:10 124:1,	compliant	concerned
14:2 85:2	3 129:2 135:1	95:24 118:7	82:8 142:12
comma	136:18	125:16 126:12	conclusion
56:24 57:1	138:10,23	130:18,19,22	77:18 96:13
commencing	139:7 143:11	131:11,13,17,	concrete
200:6	145:4 149:7	18 133:13	45:24 105:20
commensurate	151:4 164:15,	148:2,3 160:3	144:21,24
182:4	25	166:16	147:22,23
comment	complaint	149:16,18,24	149:22,23
15:1 123:21	157:5	150:22 151:9	150:22 151:9
147:19 156:17	complication	188:12	152:17 153:1
162:6 163:1	209:11	190:18,22	154:1 166:15
comments	complied	191:1	conduct
162:11	complaints	129:13 161:21	105:13
commercial	76:15 98:19	175:11 177:8	105:13
188:3 196:21	115:23	conditions	176:17 177:8
197:3,10	complete	11:15 131:1	178:1 179:22
common	38:16 118:12	164:1 166:15	33:1,8 61:19
93:20 136:10,	119:8 130:1	conduct	62:21 64:11
21 137:7,8,9	137:1,2,21,23	16:19 178:16	100:5 102:21
144:4 162:20	25 163:25	conference	103:6 206:5
communication	170:15 172:15	80:20,21	conversations
30:8 88:23	175:12 212:25	82:22 105:15	8:7 17:17
197:25	completed	110:7 179:24	194:2,7
communications	14:9 55:7,9	207:24 208:15	197:19,24
19:19 23:3	67:12,18	211:6	205:12
68:5 88:4	component	conversely	178:23 215:18
89:9 192:10	119:16 130:25	146:21	216:19
competency	136:20 137:20	convey	21:2:45:13,16
95:17 96:25	170:22	44:3	48:20,22 65:5
competent	193:18,20	correcting	76:7 184:9
71:8,22,25	132:23 136:3	68:6	195:14
72:3,6 76:8	completing	correction	129:18 130:8
81:1 96:15	160:19 161:23	12:10 80:15	cross:
106:19	completion	115:23 116:11	179:7 182:3
116:13,18,	61:16	corrective	202:7 211:18
117:6 118:21	67:10 80:23	152:6	cross-
119:2 120:16,	173:6 177:4	138:21 154:6	examination
18 121:25	178:12 180:13	199:3	4:14,21 82:4
	123:16	confirms	100:23 117:23
		59:17	130:14 193:23

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

contractor's	59:21	195:3	crossing
71:6	cost	136:13 201:14	
contractors	5:6 6:20 19:6	Court's	141:4 214:10
23:13	80:2,4 102:13	crux	123:5
contradicted	137:21 160:22	courtroom	
119:13	161:1 192:23	4:12 65:21	
205:15	205:15	66:2 77:10,22	
contrary	84:15,24	49:6	
48:23	costs	92:7,11 115:9	
contributed	64:3,4,6	95:11 98:8	
170:3 176:1	137:18 146:8	182:16 208:9	
contributory	211:12	209:8 210:24	
175:20	122:14	count	
conversation	180:11 191:12	211:12	
31:7,9,17,22	counter-	cover	
33:1,8 61:19	defendant	80:11 191:12	
62:21 64:11	161:16 165:10	covered	155:10
100:5 102:21	18:22 168:1,	currently	
103:6 206:5	11 169:11	11:9 44:2	
conversations	178:23 215:18	crafted	198:25
8:7 17:17	216:19	215:13	
194:2,7	14:2 45:13,16	created	215:13
197:19,24	48:20,22 65:5	customer	215:13
205:12	76:7 184:9	credible	198:5
conversely	195:14	121:25 128:20	
146:21	216:19	creek	
44:3	43:2 45:13,16	cover	
correcting	48:20,22 65:5	covered	
120:11	76:7 184:9	currently	
correction	195:14	11:9 44:2	
12:10 80:15	129:18 130:8	crafted	198:25
115:23 116:11	cross:	215:13	
corrective	179:7 182:3	cross-	
152:6	202:7 211:18	examination	
28:12 29:8,16	30:5 31:1	4:14,21 82:4	
30:5 31:1	34:4,5,16	100:23 117:23	
53:5 81:22	46:13 117:17	130:14 193:23	
correlation	12:19 88:15	137:11,14,20	
133:25			
correspondence			

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

140:7 141:11, 14,16 143:21, 23 145:23 146:1,5,11 150:4,5 151:2,14 153:19 160:18,19 161:7,23 168:24 175:14 176:1,2,3,5 dated 25:11 28:23 29:5 44:25 46:17 61:3,4 65:15 day-to-day 132:24 196:12,20 197:5,6 days 70:23 72:17 deal 140:18 dealing 127:18 134:16 217:9 decent 180:14 decide 124:4 130:6 132:6 148:7 178:5 decided 56:8 123:20 decides 18:23 143:1 163:18,19 decision	110:12 135:8 deck 144:21 149:16,24 151:7,8,9 188:12 190:5 declined 14:5 deemed 152:20 default 170:12 defect 80:15,17,25 81:1,9,19,20 82:1 121:24 122:1 133:10 143:17 149:5, 6,7 151:15,19 174:4 defective 12:4 81:3,13 82:2 93:23 dealing 119:4 120:11, 12 21,25 167:20, 22 177:7,16, 24 214:22 216:19 deliberate 182:25 defenses 209:1 defects 115:22 116:6 134:1 165:16, 21,25 167:20, 22 177:7,16, 24 214:22 214:6,8 215:4 216:21 217:21 217:15 deficiencies 83:9,12,13 10 193:11 170:8 143:9 145:5 168:21	164:17 165:25 173:1 214:5 defendant/ 122:3 182:22 defending 167:1 defense 105:8 115:4,6 153:19 167:14 168:12 169:12 172:1,14,19 173:5,12,14, 20,25 174:3 175:7,9,14, 192:20 195:22 216:1 217:16 delivered 97:21,22 115:22 116:6 134:1 165:16, 21,25 167:20, 22 177:7,16, 24 214:22 214:6,8 215:4 216:21 217:21 217:15 deficiencies 83:9,12,13 10 193:11 170:8 143:9 145:5 168:21	defined 131:21 definitely 27:13 49:10 definition 72:20 93:19 123:3,6 127:6 132:6 139:22 167:10 definitions 127:19 deflect 100:24 degree 192:20 delay 29:6,15 30:3, 24 delete 213:22 216:1 217:16 deliberate 182:25 defenses 209:1 defects 115:22 116:6 134:1 165:16, 21,25 167:20, 22 177:7,16, 24 214:22 214:6,8 215:4 216:21 217:21 217:15 deficiencies 83:9,12,13 10 193:11 170:8 143:9 145:5 168:21
--	---	---	--

197:22 **directed** 12:7 115:21 116:4,24 122:16,21 144:1 160:5 161:7,18 162:1 163:20 164:9,12,23 165:18 166:22 172:5 **discussions** 5:4,7,8 20:13 15:24,25 16:2,4 165:11 20:1:2 21:1:2 23:19 19:10 148:1 158:21 **directing** 139:20 140:2 **directly** 19:10 148:1 158:21 **dirty** 44:7 51:17 146:3 161:6 123:18 **disagree** 169:17,19 133:21 157:23 217:15 **disagreement** 138:18 165:5 170:6 **disagrees** 198:15,18 169:21 **disclose** 181:17 12:20 59:16 12:21 59:16 12:22 59:16 12:23 59:16 12:24 59:16 12:25 59:16 12:26 59:16 12:27 59:16 12:28 59:16 12:29 59:16 12:30 59:16 12:31 59:16 12:32 59:16 12:33 59:16 12:34 59:16 12:35 59:16 12:36 59:16 12:37 59:16 12:38 59:16 12:39 59:16 12:40 59:16 12:41 59:16 12:42 59:16 12:43 59:16 12:44 59:16 12:45 59:16 12:46 59:16 12:47 59:16 12:48 59:16 12:49 59:16 12:50 59:16 12:51 59:16 12:52 59:16 12:53 59:16 12:54 59:16 12:55 59:16 12:56 59:16 12:57 59:16 12:58 59:16 12:59 59:16 12:60 59:16 12:61 59:16 12:62 59:16 12:63 59:16 12:64 59:16 12:65 59:16 12:66 59:16 12:67 59:16 12:68 59:16 12:69 59:16 12:70 59:16 12:71 59:16 12:72 59:16 12:73 59:16 12:74 59:16 12:75 59:16 12:76 59:16 12:77 59:16 12:78 59:16 12:79 59:16 12:80 59:16 12:81 59:16 12:82 59:16 12:83 59:16 12:84 59:16 12:85 59:16 12:86 59:16 12:87 59:16 12:88 59:16 12:89 59:16 12:90 59:16 12:91 59:16 12:92 59:16 12:93 59:16 12:94 59:16 12:95 59:16 12:96 59:16 12:97 59:16 12:98 59:16 12:99 59:16 12:100 59:16 12:101 59:16 12:102 59:16 12:103 59:16 12:104 59:16 12:105 59:16 12:106 59:16 12:107 59:16 12:108 59:16 12:109 59:16 12:110 59:16 12:111 59:16 12:112 59:16 12:113 59:16 12:114 59:16 12:115 59:16 12:116 59:16 12:117 59:16 12:118 59:16 12:119 59:16 12:120 59:16 12:121 59:16 12:122 59:16 12:123 59:16 12:124 59:16 12:125 59:16 12:126 59:16 12:127 59:16 12:128 59:16 12:129 59:16 12:130 59:16 12:131 59:16 12:132 59:16 12:133 59:16 12:134 59:16 12:135 59:16 12:136 59:16 12:137 59:16 12:138 59:16 12:139 59:16 12:140 59:16 12:141 59:16 12:142 59:16 12:143 59:16 12:144 59:16 12:145 59:16 12:146 59:16 12:147 59:16 12:148 59:16 12:149 59:16 12:150 59:16 12:151 59:16 12:152 59:16 12:153 59:16 12:154 59:16 12:155 59:16 12:156 59:16 12:157 59:16 12:158 59:16 12:159 59:16 12:160 59:16 12:161 59:16 12:162 59:16 12:163 59:16 12:164 59:16 12:165 59:16 12:166 59:16 12:167 59:16 12:168 59:16 12:169 59:16 12:170 59:16 12:171 59:16 12:172 59:16 12:173 59:16 12:174 59:16 12:175 59:16 12:176 59:16 12:177 59:16 12:178 59:16 12:179 59:16 12:180 59:16 12:181 59:16 12:182 59:16 12:183 59:16 12:184 59:16 12:185 59:16 12:186 59:16 12:187 59:16 12:188 59:16 12:189 59:16 12:190 59:16 12:191 59:16 12:192 59:16 12:193 59:16 12:194 59:16 12:195 59:16 12:196 59:16 12:197 59:16 12:198 59:16 12:199 59:16 12:200 59:16 12:201 59:16 12:202 59:16 12:203 59:16 12:204 59:16 12:205 59:16 12:206 59:16 12:207 59:16 12:208 59:16 12:209 59:16 12:210 59:16 12:211 59:16 12:212 59:16 12:213 59:16 12:214 59:16 12:215 59:16 12:216 59:16 12:217 59:16 12:218 59:16 12:219 59:16 12:220 59:16 12:221 59:16 12:222 59:16 12:223 59:16 12:224 59:16 12:225 59:16 12:226 59:16 12:227 59:16 12:228 59:16 12:229 59:16 12:230 59:16 12:231 59:16 12:232 59:16 12:233 59:16 12:234 59:16 12:235 59:16 12:236 59:16 12:237 59:16 12:238 59:16 12:239 59:16 12:240 59:16 12:241 59:16 12:242 59:16 12:243 59:16 12:244 59:16 12:245 59:16 12:246 59:16 12:247 59:16 12:248 59:16 12:249 59:16 12:250 59:16 12:251 59:16 12:252 59:16 12:253 59:16 12:254 59:16 12:255 59:16 12:256 59:16 12:257 59:16 12:258 59:16 12:259 59:16 12:260 59:16 12:261 59:16 12:262 59:16 12:263 59:16 12:264 59:16 12:265 59:16 12:266 59:16 12:267 59:16 12:268 59:16 12:269 59:16 12:270 59:16 12:271 59:16 12:272 59:16 12:273 59:16 12:274 59:16 12:275 59:16 12:276 59:16 12:277 59:16 12:278 59:16 12:279 59:16 12:280 59:16 12:281 59:16 12:282 59:16 12:283 59:16 12:284 59:16 12:285 59:16 12:286 59:16 12:287 59:16 12:288 59:16 12:289 59:16 12:290 59:16 12:291 59:16 12:292 59:16 12:293 59:16 12:294 59:16 12:295 59:16 12:296 59:16 12:297 59:16 12:298 59:16 12:299 59:16 12:300 59:16 12:301 59:16 12:302 59:16 12:303 59:16 12:304 59:16 12:305 59:16 12:306 59:16 12:307 59:16 12:308 59:16 12:309 59:16 12:310 59:16 12:311 59:16 12:312 59:16 12:313 59:16 12:314 59:16 12:315 59:16 12:316 59:16 12:317 59:16 12:318 59:16 12:319 59:16 12:320 59:16 12:321 59:16 12:322 59:16 12:323 59:16 12:324 59:16 12:325 59:16 12:326 59:16 12:327 59:16 12:328 59:16 12:329 59:16 12:330 59:16 12:331 59:16 12:332 59:16 12:333 59:16 12:334 59:16 12:335 59:16 12:336 59:16 12:337 59:16 12:338 59:16 12:339 59:16 12:340 59:16 12:341 59:16 12:342 59:16 12:343 59:16 12:344 59:16 12:345 59:16 12:346 59:16 12:347 59:16 12:348 59:16 12:349 59:16 12:350 59:16 12:351 59:16 12:352 59:16 12:353 59:16 12:354 59:16 12:355 59:16 12:356 59:16 12:357 59:16 12:358 59:16 12:359 59:16 12:360 59:16 12:361 59:16 12:362 59:16 12:363 59:16 12:364 59:16 12:365 59:16 12:366 59:16 12:367 59:16 12:368 59:16 12:369 59:16 12:370 59:16 12:371 59:16 12:372 59:16 12:373 59:16 12:374 59:16 12:375 59:16 12:376 59:16 12:377 59:16 12:378 59:16 12:379 59:16 12:380 59:16 12:381 59:16 12:382 59:16 12:383 59:16 12:384 59:16 12:385 59:16 12:386 59:16 12:387 59:16 12:388 59:16 12:389 59:16 12:390 59:16 12:391 59:16 12:392 59:16 12:393 59:16 12:394 59:16 12:395 59:16 12:396 59:16 12:397 59:16 12:398 59:16 12:399 59:16 12:400 59:16 12:401 59:16 12:402 59:16 12:403 59:16 12:404 59:16 12:405 59:16 12:406 59:16 12:407 59:16 12:408 59:16 12:409 59:16 12:410 59:16 12:411 59:16 12:412 59:16 12:413 59:16 12:414 59:16 12:415 59:16 12:416 59:16 12:417 59:16 12:418 59:16 12:419 59:16 12:420 59:16 12:421 59:16 12:422 59:16 12:423 59:16 12:424 59:16 12:425 59:16 12:426 59:16 12:427 59:16 12:428 59:16 12:429 59:16 12:430 59:16 12:431 59:16 12:432 59:16 12:433 59:16 12:434 59:16 12:435 59:16 12:436 59:16 12:437 59:16 12:438 59:16 12:439 59:16 12:440 59:16 12:441 59:16 12:442 59:16 12:443 59:16 12:444 59:16 12:445 59:16 12:446 59:16 12:447 59:16 12:448 59:16 12:449 59:16 12:450 59:16 12:451 59:16 12:452 59:16 12:453 59:16 12:454 59:16 12:455 59:16 12:456 59:16 12:457 59:16 12:458 59:16 12:459 59:16 12:460 59:16 12:461 59:16 12:462 59:16 12:463 59:16 12:464 59:16 12:465 59:16 12:466 59:16 12:467 59:16 12:468 59:16 12:469 59:16 12:470 59:16 12:471 59:16 12:472 59:16 12:473 59:16 12:474 59:16 12:475 59:16 12:476 59:16 12:477 59:16 12:478 59:16 12:479 59:16 12:480 59:16 12:481 59:16 12:482 59:16 12:483 59:16 12:484 59:16 12:485 59:16 12:486 59:16 12:487 59:16 12:488 59:16 12:489 59:16 12:490 59:16 12:491 59:16 12:492 59:16 12:493 59:16 12:494 59:16 12:495 59:16 12:496 59:16 12:497 59:16 12:498 59:16 12:499 59:16 12:500 59:16 12:501 59:16 12:502 59:16 12:5

80:17	116:13,20	52:23	expedited
establishes	118:9,20,21	67:12	exception
156:8	119:2 121:25	147:18	experience
estate	123:11 127:15	76:18 104:21	excerpt
34:7	129:3,21	129:24 130:5	exchange
estimate	131:15 134:11	142:4 153:2	excluded
201:21	135:2 136:19	163:14 184:1	experienced
estimated	138:10,24	218:8	experiences
46:24	143:25 156:3	126:10 166:13	exhaustible
160:24	158:10,23,24	167:9	187:20
estimator	160:24 161:2	expert	exhibit
19:6 21:8,9	162:9,12	81:15,17 82:1	5:20,24 10:24
22:8 47:24	163:11 164:3,	93:16 97:9,12	20:24 28:14,
estoppel	20 168:10,19	116:18 119:5	18:33:15,18
217:21	169:11 183:5	120:11 128:18	36:16,21
et	187:5 193:2,8	129:8 133:8	44:1,13,24
91:9,10	207:20 213:5	135:12 137:10	46:10 47:20
144:24	215:24	139:24	48:16 50:2
evaluated	evidentiary	146:17,20	120:20 208:6
77:20 169:8	28:19	146:18	exercise
evaluation	exactly	146:18	120:20 208:6
69:5	112:1 120:3	146:18	exhaustible
evening	137:8 149:16	146:18	174:14
179:9	153:4 156:2,5	147:5,8	expert
195:18	93:1 94:18	147:5,8	81:15,17 82:1
event	112:3 160:12	148:16 159:9	93:16 97:9,12
175:22	205:11,19	162:22	120:11 128:18
Eventually	206:2,5	expertise	129:8 133:8
86:16	192:15,16	146:18	135:12 137:10
everybody	examination	146:18	139:24
21:23 66:22	16:16 55:16	146:18	146:17,20
138:17 211:1	92:15 111:5	146:18	147:5,8
everyday	183:14 187:13	146:18	148:16 159:9
127:9	197:22	146:18	150:15,19
evidence	examined	146:18	exhibits
26:3 37:12	4:20 183:13	146:18	60:22 200:13
44:2 46:6	187:12	146:18	209:10
80:16 81:1,8	example	146:18	existence
82:3 96:15	17:17 159:1	146:18	80:25 168:21
108:16,17	160:1 163:2	146:18	expired
	184:19 215:19	146:18	38:17 51:9
	excellent	146:18	104:2,8,9
		146:18	29:13 35:4
		146:18	exists
		146:18	153:22,23
		146:18	expiring
		146:18	85:22 103:22

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

filed	5:2 6:2 23:18	95:2,4,8,14	following
97:21	25:19,23,25	153:2 156:22	30:18 36:9
filings	41:8 44:5	157:18,21	39:25 68:23
175:2	48:13 50:15	158:14,22	80:21 105:15
fill	62:14 63:4,21	159:15 188:1	follows
44:7,8,12	64:10 68:5,20	203:1	4:20 40:3
45:1,23	71:7 72:20	183:13 187:12	flow
46:23,25	76:23,25	122:10,14,19	Fond
47:1,19 49:4,	81:12 105:17	137:12 140:8	200:3
6 51:23	113:11 115:16	141:14,20	foray
161:3,6	122:25 146:14	146:1 190:6,7	76:16
	158:8 169:14	flowing	
	171:25 172:13	145:23	forced
	60:2 70:19	57:15 58:1	foreground
	182:6 183:1,	30:1 66:12	190:11
	72:8 92:20	focused	foreign
	119:4 161:21	66:14 68:22,	140:14
	167:15 170:19	25	foremost
	199:13 203:5	31:11	122:25
	206:15	fits	forgot
	208:17	135:23	105:14
	finalized	folks	forgotten
	fit	86:21 159:24	106:23
	208:1	10:13	formal
	79:3 118:17	135:23	formed
	financially	192:2	forth
	126:2 186:22	25:16 53:21	foray
	91:9	60:10 62:23	112:10 118:1
	fits	154:9 185:8	154:9 185:8
	152:4	155:23	20:15 86:15,
	finder	82:8 85:15	16
	53:9 123:8,20	110:21 136:4	forwarded
	finding	160:12 165:5	40:15 86:15,
	39:17 181:1,5	108:19 110:20	36:12
	172:5	111:5 113:4,5	forwarding
	five-minute	27:11 36:13	
	65:17	82:10	found
	fix		
	155:23		
	178:4		
	flip		
	64:21		
	55:4 179:23		
	flood		
	172:24 190:2,		
	45:12		
	4		
	floodway		
	202:2,7,8		
	firm		
	Floor		
	136:10 153:4		
	200:4		
	first		
	Florida		

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

explain	fact	166:10 174:13	feel
31:22 35:12	7:21 10:22	177:25	100:23,24
53:6 103:8	14:23 19:7	132:9	feels
104:24 109:14	33:10 38:25	140:15 180:14	195:2 165:2
188:22	41:17 50:2	183:17	feet
203:18,19	53:9 68:4	183:17	41:21,23
explained	107:16 118:19	173:4	125:22 144:21
35:15 117:19	121:10 123:9,	172:25 188:11	falling
145:11	20 149:14	189:24	54:17
explaining	152:20 154:10	201:19,21	falls
34:17 77:17	159:4 168:8	202:7,15	213:16
explanation	192:11 207:5	205:24 206:13	false
192:19	126:9	29:20	familiar
express	176:11 177:5	18:6,8,10,12	129:21
99:11 119:14	177:22	24:3 34:1	felt
expressed	177:22	71:14 80:12	71:14 80:12
98:13,17	factually	59:4,9 120:5	fence
99:18 170:5	21:12 22:2,8	26:7,8,9 27:2	59:4,9 120:5
extend	23:23 24:6,8	53:22,24	father
29:1	23:23 24:6,8	54:4,6,8,17,	21:23 55:2,3,
extensively	17,19 137:1,2,	7,10,11	father's
103:21 124:20	138:12,13	117:22,23	14:3 15:7
extent	148:10 166:14	124:19	21,23 55:2,3,
119:11 142:25	170:10	162:17,19	7,10,11
168:25 177:5	172:15,20	141:8 146:9	14:3 15:7
extra	175:12 177:8	42:9 126:11	favorite
48:9 212:3,9	falling	166:13	35:10 29:3,5
extremely	119:8,18,25	Fifth	30:3,24 32:6,
82:7	120:15 126:12	174:3	32:6,7 34:1,5
eye	138:1 149:4	figure	35:10 19:5
217:2	152:6 160:2,8	10:2 14:21	35:10 19:5
eyes	161:10,20	35:17 40:5	35:10 19:5
126:20,21	162:3 163:23,	162:15 165:21	35:10 19:5
	24 164:10,15	214:4	35:10 19:5
	166:16 177:15	file	35:10 19:5
	67:6 85:23	46:12 47:8	35:10 19:5
	202:20,24	211:16	35:10 19:5
	failure		
	120:9 142:14	Federal	
	143:23	157:25	
	153:15,16		

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

8:17,20	130:16 142:1	22 103:1,6,8	gotten
145:25 212:16	198:20 200:15	147:17,21,25	governing
foundation	197:12,19,25	197:12,19,25	173:19
94:1,14 96:13	153:25	Garcia's	grand
102:6 103:18	153:25	19:21 20:19	108:1
104:13	156:9 175:25	19:21 20:19	granted
four	156:9 175:25	130:12 159:23	gathering
93:12 124:22	157:1	110:21 136:4	128:25 144:2
207:12	158:1	160:12 165:5	60:10 62:23
fourth	159:5,10 61:7	172:24 135:19	112:10 118:1
116:11	170:15 187:18	154:9 185:25	154:9 185:8
173:12,24	187:18	graphics	20:19 21:19
frame	187:18	20:19 21:19	grasp
67:9,17	188:1	21:19 22:19	153:4
framed	188:1	22:20 23:17	grateful
107:15	189:1	23:24 25:1	153:1
60:19	190:1	25:1 26:17	gravel
fraudulent	191:12,19	26:8 27:1	geotech
145:18	192:12,19	52:7 146:4	50:5,8,9,10
Fredon	193:12,19	52:7 146:4	greater
63:2,5	194:12,19	5:17	90:21
	195:15 105:4	180:17	germane
	196:15 181:24	180:17	greatly
	197:15 193:21	180:17	Green
	199:5	20:2 27:20	
	144:20 188:11	203:24 215:17	
	168:11,12	30:8 31:3,12	
	183:8 187:7	32:5 33:10,	
	187:12	32:6 33:10,	
	197:12	32:7 33:10,	
	207:12	32:8 33:10,	
	217:12	32:9 33:10,	
	227:12	32:10 33:10,	
	237:12	32:11 33:10,	
	247:12	32:12 33:10,	
	257:12	32:13 33:10,	
	267:12	32:14 33:10,	
	277:12	32:15 33:10,	
	287:12	32:16 33:10,	
	297:12	32:17 33:10,	
	307:12	32:18 33:10,	
	317:12	32:19 33:10,	
	327:12	32:20 33:10,	
	337:12	32:21 33:10,	
	347:12	32:22 33:10,	
	357:12	32:23 33:10,	
	367:12	32:24 33:10,	
	377:12	32:25 33:10,	
	387:12	32:26 33:10,	
	397:12	32:27 33:10,	
	407:12	32:28 33:10,	
	417:12	32:29 33:10,	
	427:12	32:30 33:10,	
	437:12</td		

198:23 202:25	210:9,12	132:21	218:3,4,5
209:19	harm	136:17,23	Harper's
Green's	108:5	137:4,8,15,25	144:4 168:17
42:22	harmed	140:19,21	hat
ground	148:5	146:12 147:3,	143:1 171:8
124:18	Harper	13,14,19,20	hear
grounds	4:9 21:16	24 153:1,9,14	23:20 213:9
76:11 122:2	27:14 29:17	154:13	hearing
group	31:20,25	155:15,18,24	80:22 105:16
13:15	43:8,17,20	156:4,14,20	hearsay
guardrail	53:11 57:18	157:2,11,14,	129:16,22
50:3,6 52:7	65:24 69:6	25 166:6,8,	130:9 131:17
Gudemi	73:2,4 75:9	21,23 167:5	136:3 158:18,
5:7,12 6:2	78:23 81:12,	22,24 159:24	163:12
9:9,19 14:19	16 82:4 91:22	170:1 171:6,	heavily
73:10,13	92:12,14,16	21 172:6,7,11	60:6 130:9
101:9 203:7	94:6,16 95:7,	173:21 174:2,	height
	12 96:1,4,17	8,10,15,16,24	190:2,8,10,14
H	97:2,11,20	175:3,24,25	195:21
half	98:11 99:6,	176:5,17,20,	help
	10,17 100:21	23 178:11	6:25 14:2,6,
	101:2 102:8	179:16	21 27:18,23
hammer	103:20	180:20,24,25	36:1,5 38:14
209:23	104:15,17	181:15,20,22	helped
hand	105:4,7,8,25	182:13 186:25	88:23
21:2 183:5	106:4,21,22	193:4,5,24	helping
187:4 202:21	107:12,18,20	196:16,24	87:20
handle	108:3 109:5,	197:18 88:22	Hey
197:10	16,21,25	101:20 155:11	13:3
handling	110:20 111:2,	183:8 187:7	Hi
16:13	3 113:3,22,25	202:21	48:1
hang	115:5,10,17	209:20,21	highest
171:8	122:22,23	210:2,3,20,21	52:23
happens	125:7,13	211:24,25	
140:15	126:3,15,25	212:8,10,11,	
happy	127:2,5,9,12,	16,21,24	
36:5 99:19	21 128:2,5,8,	213:2,7,20,	
106:24 179:3	17,24 129:5,	24,25 214:6,	
191:6,7,14,19	7,18,19,24	9,25 215:10,	
	130:3,21,24	15,22 216:2,	
	131:3,19	4,7,9,14,16,	
		23 217:11	

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

60:2 87:12	instance	9	118:10 119:6
211:3	145:9	interim	120:23 128:7
indication	instances	42:13,17	141:24 142:23
14:15	155:6	43:15,22	144:4 150:20
individual	instructed	intermediate	161:14 162:20
13:14	31:19 110:9	165:14 168:18	
individually	instruction	173:16	issued
169:7	106:1 177:7,9	179:15 183:6	134:9
inducement	178:3 211:21	interrogatories	187:6 215:10
145:18	214:22 215:2		
industry	instructions	invoice	issues
81:24 135:17	117:1 123:23	4:6 25:16	
140:16	177:10,20	8:25 36:4,10,	
145:11,12	178:20 179:8	28:10 58:21	
187:21	180:15 207:21	117:22 123:18	
	208:2,5,24	122,23 38:4,5,	
inferring	209:23	139:5 140:23	
57:15 156:23	211:14,24	143:22 147:13	
initial	214:7 215:11	175:19	
31:5,14 32:7,	217:10,13,16	62:2	
12 183:17	61:22	invoices	180:13,18
		207:6 217:4	
insufficient	involved	item	
116:2 121:5	25:19 26:18	25:19 26:18	
189:23 190:25	27:21 28:8	28:4 117:19	
145:22	60:6 192:1	121:15,17	
insignificant	197:18	142:18 151:15	
171:1		involvement	188:10
insinuated	113:15	items	
156:11,16	118:14 154:11	26:8,9,10,17,	
insofar	160:10,16	18,19,23	
142:11	172:17 177:12	27:1,4,9,24	
inspections	intend	28:4 48:9	
177:15	175:4	49:12 50:2	
installation	intention	53:21,23,25	
5:18 6:16	182:2	118:2 119:15	
151:22 184:16	183:2 184:16	issue	121:14 143:25
188:4 196:21	197:3,11	203:10	
installed	28:21	J	
77:2 81:21	58:1 64:25	J.D.	
151:20	68:6,14	187:3,10,22	
	115:24		
	107:11 139:8,		
	116:19,21		

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

highly	hour	180:2 186:16	include
16:7 81:22	97:3	5:17 6:16	5:16,17,22
hire	hours	51:16,17,22	11:18,19,20
7:5,9,22 9:3,	127:15	111:8 161:5	21:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
5,8,12,13	hours'	192:22	22:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
19:14 72:25	115:11,14	implementation	23:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
74:4,9 88:5	120:23 62:1	193:14	24:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
101:19 134:4	60:24 61:16,	incompletion	25:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
hired	18 113:1	81:18	26:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
9:5 16:25	hundred	incorporated	27:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
38:23 73:9,	124:10	111:15	28:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
17,18,22	160:24 161:6,	113:12,17,21	29:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
74:4,5,11	186:25	173:18,22	30:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
87:22 88:12	196:18 205:16	imply	31:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
155:15	196:25 197:2,	196:25 197:2	32:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
hiring	151:5	implying	33:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
88:2 147:7		59:3	34:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
history	I	184:3	35:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
60:16	idea	importance	36:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
holder	40:7 91:23	5:13 151:20,	37:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
23:23 24:6,8	133:22 166:24	21 204:5	38:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
117:16 154:21	196:18 205:16	increase	39:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
156:9	196:25 197:2,	119:15 120:12	40:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
holistic	156:10	121:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	41:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
117:2	166:5,6	122:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	42:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
home	167:25 172:1,	123:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	43:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
14:3 76:19	18	124:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	44:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
209:24 211:1,	identification	125:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	45:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
2	5:21,24 28:15	126:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	46:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
homeowner	33:16 36:17	127:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	47:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
142:9	200:18	128:1,2,3,4,5,6,7	48:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
homes	identified	130:13 133:11	49:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
188:2	103:1 123:14	134:20	50:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
honesty	188:14 207:7	140:12,24	51:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
214:11	identify	146:15,25	52:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
	171:22 214:12	156:11,22	53:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
Honor's	171:22 214:12	157:7,16,18	54:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
174:6	ignore	158:9,12,13,	55:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
hopefully	132:19	64:3	56:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
92:18 211:10	imagine	64:4,6	57:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:7,8	58:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:9	59:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:10	60:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:11	61:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:12	62:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:13	63:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:14	64:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:15	65:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:16	66:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:17	67:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:18	68:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:19	69:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:20	70:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:21	71:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:22	72:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:23	73:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:24	74:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:25	75:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:26	76:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:27	77:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:28	78:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:29	79:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:30	80:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:31	81:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:32	82:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:33	83:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:34	84:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:35	85:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:36	86:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:37	87:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:38	88:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:39	89:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:40	90:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:41	91:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:42	92:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:43	93:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:44	94:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:45	95:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:46	96:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:47	97:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:48	98:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:49	99:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:50	100:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:51	101:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:52	102:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:53	103:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:54	104:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:55	105:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:56	106:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:57	107:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:58	108:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:59	109:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:60	110:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:61	111:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:62	112:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:63	113:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:64	114:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:65	115:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:66	116:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:67	117:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:68	118:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:69	119:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:70	120:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:71	121:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:72	122:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:73	123:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:74	124:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
		64:75	125:1,2,3,4,5,6,7
	</td		

Lane	10:21 78:19	55:12,14	146:15,23,24
203:1	97:21 98:18	140:3 207:19	147:8 166:13
language	lawyer	legal	167:8 194:18
68:23 118:18	16:17 95:25	126:17 132:14	licenses
174:25	128:25 159:10	148:21 153:5	23:19
larger	lawyers	161:14 167:19	life
184:19 185:9	110:11,13	168:9 171:20	93:10
191:10,13,19	lay	173:14,20	light
late	93:25 129:4,5	175:7,18,22	170:13
208:13	131:15 147:6	176:15 177:19	likes
latest	158:16,17,20,	186:1 197:23	216:3
155:3	23 159:15	187:20	legally
law	162:15,25	197:21	169:6
18:15 57:5	163:7 166:25	198:20	legitimate
94:12,21	184:8 207:22	199:1	139:21
95:14,21,24	218:9	201:25	length
96:1 109:18	leader	201:26	133:22
122:7 126:19,	48:20,22 65:5	201:27	line
23,25 127:4	76:8 88:20	201:28	30:1 183:21
128:3,9 130:4	leading	201:29	14:4,16,20
132:18 133:1,	99:14 152:14	201:30	25 184:5
9 140:12,13,	leak	201:31	15:2,10,18
18,24 148:20,	151:18	201:32	188:7 189:23
22,23 152:8	53:21 54:5,7,	201:33	16:1,21,23
153:3,5	11,16 55:11	201:34	190:10
156:11,19,22,	87:19 175:1,3	201:35	18:5,8,18,22
23 157:8,12,	learned	201:36	203:11,16,19,
13,16,17,18,	155:16	201:37	20:3,8,15
19,21,23	leave	201:38	25 204:17,23,
158:2,9,10,	130:6	201:39	21:1,22 22:1,
12,13,14,19,	leaves	201:40	22 23:10,16
23 159:6	45:13 65:21	201:41	24:2,4 25:8,
165:5	92:7 115:9	201:42	13,22 27:7
laws	leaving	201:43	28:13 29:9
94:22 111:11,	68:6 175:6	201:44	30:21 33:14
18 118:8	216:2	201:45	34:3,8 35:3
126:13 128:13	left	201:46	38:1,4 39:23
148:11 159:22	4:13 42:9	201:47	41:12,16,23
160:4 166:17	45:16,18,20	201:48	42:5 45:4
lawsuit	54:18,22,24	201:49	46:1 47:5,15,

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

M	76:15 77:5,12	70:12	32:6,7,9
M.D.	78:1,13 79:7,	35:11 37:13	35:11 37:13
57:5	18,25 80:4,	160:23	85:25 86:8
	10,14 83:4,	195:25	195:25
ma'am	14,18 84:5	manufacture	matters
	85:7,11	151:23	124:20
5:11 6:4,7,	86:12,24	manufactured	Matthew
10,13,18,22	87:2,6,16,17,	81:21 151:20	27:21 28:3
7:1 8:3,7	7:1 8:3,7	23:22 25:11	72:7 74:21
10:7,12,16,23	24 88:4,13,17	36:24 53:20	196:1,8
11:4,10,16,21	89:1,11 90:7	mark	meaning
12:13,22	91:12,15	54:12	53:7,9
13:10,21,23	112:4,10,20	marked	meaningful
14:4,16,20	114:8 183:21,	5:20,23	96:6 118:13
15:2,10,18	14:4,16,20	28:14,18	133:15
16:1,21,23	25 184:5	33:15 36:16	160:10,16
190:10	19:10:16	94:18 200:17	172:17
18:5,8,18,22	206:3,22	mason	meant
203:11,16,19,	207:3,10	187:25	58:5
20:3,8,15	212:24 214:25	match	measure
21:1,22 22:1,	28:13 29:9	74:17	41:14 137:20
22 23:10,16	30:21 33:14	maintain	measured
24:2,4 25:8,	34:3,8 35:3	24:16 54:20,	146:4 151:1
13,22 27:7	38:1,4 39:23	23 55:2,3	material
28:13 29:9	41:12,16,23	169:9 188:13	186:3
30:21 33:14	42:5 45:4	malpractice	186:4
34:3,8 35:3	22 48:11	136:16	measures
38:1,4 39:23	49:7,19,22	47:19 49:5	152:6 163:25
41:12,16,23	50:4,16,22	51:23 122:5,	207:12
42:5 45:4	51:13,19,24	8,11,18	141:14 150:19
46:1 47:5,15,	52:3 54:6,10,	141:14 150:19	mechanical
22 48:11	15 56:2,10,20	168:22	103:9
49:7,19,22	58:4,20,24	120:6	manager
50:4,16,22	60:8 61:18	18:15 19:3	12:15 19:5
51:13,19,24	62:5,16,19,24	20:7,10 31:4	materials
52:3 54:6,10,	63:3,7,9,18,	42:14,18,23	12:9 150:8,24
15 56:2,10,20	22,24 64:7,14	43:15,23	Matt
58:4,20,24	65:6 66:11,17	195:4 196:21	174:20,23
60:8 61:18	71:4,21 75:25	30:19,20	medical
62:5,16,19,24		31:2,4,12,14	129:13
63:3,7,9,18,			
22,24 64:7,14			
65:6 66:11,17			
71:4,21 75:25			

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

live	long	love	131:5 134:24
187:22 207:18	91:20 177:20	172:7	137:11,16
local	178:15 181:10	Luken	140:11,20,22
25:20 28:11	182:3,11	4:8,13,16,22	144:7 149:1,
34:4 106:10,	185:24 192:2	5:22 21:17,18	2,12 150:14
12 117:17	196:11 201:22	27:16,17	156:18 157:5
192:25	208:4 210:19	28:16 29:21	165:14 166:2,
located	213:7 216:24	31:18 32:4	9,19 167:17
63:6 159:3	longer	33:17 36:18	171:5 172:3
172:24	55:7	43:10,11,18,	173:3,13
location	looked	24 45:5,7,8	174:4,12
47:9 78:21	60:9 196:10	175:1,10,17	175:12,14
79:4,9,23	53:12,14	176:8,14	177:1,3,10
83:15,16 90:8	57:19,23,24	177:3 178:8,	115:17
107:9,14	65:16,25 66:3	12,19 179:13	mind
108:9,13	142:23 143:7	180:10,21	166:10
110:23 111:14	19:13,16	181:14,16	mitigation
117:7,9	81:14,19	182:2 183:2,	105:10 165:20
123:14,16	82:9,16 60:14	15 186:24	minds
131:21,22,24	78:6,7,9	187:2,14	144:12
134:25 135:5	84:13 88:4	193:1,9,17,21	minor
139:23 140:1,	92:3 93:24	196:14 197:21	105:18 108:15
3 154:17,19	94:13 95:5,	199:8,11,18,	130:18
172:25 174:1	10,17 96:12,	20 200:11,19	modification
185:2,6,8,17,	24 97:15 98:7	125:11 153:15	188:1
18 186:13,14	188:6,7,10	156:7	modifications
195:6,13,17	99:4,8,14,23	12:212	105:23 106:6
202:4,13	204:8 208:7	18:1,2,6	107:1 112:2
204:3,16,19	211:7	210:21	211:17
207:1,9,12	100:16 102:5,	68:2:17 19:17	115:20 122:16
locations	202,25 103:17,	19:22 179:17	moving
11:10 173:9	21 104:12	181:2,6	13:4 26:2
204:14,22	23 215:2,11,	210:21	211:17
205:4	218:1,7,12,17	68:2:1 178:15	209:9
locked	Lukens	199:13	multiple
210:11	13 64:23	20:14 21:11	139:1
logged	65:4,11 99:23	21:2 21:12	N
62:15	100:1,9,11	22:19	narrow
logic	101:6	23:1 24:1,11	82:7,8,10
18:25	Louis's	24:2 25:3,3	nailed
	49:6	25:14 26:1	180:13
	127:25 128:3,	27:16 28:1	nature
	7 130:14,25	29:12 30:1	5:9 7:12,14

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

M	197:1,3,10	misunderstood	4:23,24
M.D.	18,25 80:4,	115:17	208:17,23
57:5	10,14 83:4,	mitigate	211:9 217:1
	14,18 84:5	166:10	motion
ma'am	85:7,11	mitigation	105:10 165:20
	5:11 6:4,7,	169:5	minds
10,13,18,22	10,13,18,22	176:5	modification
7:1 8:3,7	21 22 23:1,1	105:18 108:15	30:11
10:7,12,16,23	24 25:1 26:1	132:4 148:4	moved
11:4,10,16,21	27 28:1 29:1	143:1 144:1	modifications
12:13,22 13:1	30 31:1 32:1	105:23 106:6	188:1
13:10,21,23	33 34:1 35:1	107:1 112:2	moving
14:1,2 15:1	36 37:1 38:1	211:17	13:4 26:2
15:1,2 16:1	39 40:1 41:1	211:17	211:17 220:12 221:16
16:1,2 17:1	42 43:1 44:1	209:9	multiple
17:1,2 18:1	45 46:1 47:1	105:19 217:23	13:4 26:2
18:1,2 19:1	48 49:1 50:1	12:19 178:15	N
19:1,2 20:1	51 52:1 53:1	199:13	narrowed
20:1,2 21:1	54 55:1 56:1	20:1,2 21:1	nailed
21:1,2 22:1	57 58:1 59:1	22:19,24	180:13
22:1,2 23:1	60 61:1 62:1	23:20 54:18,	5:9 7:12,14
23:1,2 24:1	63 64:1 65:1	21 55:12,14	11:1,19 12:25
24:1,2 25:1	66 67:1 68:1	30:2 31:2,3	12:25
25:1,2 26:1	69 70:1 71:1	32:21,23	13:25 14:5,9
26:1,2 27:1	72 73:1 74:1	193:18,19	15:10,20
27:1,2 28:1	75 76:1 77:1	146:5	161:25
28:1,2 29:1	78 79:1 80:1	35:23 53:3	16:3,4,12,18,
29:1,2 30:1	81 82:1 83:1	68:7,11	17:13 19:20
30:1,2 31:1	84 85:1 86:1	20:1,21 21:6	22:19,24
31:1,2 32:1	87 88:1 89:1	22:19,24	23:3,4,6,12,
32:1,2 33:1	90 91:1 92:1	21 55:12,14	19 24:11 25:6
33:1,2 34:1	93 94:1 95:1	30:22 31:2,3	30:2 31:2,3
34:1,2 35:1	96 97:1 98:1	32:21,23	32:21,23
35:1,2 36:1	99 100:1 101:1	193:18,19	34:15 35:20
36:1,2 37:1	102 103:1 104:1	146:5	36:3,8 37:18,
37:1,2 38:1	105 106:1 107:1	29:19	41:24
38:1,2 39:1	108 109:1 110:1	29:22,24	42:3,13,18</td

43:14 44:3	131:23	143:5 146:15,	25 28:8 30:4
45:18,20	133:12,17	25 150:13	37:21 38:11
46:9,11,18	138:4,6,12	153:4 155:12	87:21 107:9,
47:11,17	140:9 146:14,	156:1,11,22	14 110:23
48:20,22	152:13	157:7,16,18	111:1 115:11,
49:5,8 50:20	154:4,15	158:9,12,13,	14 117:13,20
51:6,16,21	161:3,17,19	19 159:6,13,	124:7,9
52:1,4,9,14,	162:1 163:19,	24 174:16	127:12 134:8
20,22,25	21 164:9,13	183:19 200:5	155:4 156:1,7
54:12,18,21,	165:10 182:23	207:7	170:13 175:4,
25 56:2 57:16	188:15 191:5,	5 206:20	
58:2,22 59:3,	196:18	207:10	
4,7 60:3,12	197:7	nine	notices
61:2 62:7	153:6	176:7 193:17,	155:1,14
63:21 64:17	175:20	19	notification
65:2 66:23	negligence	206:25 207:4,	132:3
67:5 70:4	175:20	6	notified
71:14,24	negotiate	93:22 94:5,7	37:1 138:25
72:3,7,16	112:5 141:1,6	nonresponsive	139:4
73:22,24	112:9 114:11	86:7	notify
74:2,4,11	Neither	noncompliant	154:24
76:8,12 77:2	162:8	noncompliant	notion
80:6,7,8	new	93:22 94:5,7	136:22
82:9,11 83:2,	22:20,25	nonresponsive	notwithstanding
23 85:8 88:22	23:8,14 24:5	88:11	172:8
90:5,17 91:4,	25:7 27:6	noon	nuclear
6,14 96:20	normal	92:2	number
97:23,24,25	146:3 90:8,9	11:10 23:8,15	12:22 38:2
98:13,16,24	94:22,24,25	33:20,23	13:20,22
99:11,19	95:13,21,24	34:2,3,10,16,	14:21 15:23
101:14	97:18 111:10,	19,20 47:2	15:15 196:19
102:12,15	18 122:6	70:20 84:7	paid
104:7 108:22	126:23 127:3	87:3 97:3	13:22 38:2
111:8,15	128:3,5,9	note	55:18 20:22
112:6,7	130:12 133:11	123:23 124:6,	120:16 164:16
117:15,25	134:20	8 166:12	196:22
118:3,7,12,16	140:12,24	notes	12:6 48:14
119:3,17	25:25 27:21,	177:13 185:22	80:7 157:4
120:2 121:20		203:10	owner
123:15 124:13		205:10,12	91:7
125:4 127:22		211:21 212:19	12:8 48:14
130:20,22			55:17 58:9

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

51:9,13,14	21,23 186:2	paperwork	85:25 86:9,20
95:20 117:18	192:17	paragraph	87:7,14,18,25
153:21,23	194:12,13	owner's	88:18 89:19
originally	170:22 177:1	6:2 11:8,10,	195:25 196:1,
15:16 51:8	61:10 104:1	11,17,22 12:3	8 206:19
ought	184:21	50:6,18,22,23	Parker's
215:19	P	66:9,17 68:21	74:21 88:7
outside	69:25 71:6	108:15	parole
36:7 37:17,19	72:10 80:13	11:19 12:4	part
80:22 105:16	98:1 116:14	55:24 56:1,3	11:18 113:1
113:6 182:20	117:5,7	59:15 61:20	114:18 136:5
196:14	76:2 79:16	119:3,7,17,24	137:3 149:22
overall	87:9 134:16	120:8,14	80:14 88:6
184:3	127:17 128:12	100:5 103:11	105:7 110:10
overhead	129:2 131:6,7	129:2 131:6,7	112:18 113:1
191:13,14	136:17 137:14	136:17 137:14	114:18 136:5
overlap	138:11,24	137:13 149:22	137:13 149:22
165:16	148:8 149:3	152:5 166:3	152:5 166:3
overnighted	153:8 64:21	161:8,9,14,	171:19 188:24
65:1	199:19,23,24	194:13 196:9	194:13 196:9
overpass	200:9 202:9,	18,22 166:18	200:7 205:21
189:4	23 203:2,12	172:2,18	participate
Overruled	204:10,25	174:6	207:25
43:21 57:22	205:1,7	paragraphs	participated
73:6 78:24	206:18,23	11:7 54:2	14:12
99:15 196:19	80:7 157:4	153:12 167:25	particular
oversee	153:12 167:25	81:20 102:21	Pardon
120:16 164:16	paid	64:24	118:17 130:8
196:22	12:6 48:13,	parents	184:7 203:17
owes	22,23 168:16	71:1	particularized
80:7 157:4	pains	81:23	Parker
owner	91:7	27:18,20,21	particularly
12:8 48:14	pair	28:4,22 29:5	140:16
55:17 58:9	7:12	30:20 31:2,12	parties
68:24 69:11,	paper	32:6 34:23	105:18 112:17
15,18 139:3,	23:12 44:14,	35:11,25	136:14 147:10
16 171:9,10,	13,14 184:15,	36:23 37:6,13	164:22
	17	66:8 72:8	

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

213:1,3,21	16:18 55:1	offering	opine
215:2	124:12 165:4	212:11	129:12 149:23
numbered	168:20	official	opining
54:1	observable	34:6,11 88:5	82:2
numbers	162:15	officially	opinion
47:1	observations	18:2 68:15,17	82:2 93:25
O	77:25 78:12	old	96:14 129:4,
object	observe	187:24	5,8,16 130:17
107:18 209:9	187:24	once	131:16 133:8
216:11,12,14	159:4 162:4	138:23 155:16	138:23 124:6,
218:5	168:4 178:21	139:21 146:21	147:5 158:20,
objecting	217:3	147:5 158:20,	24 159:8,9,
216:25	obtain	166:25	21:12,18
objection	216:36 20:20	167:11,12	131:10 135:21
21:16 27:14	51:5 85:18	opinions	171:2,8 176:9
43:8,17 53:11	124:13 177:15	109:15 132:22	5:10 14:8
57:18 69:6	151:9 104:1	158:15,16,17	14:22 180:6
73:2,3,4	161:12	159:15,16	22 96:10
75:9,14,15	171:12,14	opportunity	103:22,25
78:23 93:24	215:11,12,14	31:24 141:1,6	107:15
94:13 95:5,	216:11,12,24	171:16 188:22	104:2,6,11
10,22 96:12,	171:16 188:22	opposed	106:11,12
24 98:7 99:4,	171:16 188:22	31:23	119:1 128:13
14 100:18	188:2	option	136:5 138:4
102:5 103:17	140:4	76:9 208:22	142:15 143:4,
104:12 105:1	186:11 186:12	24 28:11	6 150:13
113:22 124:8	46:3,4,7,9,12	62:14 63:13,	153:20,23
172:9 193:4	47:3,7,12,18	21 64:17	154:1 177:15
196:14 197:21	51:3,12	92:20 161:22	permitted
209:20 215:13	52:16,25 60:3	15:18,25	116:17 117:8,
218:4	64:21 65:14,	33:4,6,12,21	10,11 123:25
objective	15	16:20,14,22,	121:9,11,12
82:13	offer	34:24 35:11,	141:24 155:21
obligated	7:2,4	175:3 177:17	7,9,12 47:3,
50:20 51:5	offered	ordinances	9:21,23 58:12
117:16	48:3,4 58:12	118:9 126:14	51:10 113:14,
obligation	158:15,17	148:11 159:22	19 117:16,18
11:12,18 12:2	196:13,20	160:4 166:17	85:5,10

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

parties'	perennial	143:11,24	perpetuate
177:14	66:7	153:21 154:22	134:10,18
parts	155:12 156:9	Perfect	person
144:5,6	57:13	161:11 162:4,	120:21 123:12
party	8:22 70:5	6,8,12,14,18,	127:10 147:7
140:25	151:17 153:16	20,23 164:2,8	162:25
path	50:5,8,9,10	172:22 173:10	164:18,24
pay	8:22 37:22	performance	165:2 166:25
38:3 57:14,16	79:2 72:1	154:21,22	191:18
58:2,11,23	97:25	permits	person's
59:3 61:23	12:8 48:14,18,	24:12,14 29:5	18:21 218:9
62:2 85:18	55:17 31:9	38:17,22	21:1 78:12
102:12,18	62:2 85:18	50:18,19	121:3
131:10 135:21	102:12,18	51:1,4,5,8,	personally
171:2,8 176:9	171:2,8 176:9	13,18,20,24	71:2 77:9,20
5:10 14:8	176:9 188:22	52:8 67:11	personnel
14:22 180:6	188:2 198:22	85:13,15,18,	19:7
22 96:10	198:2 198:22	20:9 21:10	pertains
103:22,25	198:2 198:22	104:2,6,11	132:11
107:15	20:9 21:10	104:2,6,11	pertains
104:2,6,11	20:9 21:10	105:13,14	132:11
106:11,12	20:9 21:10	106:11,12	pharmacist
119:1 128:13	20:9 21:10	119:1 128:13	96:3
136:5 138:4	20:9 21:10	136:5 138:4	phone
142:15 143:4,	20:9 21:10	142:15 143:4,	12:17 41:1,3,
6 150:13	20:9 21:10	6 150:13	7 61:19 63:2
153:20,23	20:9 21:10	153:20,23	179:17 183:23
154:1 177:15	20:9 21:10	154:1 177:15	photograph
116:17 117:8,	20:9 21:10	154:1 177:15	188:16 189:21
10,11 123:25	20:9 21:10	154:1 177:15	physical
121:9,11,12	20:9 21:10	154:1 177:15	77:25 84:9
141:24 155:21	20:9 21:10	154:1 177:15	physically
172:25 173:9	20:9 21:10	154:1 177:15	70:15,17
172:25 173:9	20:9 21:10	154:1 177:15	permitted
173:9	20:9 21:10	154:1 177:15	picked
173:9	20:9 21:10	154:1 177:15	123:15
173:9	20:9 21:10	154:1 177:15	picture
173:9	20:9 21:10	154:1 177:15	12:16 126:21
173:9	20:9 21:10	154:1 177:15	12:17 10 186:6
173:9	20:9 21:10	154:1 177:15	189:18

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

pictures	plaintiff's	23 200:17,23, 5:20,24 10:24	pled	145:19 167:25
78:3,5		24 201:14		
piece		202:5 203:6, 18 33:15,18	pointed	82:20 128:24
23:12 34:6		9,15 214:20		
44:14,17		217:24	points	
46:20 49:7			20:5 48:13	
76:18 143:8			54:1 87:20	
170:18 189:12			portion	
pieces		60:21 87:4	6:8 84:10	
137:22 188:22		7:11 40:15	199:13	
pin		46:2 51:9	202:17,19	
6:20		56:11,13,14, 15 57:12	portions	
pitch		72:25 74:12, 188:17 192:15	19:9 120:16	
192:20		13,17,18,20, 193:2,7	136:19 137:2, 3,19 138:19	
place		22 75:12 90:9	161:20,23,25	
14:18 17:1		96:20,23	162:19 164:1, 16 175:12	
144:17 152:17		97:1,2,18	19:25 38:20	
161:6 186:23		111:17 114:15	184:4,18,19	
199:25		121:18 138:5	195:4 196:20	
placed		154:14 174:18	203:14 205:18	
163:9 186:15		196:4,7	projects	
218:2			13:12 184:11	
plaintiff		play	47:3,23 48:2	
4:8 115:20		66:7	12:6	
116:16 118:24			51:25	
119:7,24		played	proof	
120:14 126:10		134:19	116:2 117:4,6	
135:7 137:25		pledging	118:23 120:22	
149:3,9 160:7		100:19 166:3	121:1 122:4	
161:9,13,19,		pleadings	137:24 145:22	
24 162:2		125:8 171:20	146:7 168:14, 23 169:1,12	
163:21,22		212:10,14	proper	
164:10,12,14,		please	107:17,20	
23 166:12		20 115:19	108:12	
167:1 170:10		11:5 21:4	proposing	
172:15,20,22		23:25 24:9	185:15	
177:14 182:23		36:20 42:25	properly	
187:2 207:13		50:15 69:25	177:15	
213:6		7,9,24 163:2, 9 164:2,8	protection	
		200:14 212:12	154:3 207:8	
		213:17 179:12	prove	
		214:11 216:25	81:14,25	
		185:9,11,19,	58:9 63:5	

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

44:10 55:4	94:8,10 98:24	143:18,24	70:3 71:11,
61:17 68:2	103:13,16	157:10 169:14	19,24 80:13
70:6,12 71:25	120:17 133:12	proved	121:23 143:16
72:16 87:23	155:11 164:17	120:25	174:20
88:3 103:12,	170:18 173:1	proven	140:13
16 106:11	184:7 189:20	121:16 137:10	pull
119:8 120:16	192:18,22	142:15,16	165:24
136:19 137:2, 3,19 138:19	194:24 195:2, 4 206:4	151:2 160:18	pulled
161:20,23,25	161:7,24	161:7,24	106:13
162:19 164:1, 16 175:12	164:23 169:15	164:23 169:15	purchased
19:25 38:20	10:14 16:9	10:14 16:9	124:13 192:18
44:5,6,8,10,	24:13 70:5	24:13 70:5	purchasing
11,15,18,19, 22 23,45:10,	76:10 80:9	76:10 80:9	18:15
23 46:1,24	83:3,23	83:3,23	purpose
13:12 184:11	105:25 109:14	105:25 109:14	18:20 105:2
47:3,23 48:2	117:17 118:16	117:17 118:16	118:14,17
49:4 51:17,23	120:15 121:20	120:15 121:20	160:11,16
52:2,3 91:4,5	144:22,23	144:22,23	172:17
149:11 161:2	164:15 168:18	164:15 168:18	190:19,21
51:19	192:19	192:19	
7:14 19:20,22	provided	provided	purposely
20:18,21	7:14 17:23	7:14 17:23	197:23
51:25	40:9 68:1	40:9 68:1	purposes
proof	96:20 104:7	96:20 104:7	15:6 44:20
116:2 117:4,6	111:11 131:22	111:11 131:22	159:14
118:23 120:22	proposed	proposed	pursuant
121:1 122:4	149:25 151:25	149:25 151:25	8:22
137:24 145:22	161:13 163:3	161:13 163:3	pursue
146:7 168:14, 23 169:1,12	164:11 168:19	164:11 168:19	109:19
205:4 211:20	95:13 123:1	95:13 123:1	put
214:7	139:2 174:19	139:2 174:19	6:19 30:10
proposing	providing	providing	39:11 48:25
107:17,20	11:2 18:23	11:2 18:23	78:20 79:9,22
108:12	37:17 48:2	37:17 48:2	124:17 125:20
properly	90:5 115:11	90:5 115:11	130:15 134:25
177:15	protection	protection	135:4 136:11
property	12:9 15:7	12:9 15:7	66:9 67:20,23
189:12	189:12	81:14,25	142:1 146:7,
poured	105:20	117:13 129:1	20 151:18
7,9,24 163:2, 9 164:2,8	200:14 212:12	131:12 141:13	155:12 163:8,
200:14 212:12	213:17 179:12		
214:11 216:25	214:11 216:25		
181:24 119:19,			

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

172:25 173:9	160:25 168:10	33:5,8 41:8	157:24,25
precast	182:22	67:11 76:16, 17 88:2 124:8	195:13
147:23 149:9	207:17,20	172:9 195:10, 14,15 204:13	proceed
precedent	166:25	24:24 183:1	4:15 10:3
132:23 161:21	presenting	proceedings	24:24 183:1
175:11 177:8		4:1,3,4	14:1,3,4
precisely	205:24	104:19 218:19	104:19 218:19
108:15 144:15	presuit	process	12:13
predates	174:21,23	7:23 18:12	12:13
88:9	presuming	89:12 110:16	12:13
predicate	80:24	166:3 168:4	processes
94:1,13	presumption	73:10 91:23	12:13
96:12,25	140:24 141:8, 9	108:5 115:16	12:13
102:5 103:17		116:7 141:12	produced
104:12 119:21		152:20 155:25	60:10,12
218:10		162:19 175:13	product
prefer	64:2,6 91:9	182:12 194:4	119:4
52:21 177:6	166:9 192:10	195:22 213:15	production
preferable	215:7	214:4 215:7	13:17
182:13	previous	18:1	products
preliminary	96:24	151:11	151:11
44:14,17	10:19 116:17	professional	6:24 57:7
prepare	117:8 123:25	62:4 57:7	15:20
9:4 10:18	price	72:12,15 91:9	120:22 148:14
prepared	45:1 48:2,4, 7,10 49:13	184:20	15:20
201:1,2		professor	136:14
presence	191:11,14	96:1	qualify
182:20	pricing	problems	95:25 177:23
present	5:15 20:11	102:6	190:3
110:3 135:1, 24 167:6,7	40:24 186:1	professional	quality
presentation	205:17	139:1 170:6	150:20
182:25	print	procedural	187:4
presented	180:20	157:22,24	149:19
110:18	printer	158:4,11	qualifying
116:13,20,22	180:18	168:8	25:4 97:22
128:19 129:17	printing	167:18	46:25
134:11 139:25	180:18	procedure	127:7 170:2
	prior	110:10	quarter
		181:24	179:22
		project	55:11 140:23
		108:16	query
		157:10,13 8:12,	55:18
		17 13:14,16	57:13,16
		14:5 16:13	58:2,23 59:4,
		20:6,10 31:3	5,7 60:19
		42:14,18,22	55:11 140:23
		43:15,22	Ratti
		43:15,22	163:25
			rebut
			145:4
			rebuttal
			115:15 165:23
			168:7 178:14, 76:18
			22,24 182:14, 25
			re-allowed
			103:13,16
			167:12
			reach
			16:25 87:25
			66:2,5 87:25
			68:24 129:25
			208:19
			37:23 38:5
			162:15
			20:22 20:19, 21:6 38:3
			107:8,13
			110:22,25
			received
			16:10 19:18, 20:22 20:19, 21:6 38:3
			30:14
			38:21 42:6
			10:2
			42:13,17
			realizing
			52:13 59:14
			raise
			30:14
			60:2 63:12
			87:1 91:3
			reapplication
			153:20
			203:7
			reasonable
			76:10 123:12,
			13 138:14
			36:13 91:5
			recess
			16:20,22 92:8
			21:22 21:6 38:3
			105:12 158:6
			181:7
			recognize
			57:5 59:20
			200:20
			recollection
			43:12 62:10
			reconcile
			55:24

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

17 165:22	quickest	101:6	rebut
168:6 178:25	quickly	59:18,20	rebuttal
182:4 186:7, 9,10,11,19, 20,22 188:25	92:19 181:4	115:15 165:23	
200:15	183:17 209:1	7:23 14:3	
202:12,14,16	210:18	76:18	
208:12 209:4	quite	25	
214:21	66:12 89:23	re-allowed	
putting	100:13 143:14	103:13,16	
20:25	182:18	167:12	
	quote	16:25 87:25	
</			

record	27:3 54:5	registering	remain
32:24 33:4	28:18 206:25	31:5,14 32:7,12	133:16 166:11
34:9 35:13	207:11	reject	119:10 168:6
82:14 105:13	120:21	remedied	120:17
117:17 132:10	143:17	relate	153:20 164:7
156:21 157:20	143:17	related	130:11,12
159:14 163:18	153:24 30:19	remedies	152:10 173:25
178:18 179:3	69:22 71:12,13	remedy	176:19 197:25
187:18	13 73:13	remind	170:7 214:16
199:13,15,25	111:13 198:21	relates	128:13 197:7
200:8,16	153:16 193:12	relationship	49:8
202:12,18	204:24	relative	143:10 168:19
212:6	204:24	reframe	214:8
recorded	32:13 130:7	relatively	213:11,14,19
25:20 26:10	153:16 193:12	removed	157:1 208:25
30:17 32:13,	204:24	relevance	155:10 184:3,6,
15,19 33:7,	204:24	regard	12,19 185:3
13,21,24	204:24	relevancy	102:10 137:18
34:25 35:2,	69:8	render	142:19,20
11,21,22	150:1	relevant	159:8,16
recording	150:1	relief	163:14
26:21 28:11	170:7	rendered	163:17 164:5
records	170:7	relies	170:7 164:5
34:11 62:8	170:7 78:10	renew	189:4,5
redirect	88:16 139:8	relief	190:4
21:15 31:25	149:21	renewed	190:11,14
92:15 199:7	100:19 105:1	rely	190:11,14
redo	151:1 28:4	relied	190:11,14
174:19	159:14	relief	190:11,14
redone	159:14	relief	190:11,14
12:9 85:20	182:15 118:18	relief	190:11,14
89:17	142:3 143:9	relief	190:11,14
redraw	182:15 118:18	relief	190:11,14
75:22 76:4	142:3 143:9	relief	190:11,14
reduced	128:11 138:11	relief	190:11,14
186:18,19	146:12 153:14	relief	190:11,14
reengaged	198:19	relief	190:11,14
8:22	29:7,16 30:5,	relief	190:11,14
reestablished	25 57:7	relief	190:11,14
	72:11,15	relief	190:11,14

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

retaining	161:5	rule	12,16,19 48:1
review	110:2 132:25	49:23 73:14	14,17,18
reviewed	138:1 162:3	83:18 91:15	197:22
reviewing	20:2	102:20,21	seal
rick	60:12 196:8	103:1,6,8	201:4
richard	132:16,19	147:17,21,25	seat
remington	56:22 57:1	197:12	second
removal	74:19 75:2,4,	12:3 44:3	secondary
remedy	5 76:1,5 87:9	60:11	section
remind	89:3 101:9	150:6 117:19	satisfaction
remington	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	152:5 156:7
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	216:22
remedy	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	satisfied
remind	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	17:1 17 214:10	36:14
removal	199:15 200:2	181:17 214:10	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	section
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	152:5 156:7
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	216:22
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15 200:2	12:3 44:3	secondary
removal	199:15 200:2	150:6 117:19	section
removal	199:15 200:2	170:16,22	satisfied
removal	199:15 200:2	177:1	16:11 19:7
removal	199:15		

26:15,25	185:9,11,19	small	126:4
38:24 40:14	189:14	21:2 172:24	South
43:4,6 45:13,	200:17,23	smaller	187:25
17,19,21 49:3	201:14 202:4	38:25 39:2	SP-21
51:9 53:1	203:6,9,15	42:8 75:23	200:24
54:13,18,22	site-specific	191:17	span
55:12 56:4,7,	154:16	40:8 136:12	40:8 136:12
10,12,14,18	sitting	147:22 185:24	183:5 187:5
57:10,12 64:5	210:9	someday	190:23,24
67:6 70:15,	situation	191:2 202:1,	191:2 202:1,
17,18,21,23	29:13 88:21	2,8	speak
71:1,16,18	135:25 185:6	159:10 163:11	70:12 144:3
72:15,25	situations	169:3	speaking
73:18,24	180:8 184:14	134:8 135:10	5:9 18:14
74:6,18,23,25	six	142:2 151:7	83:5 185:1
75:23 76:4,19	54:17,21	159:10 163:11	speaks
77:24 78:21	55:12,14	120:10 125:14	120:10 125:14
79:4,10,23	68:14 174:11	son	special
90:20,25	six-month	154:22	13:18
96:20,22	sixth	187:13	specialist
97:2,17	175:6	67:13,14	73:14
107:10,25	size	181:25	specialized
108:17,18,23	15:1 108:23,	16:8 109:13	16:8 109:13
109:3,8	24 116:15,17	159:7,18	163:13
110:24 111:1,	123:24,25	163:13	specific
8,11,12,17,22	124:3,5,24	17:23 40:10	17:23 40:10
112:12	125:2,10,15	127:24 140:14	118:15 168:19
113:12,16,19,	184:17 186:19	143:15 152:22	188:10
20 114:15	191:8 201:11,	154:2 192:19	specifically
117:21 119:20	13:20 1,9,11	10:17 89:2	10:17 89:2
120:5,19	159:7	50:25 123:7	93:1 116:14
124:15,25	skipping	137:12 142:18	121:1 144:15
125:1 134:22	50:12 203:12	146:10 164:18	146:10 164:18
135:6 136:7,	204:10 205:7,	sound	172:22
8,14 138:5	20 206:23	91:23	193:11,13
152:10 161:12	slabs	197:7	197:7
162:5,7,8,24	149:9	39:23 54:15	
163:2,9			
164:2,6,8,19,			
25 173:17			
174:18 183:18			
184:2,6,7			

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

structure	substantial	suggested	83:3,8,13,21,
13:11 93:22	123:11 124:1,	19:15	25
94:11 96:5,9,	4 129:2	suggesting	surveyed
19 97:17,23	131:20 135:2	58:6 97:11	11:19
98:3,5,14,17	136:18	125:3 171:6,	surveyor
102:2,9	138:10,24	15 204:2	194:22
105:19 120:13	204:9	suggests	suspect
171:2 190:22,	substantive	7:21 9:9,19	132:21
24 191:2	153:5 157:19,	59:12,13	sustained
195:6 198:12	23	125:4	27:15 43:9
stuff	substituted	suing	53:13 94:15
24:17 126:7	149:9	100:9	95:6,22 96:16
127:24 143:20	sue	suit	98:10 101:1
sub-consultant	55:18,20,23	sum	102:7 103:19
30:2 31:3	58:9,10,19	165:8	104:16 105:3
subject	63:14 175:4	198:2	
68:23 69:5	sued	support	183:5 187:5
79:14 109:12	100:11,12	72:22	swear
117:15 119:2	136:16	supported	4:20 183:13
124:8 129:10	sufficiency	215:23	187:12
130:14 171:10	167:20 168:9	supportive	152:6
subjective	169:10	89:11	sympathetic
118:22	sufficient	178:2	165:3
subjectively	69:21 70:5,9	supposed	T
119:12	119:6 120:7,	9:12 19:2	tag
subjectivity	25 128:21	120:3 146:2	19:2
69:14	131:20 138:7,	151:17 152:13	talks
submit	16 139:7	190:9	152:6
148:19 174:18	142:5 145:8	surprise	140:17 203:1
submitted	169:6	106:24	Tallahassee
30:15 46:2	sufficiently	106:24	140:17 203:1
81:15 110:17	70:12 98:13	surrounding	
155:24	120:15 121:10	132:12	153:6
subpoena	164:15,25	survey	tasked
108:4	suggest	10:11,15,18,	12:25 13:2,3,
subsequently	7:5 47:1 58:5	22 11:3,14	13,16,17,18,
97:24	106:22 136:19	138:24	19,24 14:1,4
substance	138:24	146:17,20	77:12 78:13
31:10 165:8	166:4 171:18	80:2,5,9	15:8 16:6,12

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

specificities	135:17 146:21	199:25	4:3
90:22	147:5 153:5	stated	Stenotype
67:20	167:4 168:13	62:11	202:25
specify	195:12	statement	step
29:25	167:2	35:1 77:15	115:7
specs	121:5	130:3 204:13	steps
173:15	16 129:16	33:9	Steve
spend	131:18 158:18	144:10	Stillwater
134:4,12	198:22	59:23,25	stipulate
150:6,10	states	214:13	214:13
spent	81:2 87:23	stipulated	82:25 88:19,
38:11 100:13	116:5 150:12	105:18	20:25 89:10,
208:7 210:10	180:22 184:10	107:3	21:1 22:1,2
211:7	199:24	stop	22:1 23:1
spirit	200:10,14	55:22	23:1 24:1
113:4	202:22 208:17	stream	24:1 25:1
spoke	212:18	40:8 41:11,	25:1 26:1
10:7 91:15	started	14,21,22 42:2	26:1 27:1
103:21 183:23	4:14 64:3	77:3 94:8,9	28:1 29:1
206:1,2	91:25 114:2	Statutes	30:1 31:1
spot	180:15 187:24	159:15	32:1 33:1
186:3,7,17	188:2,5	189:1,2,8,10,	34:1 35:1
208:12 209:5	200:13 211:22	20,22,25	36:1 37:1
stage	217:25	177:3	38:1 39:1
190:2	starting	190:1,6,7,25	40:1 41:1
124:17 185:16	116:14	204:7,8	42:1 43:1
195:13	203:2 204:10	strength	44:1 45:1
staked	205:1,7,22	190:22	46:1 47:1
185:2 195:6,	206:17,23	stricken	48:1 49:1
16	200:8	147:22	50:1 51:1
staking	state	171:17	53:1 54:1
179:6	11:24 23:7,14	149:14,19	55:1 56:1
stand	190:16,21,25	172:14,19	57:1 58:1
4:11 142:2	166:3 167:23	174:11	59:1 60:1
standard	32:5 94:21	strike	61:1 62:1
123:7 132:15	97:6 106:10,	133:24 165:20	63:1 64:1
	12 146:15,24	166:1 167:23	65:1 66:1
	177:25 187:18	168:1 169:14	67:1 68:1
		178:1 179:14	69:1 70:1
		180:1 181:14	71:1 72:1
		182:1 183:18	73:1 74:1
		188:9,20	75:1 76:1
		192:6 195:11	77:1 78:1
		197:23 207:18	79:1 80:1
		209:15 213:15	81:1 82:1
		testimony	83:1 84:1,2
		23:20,21 26:6	85:1 86:1
		43:13 48:23	87:1 88:1
		55:19 57:25	89:1 90:1,2
		58:8,15 64:1	91:1 92:1
		66:1 72:2,5,	93:1 94:1
		84:2 112:9,11	95:1 96:1
		7 77:1,7,13	97:1 98:1
		81:6 84:2,12,	99:1 100:1

www.phippsreporting.com
(888) 811-3408

test	testify	thinks	65:3 83:1
48:16 52:22	120:3 145:10	83:1 84:1	Thanks
55:24 56:1,3	164:1 166:15	89:22,25	48:1
73:25 88:19,	169:10	93:2,3,25	theoretically
20	test	96:8,14,18	146:16
teams	39:10	97:4 98:22	theory
13:12	testified	103:7 106:18	139:11
tear	4:20 17:17	108:16 112:24	they'd
150:11	22:18 83:14	116:18 117:9,	136:15 180:6
technical	124:11	15 119:5,9,15	thing
159:17	125:15,16,19	120:2,5,12	147:16 149:10
162:13,21	127:14 128:14	121:21 124:2,	15:20 53:16,
Technically	130:11,21	125:22,23	18 61:24 76:6
160:14	131:3 132:1	127:16 128:19	third
telling	135:4 136:4,	131:20	200:4
34:11 49:20	24 138:3,15,	135:18,19	third-party
87:25 115:18	17 147:21,24,	140:15 145:17	147:16 149:10
tells	25 159:2,20	136:2 137:10	15:18 169:3
6:8 37:14,15	161:4 183:13,	138:21 139:7,	17:8 1 208:17
38:14 65:4	22 187:12	25 143:12	217:7
136:10 144:5	189:19 195:8,	144:25 145:2,	thoroughly
20 198:4	20 198:4	8 146:16,22	157:15 162:3
temporar	8 147:1,2	147:1,2	thoughts
54:9	23:18 109:9,	149:7,13,17	164:4
ten	10 121:4	150:14 151:4,	threatening
72:17 97:3	125:24 128:15	12 152:5	55:18,20,22
176:13 177:2	138:9 159:4,	153:19,24	58:9,10,19
ten-minute	5,11 162:22	158:20 159:17	60:19
65:20	163:5	167:8 168:19	thoroughly
tenth	172:8 123:2	182:5 183:18	157:15 162:3
176:16,21	141:14,20	188:9,20	thoughts
term	173:15	192:6 195:11	164:4
57:8 81:20,23	43:13 48:23	197:23 207:18	three
114:14	55:19 57:25	209:15 213:15	55:18,20,22
122:8 123:2	58:8,15 64:1	213:15 214:1	58:9,10,19
testimony	66:1 72:2,5,	215:24 216:2	45:22
23:20,21 26:6	84:2 112:9,11	217:24 218:1	
7:15 16:7	7 77:1,7,13	219:24 220:1	
Texas	81:6 84:2,12,	221:24 222:1	
59:12,13	81:6 84:2,12,	223:24 224:1	

three-year	torn	trouble	U
14:8	150:17	86:10	
thrown	totally	true	ultimate
84:7	185:13,15	8:7 14:20	107:16
Thursday	touch	20:7 21:8	unable
200:5	5:1 86:10,21	49:19 55:19	80:8 98:22
tie	87:8 88:19	60:8 64:13	unavoidable
143:22	town	85:19 89:13	208:9
tied	33:20,22	103:25 104:4,	unaware
135:9	42:15	6 114:24	95:15
till	Township	139:20,21	uncertain
55:3	63:5	142:17	40:23
timeline	traffic	160:11,17	uncommon
25:10 29:1	179:13,14	183:6,7	184:20
timely	train	187:6,7	underlined
154:2	51:2	two	47:13
times	trained	25:25 38:17	undertaken
14:21 25:4	164:18	39:20,22	184:4
39:4,13,16	training	54:19 75:17	unequivocally
70:20 71:9,25	159:7,11	115:11,13,14	141:5
86:1 104:19	163:14	118:2 124:10	unfair
timing	transcribed	143:21 147:10	82:12
208:7	4:5	151:11 158:14	unfortunately
title	transcript	167:1,2 169:1	25:10
18:3,4,5,7, 11,16,19,21, 24 22:7 42:17	58:14 199:12, 14 202:9	175:1 179:2	unique
57:4	transcripts	180:15 184:24	128:10
tonight	207:15	197:2 209:2,9	132:12,18,20
180:3 182:12	treated	78:11	unpredictable
210:16 217:3,	178:23	type	208:7
14	trial	90:15 178:1	unquote
4:3 23:18	190:20	190:20	137:21
tool	types	unreasonable	unreasonable
144:16	110:9 113:11	69:16,18	69:11
top	129:21 140:19	184:11	unrebutted
50:13 190:5	195:16 208:6,	180:7 184:22,	119:15 120:5
topics	16	23 191:11	
171:19	trip	68:16	

191:3	withholding	workforce	26:19 29:20
weird	69:16	69:21 70:5,8,	43:13 52:11,
140:14	withstand	9 120:1,4	13 76:10
welcome	160:23 190:3,	138:13 163:23	107:9,13
183:16	23		110:22,25
well-versed	witness	working	112:2
156:18	4:11,19 31:19	13:15 74:3	wrong
Westwood	38:7 91:16	87:23 139:18	43:12 86:14,
200:5	93:25 95:23	141:18 143:21	17 100:7
whatsoever	105:6,22	184:1,10	157:12,13
141:9	106:19 107:5	120:1,4	165:7
whoever's	110:3,25	138:13 163:23	wrote
56:15	115:12 158:21	203:14	89:19 175:1
wide	183:9,12	worry	216:6
186:5	186:24 187:8,	49:9	Y
wider	11 193:22		y'all
186:13,17	199:10 200:15		208:7,23
witnesses	179:23 180:23		209:1,5
width	181:13 182:25	Wosbrock	y'all's
42:1		200:3	182:7
wife	194:14,15,16	Wostbrock	yards
11:23 12:25	27:12 51:24	56:22 57:1,6	49:6
willing	83:6 89:24	72:12 75:3,4,	yearly
97:9	204:18	6,20 76:1,5	191:12
windows	151:18	79:13,15	Z
12:2 29:10	12:2 29:10	86:10 87:9,12	Zero
153:3	30:6,7,9,10,	88:1,25 89:8	194:5,7
withdraw	12 31:6 40:10	101:10 134:15	zone
29:17 62:1	42:2 46:13,15	199:16 200:19	172:24
216:8	52:25 53:2,3,		
withdrawn	6,8 66:4		
21:17 27:16	194:5		
43:10 53:12	worked		
99:8	28:6 208:9		
withheld	48:21 72:6		
170:19	worker		
withhold	170:4		
71:7	workers		
69:11 92:19	71:7		
	written		
	10:13 25:5		

147:2	vehicle	violated	waiver
unresponsive	72:23 160:24	142:8	169:5
88:1	verbal	violates	walking-around
unsubstantiated	194:7	97:25 159:5,	127:9
129:22	verbally	13	wall
unusual	52:10 114:21	violation	189:23
140:13	verdict	26:1 27:22,25	walls
usable	115:21 116:4,	28:8 30:4	161:5
133:14	24 122:16,21	37:21 38:12	wandering
useful	130:1 144:1	87:21 117:14,	43:7
96:6 118:14	160:5 161:7,	20 119:22	warranties
160:10,16	15,18 162:1	124:8,9 134:8	12:6
172:17	163:20 164:9,	141:21 142:21	warranty
uses	12,23 165:11,	155:2 156:1,8	11:18,24
57:7	18 166:22	170:13 206:21	68:21,22
utilize	172:5 178:7	207:11	80:13 83:5
7:8 172:16	218:15	violations	97:25 118:15,
utilized	70:19 72:8	127:13 173:10	18 120:9,10
118:13 120:6	verified	virtually	121:23 143:16
133:15 137:5	62:12	167:24	148:7 164:11
160:9	verify	visit	170:4
V	21:11 22:4	53:1 107:10	waste
vacation	version	110:24 111:1	152:21
68:8,9,13	21:2 199:21	184:2,6,7	watching
vague	211:16	194:24 195:1	45:17
69:7	versus	visited	water
valuable	144:5	195:4	135:20 159:3,
151:9	video	visits	4,12 190:4
value	134:15,19	14:13	waterway
150:24 151:10	view	volunteering	190:7 205:14
152:2,23	77:8 79:14,	206:20	weather
variance	15,21 106:21	W	218:14
154:2	192:3	Wait	45:22 68:14
various	viewpoint	15:12 209:22	180:15
12:24 53:21	168:8	212:16	weighing
66:5 76:19	views	waiting	213:4 214:1
	79:25	182:18	weight